	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 19-23649-rdd
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	
7	PURDUE PHARMA L.P.
8	
9	Debtor.
10	x
11	United States Bankruptcy Court
12	Tele/Video Proceedings
13	300 Quarropas Street, Room 248
14	White Plains, NY 10601
15	
16	August 18, 2021
17	10:08 AM
18	
19	
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON ROBERT D. DRAIN
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	ECRO: RAI

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	WITNESSES:
4	DR. RICHARD SACKLER
5	
6	DAVIS POLK WARDWELL LLP
7	Attorney for Debtors
8	450 Lexington Avenue
9	New York, NY 10017
10	
11	BY: MARSHALL SCOTT HUEBNER (TELEPHONICALLY)
12	BENJAMIN KAMINETZKY (TELEPHONICALLY)
13	JAMES I. MCCLAMMY (TELEPHONICALLY)
14	
15	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF MARYLAND
16	Attorney for State of Maryland
17	200 Saint Paul Place
18	Baltimore, MD 20852
19	
20	BY: BRIAN EDMUNDS (TELEPHONICALLY)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 4
1	REED SMITH LLP
2	Attorneys for the Debtor
3	Reed Smith Centre
4	225 Fifth Avenue
5	Pittsburgh, PA, 15222
6	
7	BY: ANDREW J. MUHA (TELEPHONICALLY)
8	
9	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
10	Attorneys for Navigators Specialty Insurance Company
11	1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
12	Washington, DC 20006
13	
14	BY: ISLEY MARKMAN GOSTIN (TELEPHONICALLY)
15	
16	KLEINBERG, KAPLAN, WOLFF & COHEN, P.C.
17	Attorneys for State of Washington
18	500 Fifth Avenue
19	New York, NY 10110
20	
21	BY: MATTHEW J. GOLD (TELEPHONICALLY)
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 5		
1	SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP		
2	Attorneys for Gulf Underwriters Insurance Company		
3	and St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company		
4	425 Lexington Avenue		
5	New York, NY 10017		
6			
7	BY: WILLIAM T. RUSSELL, JR.		
8			
9	JOSEPH HAGE AARONSON LLC		
10	Raymond Sackler Family		
11	485 Lexington Ave, 30th Floor		
12	New York, NY 10017		
13			
14	BY: GREGORY JOSEPH (TELEPHONICALLY)		
15			
16	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE		
17	Attorneys for the U.S. Trustee		
18	201 Varick Street, Suite 1006		
19	New York, NY 10014		
20			
21	BY: BENJAMIN J. HIGGINS (TELEPHONICALLY)		
22			
23			
24			
25			

	Page 6
1	ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE CONSUMER PROTECTION DIVISION AT THE
2	WASHINGTON STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
3	800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
4	Seattle, WA 98104
5	
6	BY: THOMAS ROBINSON O'NEILL (TELEPHONICALLY)
7	
8	FRANK OZMENT ATTORNEY AT LAW, LLC
9	Attorneys for Bridges Bloyd Fitch
10	217 Country Club Park, Box 501
11	Birmingham, AL 35213
12	
13	BY: JAMES FRANKLIN OZMENT (TELEPHONICALLY)
14	
15	MARYLAND ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE
16	Attorneys for the State of Maryland
17	200 Saint Paul Street
18	Baltimore, MD 21217
19	
20	BY: SARA E. TONNESEN (TELEPHONICALLY)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	1 g 7 01 103
	Page 7
1	DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON
2	Attorneys for Side A of the Sackler Family
3	919 Third Avenue
4	New York, NY 10022
5	
6	BY: MAURA K. MONAGHAN (TELEPHONICALLY)
7	
8	ALSO PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY:
9	JILL S. ABRAMS
10	BENJAMIN ALBERT
11	ROXANA ALEALI
12	ANDREW VINCENT ALFANO
13	PHILIP D. ANKER
14	MICHAEL ATINSON
15	MITCHELL JAY AUSLANDER
16	JASMINE BALL
17	BROOKS BARKER
18	AGUSTINA BERRO
19	THOMAS MOULTRIE BESHERE
20	THOMAS BIELLI
21	DAVID E. BLABEY JR.
22	HUNTER BLAIN
23	JENNIFER BLOUIN
24	LOUIS BOGRAD
25	JOSEPH BRANDT

1	Py 6 01 109	
	Page 8	
1	SARA BRAUNER	
2	DAVID BROWN	
3	GAGE BRUNSWICK	
4	JOSHUA I. BURGER	
5	MARK CHALOS	
6	HAYDEN COLEMAN	
7	DANIEL CONNOLLY	
8	DYLAN CONSLA	
9	CHARLES D. COWAN	
10	ABBY G. CUNNINGHAM	
11	MELANIE L. CYGANOWSKI	
12	MARIO D'ANGELO	
13	PETER C. D'APICE	
14	STACY DASARO	
15	JOSEPH G. DAVIS	
16	KEVIN DAVIS	
17	MARK DEARMAN	
18	JESSE DELACONTE	
19	SHANNON DEVON	
20	CLINT DOCKEN	
21	JOHN C. DOUGHERTY	
22	JOHN DUBEL	
23	STEPHANIE EBERHARDT	
24	KENNETH H. ECKSTEIN	
25	BERNARD ARDAVAN ESKANDARI	

	Py 9 01 169	
	P	age 9
1	BARBARA FARASH	
2	MATHEW FARRELL	
3	LAURA FEMINO	
4	ROBERT FINZI	
5	MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS	
6	LAWRENCE FOGELMAN	
7	HEATHER FRAZIER	
8	BRYCE L. FRIEDMAN	
9	KATHERINE GALLE	
10	CAROLINE GANGE	
11	GILL GELDREICH	
12	MELISSA GIBSON	
13	JARED GIDDENS	
14	MAGALI GIDDENS	
15	SCOTT GILBERT	
16	JEFFREY R. GLEIT	
17	IRVE J. GOLDMAN	
18	MICHAEL GOLDSTEIN	
19	ELAINE GOLIN	
20	LESLIE A. GOLLER	
21	GARY GOTTO	
22	EMILY GRIM	
23	WILLIAM W. GROUNDS III	
24	JOHN GUARD	
25	ADAM P. HABERKORN	

	Pg 10 of 18	<u> </u>	Page 10
1	CATHERINE BEIDERMAN	HEITZENRATER	
2	ANGELA K. HERRING		
3	JEREMY C. HILL		
4	MICHELE HIRSHMAN		
5	WILLIAM P. HRYCAY		
6	JENNA A. HUDSON		
7	MITCHELL HURLEY		
8	ELISA HYDER		
9	MARK S. INDELICATO		
10	SAMUEL ISSACHAROFF		
11	EVAN M. JONES		
12	ETHAN KAMINSKY		
13	NEIL F.X. KELLY		
14	KAREN KENNEDY		
15	MARC KESSELMAN		
16	DARREN S. KLEIN		
17	JEREMY C. KLEINMAN		
18	LAWRENCE KOTLER		
19	LAUREL K. LACKEY		
20	ALEXANDER LEES		
21	DANIEL LENNARD		
22	MARA LEVENTHAL		
23	DANIELLE J. LEVINE		
24	JEFFREY LIESENMER		
25	EDAN LISOVICZ		

Page 11 1 JOHN LONGMIRE 2 JON LOWNE 3 KEVIN MACLAY TIMOTHY MARTIN 4 5 BRIAN S. MASUMOTO PATRICK C. MAXCY 6 7 LAURA MCCLOUD 8 HUGH M. MCDONALD 9 CARRIE MCGAHA 10 SHANNON M. MCNULTY 11 MICHELE MEISES NATHANIEL MILLER 12 13 JONATHAN E. MITNICK 14 AISLING MURRAY 15 SARA NADIM ALISSA M. NANN 16 17 EDWARD E. NEIGER 18 LEE M. NICHOLSON 19 MICHAEL PATRICK O'NEIL 20 RACHEL R. OBALDO 21 JENNIFER PEANCOCK 22 MARK PLEVIN 23 STEVEN R. POPOFSKY 24 KATHERINE PORTER 25 ARIK PREIS

1	1 g 12 01 109
	Page 12
1	DOUGLASS PRESS
2	NICHOLAS PREY
3	KAMI QUINN
4	MARION QUIRK
5	CHRISTINA RICARTE
6	JOSEPH RICE
7	RACHAEL RINGER
8	CHRISTOPHER ROBERTSON
9	JEFFREY J. ROSEN
10	JORDAN ROSENBAUM
11	PAUL S. ROTHSTEIN
12	JASON RUBINSTEIN
13	MEGAN PARIS RUNDLET
14	WILLIAM T. RUSSELL
15	JEREMEY W. RYAN
16	RICHARD SACKLER
17	MORTIMER D.A. SACKLER
18	RICHARD SACKLER
19	THERESA SACKLER
20	JAMES SALWEN
21	DANIEL JOSEPH SAVAL
22	SETH SCHINFELD
23	ELIZABETH SCHLECKER
24	FREDERICK E. SCHMIDT
25	PAUL KENAN SCHWARTZBERG

	Pg 13 0f 189	
		Page 13
1	MICHAEL SHEPHERD	
2	J. CHRISTOPHER SHORE	
3	RICHARD SHORE	
4	RICHARD SILBERT	
5	LIANNA SIMMONDS	
6	PAUL M. SINGER	
7	MARC F. SKAPOF	
8	ARTEM SKOROSTENSKY	
9	D. RYAN SLAUGH	
10	JOSEPH L. SOROKIN	
11	CLAUDIA Z. SPRINGER	
12	CATHERINE STEEGE	
13	HOWARD STEEL	
14	ERIC STODOLA	
15	JEROME TAPLEY	
16	PAMELA THURMOND	
17	MARC JOSEPH TOBAK	
18	ANDREW M. TROOP	
19	KELLY TSAI	
20	ALICE TSIER	
21	JOSEPH TURNER	
22	ALLEN J. UNDERWOOD	
23	GERARD UZZI	
24	ELI J. VONNEGUT	
25	JONATHAN WAGNER	

Page 14 1 RYAN A. WAGNER 2 JORDAN WEBER 3 SHIRA WEINER 4 WILLIAM P. WEINTRAUB 5 MARTIN WEIS 6 ALLISON H. WEISS 7 THEODORE WELLS, JR. 8 LAUREN S. ZABEL 9 DAVID ZYLBERBERG 10 DAVID A. HART 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: All right, good morning, everyone.

This is Judge Drain and we're here on Pharma L.P., et al.,

continuing on with the hearing on the Debtor's request for

approval -- confirmation of their amended Chapter 11 plans.

I don't have a formal -- as I've had in the past days for this hearing -- list of witnesses who will be testifying today. I understood that a couple of Sackler Family member will be testifying, but if someone can update me on who exactly will be testifying today.

MR. KAMINETZKY: Yes, Your Honor. Before I turn it over, this is Benjamin Kaminetzky of Davis Polk for the Debtors. Good morning. So, since the true unsung hero in this case, Katherine Benedict, sent that email to all the parties and to chambers yesterday after the hearing with the list of witnesses, there's been conversations among, quite frankly, Maryland and the Sackler Families about what exactly and who exactly is going to happen after Richard Sackler. So, we're certainly starting the day with Mr. Richard Sackler. After that, I think there've been discussions about recent deposition testimony, and who and what, and I will leave it to them.

I did want to, before we -- you know, I turn it over to them -- it seems clear to me, it seems clear I think to everybody, that one way or another we're going to be done

with the testimony tomorrow. And we would like kind of -the most frequent question we're getting from the parties,
and we'd maybe like the judge to sign off, is when we would
start the argument portion of the hearing. And we kindly
request that the Court perhaps set that for Monday, to give
the parties adequate time to digest the transcripts, apply
the facts to the law, streamline their presentations per
Your Honor's guidance at the pretrial conference, which now
feels like 100 years ago.

As we suggest to Your Honor and Your Honor agreed, given the overlapping nature of the various objections, we intended to have the argument by topic, and folks need to then coordinate with their co-parties on how they divide up the time. So, I think you would hear a collective exhale of 150 people if Your Honor could just say Monday is the day and...

THE COURT: Right. That actually is consistent with my own thinking on this. That if we ended on Thursday, the parties and I would need some time to catch our breath and focus on the record, which makes sense to have argument on Monday.

Next Tuesday is not a Purdue day.

MR. KAMINETZKY: A Sears day.

THE COURT: I find it hard to believe that oral argument would take more than a day, but it might. So, I

Pg 17 of 189 Page 17 1 could leave a little time for Wednesday. Half a day, say. 2 I contemplate giving you my ruling either Thursday or 3 Friday. MR. KAMINETZKY: That's perfect, Your Honor. 4 5 Okay, I appreciate that guidance and maybe I'll -- I think 6 just to answer Your Honor's question, exactly what's 7 happening to Mr. Sackler today, I'll turn it over to Ms. 8 Monaghan and Ms. --THE COURT: Well, before we get to that, several 9 10 parties clearly have participated actively in this hearing. 11 They're not the only parties that have filed either 12 objections or statements in support of the plan. Parties 13 should notify who did that -- parties who either objected to 14 the plan or filed statements in support of the plan should 15 notify the Debtor's counsel by the close of the hearing 16 tomorrow whether they want to participate in oral argument, 17 so that there can be some -- so that can be factored into 18 the planning for oral argument on Monday. 19 And if there's some issue about the length of time 20 that people think they're going to need, we can discuss it 21 on Friday in a chambers conference. 22 MR. KAMINETZKY: That makes sense, Your Honor. 23 Appreciate it. Send it to me.

THE COURT: All right. I mean, there are some

www.veritext.com

24

evidentiary portion of this hearing but who do want to be heard by me. I've read all the objections and all the statements, so they can be assured of that already. But they should let you know again by the close of the trial, assuming it's tomorrow, whether they want to speak in addition to what they filed at oral argument.

Okay, so I gather then that we're going to proceed first with testimony by Richard Sackler?

MR. EDMUNDS: Yes, but, Your Honor, there is one - Brian Edmunds for the State of Maryland -- there's one
matter I think is a preliminary issue that I think we'd as
the Court to address, and that is there was some
correspondence with the Court last night regarding the
implications or -- you know, whether certain documents are
wholly admitted or are admitted for the purposes Your Honor
has said as the hearing has moved forward in considering
particular witnesses who -- through whom the parties tried
to introduce them. And I think that that affects what we
do, you know, in our case.

THE COURT: Well, just for the record, which documents are you referring to? I know there were emails to chambers but I just sort of tacitly refer to the emails.

So, if you could just let me know what documents --

MR. EDMUNDS: Sure. It's the documents that the B side Sacklers have been attempting to present. There are a

number of documents. There are documents, I think, that
were, as I understand it, were attached to one of the
presentations that we considered -- Your Honor considered
with respect to Mr. Dubel's testimony. Mr. Dubel had
testified that the Special Committee received presentations.
We objected to the documents coming in through that. And I
think Your Honor said they were not -- would not be admitted
for their truth.

There are also documents that were attached to -or part of the proposed findings of fact and conclusions of
law attached to Mr. Joseph's declaration and also related to
Professor Hamermesh's expert report that Your Honor ruled
would come in for the estate claims. And I believe -- well,
I believe that his opinions were admitted for the estate -in relation to the estate's claims, but not in relation to
the state's claims. And that the underlying documents, in
accordance with Rule 703, did not necessarily come in for
their truth because -- just because Professor Hamermesh's
opinions were admitted for the limited purpose.

So, I just want to -- my understanding of the scheduling order that Your Honor entered is that there is a process for designating exhibits and initial exhibit lists, and parties were to make objections to them to the extent objections could be made. But, again, the admission of evidence, the admission of exhibits depends on who and how

the documents are admitted and the purpose for which they're used. And I think that we've properly objected at the times when the documents would be used. Your Honor has ruled -- and I just see a reopening that we, frankly, framed our case and our witnesses in the narrow sort of way that Your Honor has suggested we should. And I think we've relied on it and I don't know if these documents come in, we have, you know, more of a case.

THE COURT: Okay, well, I'm not -- I'm not changing any of the rulings that I made. With each witness, I asked whether there was any objection to the witness's testimony, and in some cases there was and I ruled on that objection. So, that's not being revised. I'm not sure what else there is to say on that.

MR. EDMUNDS: That's -- that's all I -- all I ask,

Your Honor. So, I think that we're fine. But I don't know

if other parties object to that or not. But that was I

think the source of the dispute in the chambers emails last

night, and I just wanted to make sure --

MR. JOSEPH: Your Honor, if I may. What we're talking about --

MR. KAMINETZKY: I just want to -- I'm sorry, go ahead, Mister -- but let me just say. Your Honor, you've made your views on these issues so clear so many times, I think it's -- I'm not sure why we're arguing about this.

Pg 21 of 189 Page 21 1 Like, the purpose of what you -- each time this came up, 2 Your Honor ruled and Your Honor made very clear the purposes 3 in which you're going to be looking at, for example, you 4 know, the Sackler presentation or the complaints. I think 5 it's time to move on. 6 THE COURT: Okay, well, I think so too as far as 7 what I've heard so far, unless I'm missing something. MR. JOSEPH: Your Honor, what we're talking about 8 9 are documents un-objected to on the joint exhibit list that 10 Maryland is now objecting to belatedly. 11 THE COURT: Well, when are -- Mr. Edmunds referred 12 to rulings that I've already made as opposed to other --13 MR. JOSEPH: Correct. But there've been no rulings on hundreds of exhibits he's really objecting to. 14 15 Now, there about 600 exhibits that he has not objected to, 16 did not timely object to that are on the joint exhibit list 17 that he's now objecting to without identifying them for Your 18 Honor. THE COURT: Well, I haven't heard an objection. 19 20 haven't heard an objection by Mr. Edmunds. 21 MR. JOSEPH: Then that's fine, Your Honor --22 THE COURT: I thought he was referring to --23 excuse me -- testimony that I asked whether anyone objected

to, and exhibits related to that testimony, and I did rule

on that. So, I'm not sure what else we're focusing on at

24

this point.

MR. JOSEPH: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I do have a - -and I should have made this point, I guess, when I was speaking with Mr.

Kaminetzky, so I'll make it now. It's a point for oral argument. As with any large trial, and this is no exception, the parties have listed and given me agreed admitted exhibits in the thousands. As with almost every large trial, the actual exhibits that are brought to my attention number in the teens, maybe the 20s or 30s.

I don't think any judge should be expected to read all of the exhibits without being told which exhibit is important, and I don't intend to. I think if someone thinks it's important, they need to bring it to my notice. And if there's an issue then, I can deal with it. But it may well be that you all are arguing about something that is completely academic. Because, you know, this courtroom is filled with boxes of exhibits and I can tell you right now, unless people are going to show me the designations and tell me why they're important, for example, I'm not going to read a deposition from 2012 of anybody. So, I'm not sure what you all are fighting over at this point.

MR. EDMUNDS: Your Honor, that was precisely my point. Right? Exhibits are admitted through the testimony of witnesses. And I think that sometimes you don't know for

what purpose they are being put in a box until a witness testifies.

THE COURT: That's true and -- I agree with that,

Mr. Edmunds. And I also agree with you that an exhibit that

may be admitted, I don't know for what purpose it's being

offered, and I'm not sure the parties do either. So, again,

there may be plenty of exhibits that someone wants to

discuss at oral argument that are not the subject of

someone's testimony. And it seems to me that if someone

wants to say at that point, I agree to its admission for

your consideration as to the pros and cons of the settlement

but not as to the underlying merits of the claims that are

being settled, in all likelihood I will grant that -- or I

will limit its admission to that -- in that way, consistent

with how I've done so far.

So, I thin going -- I hope that gives you some guidance, Mr. Edmunds, as to -- and the other parties -- as to what you want to get out on the record going forward over the next two days.

MR. EDMUNDS: No, thank you, Your Honor, that is - that's what we were asking. So, thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, very well.

MR. MUHA: Your Honor, this is Andy Muha, insurance coverage counsel for the Debtors with Reed Smith.

I have one other housekeeping matter that I'd like to bring

Pg 24 of 189 Page 24 1 up before we begin today's testimony, if it would please the 2 Court. THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. MUHA: Your Honor, the Debtors have reached 4 5 two stipulations with insurers who have asserted objections 6 to the plan and would have raised certain evidentiary issues 7 or objections. The stipulations do not resolve the 8 insurers' substantive objections to plan confirmation, but 9 they do resolve the insurers' evidentiary issues. 10 The first stipulation is between the Debtors and 11 the group of insurers who, on August 10th, filed the motion 12 in limine to exclude evidence related solely to insurance 13 coverage and to strike insurance-related testimony in 14 Debtor's declarations. That motion was filed at Docket 15 Number 3514. This stipulation resolves the issues raised in 16 that motion in limine. And as a result, the insurers who 17 joined in the motion in limine are withdrawing their motion. 18 Also --THE COURT: Okay. Is there counsel on the phone, 19 20 just on the screen just to confirm that? 21 MS. GOSTIN: Your Honor, this is Isley Gostin, 22 WilmerHale, on behalf of Navigators Specialty Insurance 23 Company. We agree with the Debtor's representation. That

THE COURT: Okay, very well. Thank you.

is correct. Thank you.

24

MR. MUHA: And, Your Honor, as a consequence of that stipulation, the Debtors will not be offering the declaration of Lianna Simmons into evidence at the confirmation hearing, and we will not be calling Ms. Simmons as a witness in these proceedings. So Your Honor can officially remove her from the list of witnesses remaining to be called. THE COURT: Okay. And her declaration was really just a list of -- a list of letters, basically. MR. MUHA: It referred to -- yes, yes, exactly, Your Honor. THE COURT: Very well. MR. MUHA: The second stipulation that we have, Your Honor, is between the Debtors on the one hand and Gulf Underwriters Insurance Company and St. Paul Fire & Marine Insurance Company on the other hand. This stipulation resolves certain evidentiary issues raised in connection with those insurer's objection to plan confirmation. And I believe their counsel, Mr. Russell, is also on the Zoom hearing and can confirm that. MR. RUSSELL: Yes, Your Honor, I can confirm that. William Russell on behalf of Gulf Underwriters Insurance Company and St. Paul Fire & Marine Insurance Company. THE COURT: Okay, so I'm not sure whether there was an actual motion in limine filed by these two insurers,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 26 1 or whether there was just the potential that there would be 2 an objection. MR. RUSSELL: Your Honor, it's not so much that we 3 had a motion in limine but it should obviate our need to 4 recall Mr. DelConte as a witness to cross-examine. 5 6 THE COURT: All right. That's fine. 7 MR. RUSSELL: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. MUHA: And with respect to that, Your Honor, 8 9 as you'll recall, when Mr. DelConte ended his testimony last 10 week, it was subject to being called back for cross by both 11 Gulf and the other insurers who had joined the motion in 12 limine. But by operation of these two stipulations, none of 13 the stipulating insurers will be needing Mr. DelConte to 14 come back for cross. So --15 THE COURT: All right, so his testimony is 16 finished at this point? 17 MR. MUHA: That's correct, Your Honor, as far as -- as far as we understand it. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. MUHA: Both stipulations have now been filed, 21 Your Honor. The stipulation resolving the motion in limine 22 is filed at document number -- Docket Number 3588, and a stipulation resolving the evidentiary issues raised by Gulf 23 and St. Paul Marine & Fire has been filed at Docket 3589. 24 25 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very well, thank

Page 27 1 you. 2 MR. MUHA: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 MR. GOLD: Your Honor, Matthew Gold from Kleinberg May I address Your Honor's comments regarding 4 Kaplan. 5 evidence from a few moments ago? 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. GOLD: Thank you, Your Honor. I just want to 8 observe in what we thought would be timesaving for all 9 parties. We stipulated with the Debtors and various Sackler 10 sides to have many of our exhibits admitted without the need 11 of testimony or court time to have them be put in. And I completely understand Your Honor's suggestion that we need 12 to be directed as to which exhibits we consider important to 13 14 meaningful, but I'm trying to understand the best vehicle. 15 Because it seems to me, there are two possible ways we could 16 do that. 17 Either we would have to spend time during oral 18 arguments reviewing what was put in to direct Your Honor to 19 what we considered important; or we could submit some kind 20 of post-trial brief or something that would draw those matters to your attention, Your Honor. And I'm inquiring 21 22 which would be preferable to the Court. 23 THE COURT: The former. 24 MR. GOLD: Okay, thank you, Your Honor. It' just 25

THE COURT: I mean, if it's that important, you might as well mention it.

MR. GOLD: We certainly will and we will make sure to include that in our oral argument presentation.

THE COURT: And I know you wouldn't do this, but I just want to make sure no attorney is just going to spend 15 minutes of his or her oral argument just giving me a list of exhibits and saying these are important. You need to tell me why. It's part of oral argument.

MR. GOLD: No, no, I understand, Your Honor. Boring the Court and the (indiscernible) would not be productive.

THE COURT: Few things are more frustrating to a trial judge than seeing, you know, 200 binders of exhibits and someone who actually thinks that he or she is supposed to make sense of them in the context of someone's presentation when it's not highlighted. And it's even harder for the Appellate Court, of course, because they're reviewing what the trial court considered.

So -- and I know you'll do that. I'm not -- I'm not saying that you wouldn't. And I'm not saying that you would just give me a list of things in the last part of your argument. But just so everyone is clear on this point.

MR. GOLD: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And there's no -- at the risk of

Page 29 1 overkill, your clients have a stipulation with the Debtors. 2 That governs all the exhibits as far as you and the Debtors are concerned. And, frankly, I think we're basically at the 3 4 same point, this point, with Maryland, but without a 5 stipulation. 6 Okay, so shall we turn to the witness then? 7 MR. KAMINETZKY: And we're calling him right now 8 to get him on, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Okay, very well. 10 Okay, I see Mr. Sackler on the screen. Would you 11 raise your right hand, please? MR. KAMINETZKY: You're on mute. 12 13 DR. SACKLER: Sorry. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Do you swear or affirm to tell 15 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so 16 help you God? 17 DR. SACKLER: I do. 18 THE COURT: Okay. And it's Richard, S-A-C-K-L-E-19 R? 20 DR. SACKLER: I was just in the process of 21 changing that. Yes, that's correct. I was just going to 22 shell that. THE COURT: Oh, okay, very well. The witness has 23 24 been called by the state of Maryland and, therefore, Mr. 25 Edmunds, you can go ahead with direct since this is not one

Page 30 1 of the witnesses, therefore, that's subject to my procedures 2 order that has direct testimony by declaration or affidavit. 3 So, you can go ahead with direct, Mr. Edmunds. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. RICHARD SACKLER 4 5 BY MR. EDMUNDS: Good morning, Dr. Sackler. I'm Brian Edmunds for the 7 state of Maryland. You were director of Purdue Pharma from about 1990 to 2018, is that correct? 8 Approximately correct, yes. And you were president of Purdue from about 1999 to 11 2003? My presidency began in 2000, January of 2000. 12 13 January of 2000 to 2003 then? 14 Yes. To March 2003. 15 Okay. And you -- you've served as chair of the board 16 or co-chair of the board, is that right? 17 From approximately 2008 over two or three years, I don't recall. 18 Okay. Just so I could make sure I heard you correctly 20 because it's coming in a little faint -- 2008 forward two or three years, but you don't recall specifically as chair? 21 22 I don't recall. 23 Okay. And you've also served as a director of MNP, or 24 as it's now known, MNC, is that right? 25 MNP, that is correct.

6

9

10

Page 31 1 And you're currently a director of MNP? 2 No, I am not. Α 3 When did you -- when did you resign from the board of MNP? 4 5 About the same time as I left the board of Purdue. 6 So, approximately, 2018? 7 Α Yes. Okay, and MNP is the entity through which -- I guess 8 9 the board of MNP controls the Sackler independent affiliates 10 companies internationally, is that correct? 11 That is not correct. 12 Okay. Is it the case that it makes recommendations to 13 the IAC's boards for them to implement? 14 That is -- yes, that is -- yes. 15 Okay. And have you served as a director of any of --16 of any of those specific pharmaceutical manufacturer IACs at 17 any time? 18 One or two. I don't recall the (indiscernible) which 19 ones. 20 And the pharmaceutical companies, Purdue and the others 21 -- well, Purdue makes opioids, right? It manufactures and 22 sells opioids? 23 Can you specify the time? 24 Between, let's say, approximately 1988 and up through 25 today?

Page 32 1 Yes. 2 And the I -- the majority of the IACs that are engaged Q 3 in the pharmaceutical business around the world, they sell 4 opioids in the -- in the countries in which they exist. 5 that right? 6 Again, could you specify the time? 7 Well, do they do it now? 8 I don't know. 9 Okay. Did they do it in 2018, when you left the MNP 10 board? 11 I can't say it was a majority but some did. Okay. And of those that did -- had some of them done 12 so for at least a decade? 13 14 Again, a decade from what? 15 From 2018? So, from 2008 to -- approximately, 2008 to 16 2018 --17 I can't recall. 18 But those -- those IACs are located in places like 19 Germany, right? 20 Yes. 21 Italy, right? Q 22 Α Yes. Australia, right? 23 24 Α Yes. 25 Canada, is that correct? Q

Page 33 1 Yes. 2 South Africa, is that correct? Q 3 Α Yes. Argentina, is that correct? 4 5 I don't know. 6 There are --7 I don't recall, actually. 8 There are at least a score of other entities, right, 9 that --10 Yes. 11 Q Okay. 12 There are a score of other entities, yes. 13 Okay, thank you. Are you aware of how many people have 14 died in the United States as a result of the misuse of 15 OxyContin? 16 I do not. I'm sorry, the question was how many? 17 don't know. 18 How many have died from using OxyContin? 19 I stand with that answer. I don't know. 20 Q Okay. How many have died -- do you know how many have 21 died throughout the world from the use of OxyContin or the 22 same drug under a different name internationally? I do not know. 23 24 Okay. Do you know how many people in the United States 25 have died as a result of opioids?

Page 34 1 In what period of time? 2 Let's say from 2005 to 2017. 3 I don't know. 4 Have you ever known, or do you just not know as you sit 5 there today? 6 I don't think I've ever known. 7 Did you ever bother to look? 8 I looked at data occasionally. But to the best of my 9 recollection, I don't think it would have helped inform me, 10 even if I remembered it today, to give you a number. 11 Okay. So, you knew that people were dying, but you didn't know and didn't look at how many? 12 I looked at the same information that the media looked 13 14 at (indiscernible). 15 You didn't think it was necessary in your role as chair 16 or as president of an opioid company to determine how many 17 people had died as a result of the use of the product? 18 To the best of my knowledge and recollection, that data 19 is not available. 20 Okay. So you never looked at the CDC's opioids 21 website, for example? 22 I have looked at the CDC. 23 You have? 24 Α Yes. 25 Okay. But you don't remember the numbers that the CDC

19-23649-shl Doc 3614 Filed 08/19/21 Entered 08/19/21 15:25:49 Main Document Pg 35 of 189 Page 35 1 provides on its website for opioid overdoses in the United 2 States, do you? I -- I've looked at numbers but it doesn't locate it to 3 4 -- I can't recollect that it located it to any point in time 5 or period of time. 6 Were you aware that the public health community has 7 been concerned about the overuse and misuse of opioids for 8 more than a decade? 9 I can't locate the time, but the answer to the -- to 10 the question, without a timeframe, is yes, I am aware. 11 Okay. Well, in 2007, Purdue pleaded guilty to federal 12 criminal charges related to its sale of OxyContin, is that 13 right? 14 Purdue did so, yes. 15 And you were a director of Purdue at that time? 16 I was. 17 And at that time, the public health community was 18 clearly aware, wouldn't you agree -- was clearly... Strike that. At that time, wouldn't you agree with me that the 19

- 20 public health community was clearly concerned with the
- 21 | problem of overconsumption of opioids?
- 22 A I don't know what you mean when you say public health
- 23 community.
- 24 Q Well, let's say the United -- let's say the United
- 25 States government, the Department of Health & Human

Pg 36 of 189 Page 36 1 Services. At that time, it was clearly concerned with the 2 overuse and misuse of opioids, was it not? 3 Α Yes. 4 And Mr. Brownlee, the United States Attorney for the 5 Western District of Virginia, for example, brought the 6 charges against Purdue and three of its executives, to which 7 all four of the entities or individuals pleaded guilty. 8 that right? 9 I don't remember the name of the prosecutor but the 10 charges were brought, yes. 11 Okay. And at that time, given that a United States 12 attorney had brought charges against Purdue, it was clear 13 that the Department of Justice was concerned with the 14 overuse and misuse of opioids, was it not? 15 Is there a distinction between the Department of 16 Justice and the U.S. Department of Justice prosecutor? 17 I think there are other Departments of Justice, but the 18 U.S. Department of Justice is the one I'm asking about here. I don't know what the level of concern and with the 19 20 (indiscernible) U.S. Department of Justice were concerned. 21 There were some, but I don't know if it was all or just 22 some. 23 Okay. All right, I had trouble hearing your answer, 24 Dr. Sackler.

I'm sorry.

19-23649-shl Doc 3614 Filed 08/19/21 Entered 08/19/21 15:25:49 Main Document Pg 37 of 189 Page 37 1 Could you just repeat it? It's not coming through 2 clearly. Parts of the U.S. Department of Justice were concerned. 3 4 I don't know that it was the entire U.S. Department of Justice in all of their divisions and subdivisions. 5 6 All right. How about 27 states of the United States and their attorneys general? Are you aware that they were also 7 concerned by the overprescribing and overuse or misuse of 8 9 opioids at the time? 10 I can't -- I can't answer -- I don't know that it was 11 27. Some were. 12 A significant number of states were concerned with 13 Purdue's conduct, is that right? 14 I don't recall. 15 Okay. Do you recall entering into judgments and 16 assurances of discontinuance with -- by you, I mean Purdue -17 - with a number of -- a substantial number of states? I remember a few. I don't remember that it was 18

- substantial or not. (indiscernible) at that point. 19
- 20 And in 2007, do you know how many people had died from
- 21 the overuse or misuse of OxyContin?
- 22 No, I do not recall. No.
- Then between 2007 and 2020, at least according to 23
- Purdue, it continued to engage in criminal conduct with 24
- 25 respect to the sale and marketing of opioids, is that right?

Page 38 1 That's a legal question in part. But I think that 2 (indiscernible) I -- I -- just repeat the question? 3 Did the company plead -- I'm sorry, Dr. Sackler, I 4 didn't mean to talk over you. Could you say that again, 5 though? I missed it. 6 Just restate your question. 7 Yeah. The question is that -- has Purdue admitted that after the time we just talked about, after 2007, it engaged 8 9 in criminal conduct still with respect to the sale and 10 marketing of opioids in the United States? 11 MR. JOSEPH: Objection. Misleading. The plea is 12 the plea. It does not deal with sale and marketing -- at 13 least not marketing. And we can go through this as we did 14 yesterday but it's a misleading question. 15 MR. EDMUNDS: Well, I think I just read the plea 16 yesterday, and then I think that --17 MR. JOSEPH: And the plea did not reference what 18 you just said. 19 MR. EDMUNDS: I think that's semantics. Your 20 Honor, I'm happy to have the witness read the plea. 21 THE COURT: Why don't we go to the plea? 22 MR. EDMUNDS: Okay. Give me just a second? BY MR. EDMUNDS: 23 24 Dr. Sackler, let me ask you -- you should've received a 25 packet of docket -- documents this morning. Do you have

Page 39 1 access to that? 2 I have not opened them yet. 3 I understand that. I just -- I just wanted to make 4 sure that you have them. 5 MR. JOSEPH: Just to be clear, last night, between 6 10:30 and midnight, we got 6,204 pages of documents for use with the witness today, which we forwarded to him. So, it's 7 8 not that pile of sets. This is a separate set that we got 9 about two minutes to ten. So, that's the set we're talking 10 about. 11 MR. EDMUNDS: We did try to narrow it for you. 12 And part of that has to do with the ruling that -- or at 13 least clarification of rulings that the Court made this 14 morning. 15 MR. JOSEPH: I think it speaks for itself. We got 16 6,204 pages of documents. 17 THE COURT: So, Dr. Sackler, when you're looking 18 for a document, it's in the more recent morning package that 19 you got. 20 THE WITNESS: I have --21 THE COURT: The morning computer (indiscernible) -22 23 MR. JOSEPH: The later -- the later one. The one 24 at around 10 a.m. 25 THE COURT: Right, this morning.

Page 40 1 MR. JOSEPH: Right. 2 THE WITNESS: Please bear with me while I find and 3 open them. 4 MR. EDMUNDS: Dr. Sackler, you can take your time. 5 I think... 6 MR. JOSEPH: So, it's the one at 10:20 a.m., when 7 we got the most recent set. 8 THE COURT: Are you asking him to locate the DOJ 9 settlement agreement, Mr. Edmunds? 10 MR. EDMUNDS: Yes, I am. And it is. 11 THE COURT: And at the bottom you'll find that there's a -- an exhibit number. And what is that exhibit 12 13 number? JX what, Mr. Edmunds? 14 MR. EDMUNDS: 2094, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: 2094. 16 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I must have 17 (indiscernible) the password incorrectly. I'm sorry. MR. EDMUNDS: Please, I think the Court is willing 18 to give you all the time you need, Dr. Sackler, so take your 19 20 time. 21 THE WITNESS: Thank you. Okay, I have a 22 transmission that I received that was sent at 10:21 today. 23 I assume that is not the transmission I should open. 24 MR. EDMUNDS: 10:21? 25 THE WITNESS: 10:21.

Page 41 1 MR. JOSEPH: We got multiple documents this 2 morning after the first set, so this is in the first of the 3 three sets from around 10 this morning. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay, my problem is that the 5 password we agreed to with Attorney Taylor does not function 6 on the 10:41 documents. 7 MR. JOSEPH: Try the 10:21 documents. 8 THE WITNESS: Let me just try the password again. 9 Okay, I may have made a mistake. I may have capitalized 10 something that was supposed to be lower case. Yes, they are 11 So, that's 16 files, correct? opening. 12 MR. EDMUNDS: I believe so, Dr. Sackler, that 13 sounds about right. 14 MR. JOSEPH: That is correct. 15 THE WITNESS: So, which one should I open? 16 MR. EDMUNDS: JX2094, Dr. Sackler. 17 THE WITNESS: Just a second. Can you repeat that? 20...? 18 19 MR. EDMUNDS: 2094. 20 THE COURT: JX-2094. 21 THE WITNESS: I see it, yes. 22 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 23 Okay, and I'll ask you to open that up. 24 Okay, it has opened. 25 Okay, and I'll ask you to turn to -- I don't know what

	. g .12 61 266
	Page 42
1	the best way of you getting to it is, Doctor, but if you
2	could turn to it's page 48 of the PDF. And the title is
3	Schedule A.
4	A Just a second
5	MR. JOSEPH: It's 16 of 97 on mine. Is that on
6	yours?
7	WOMAN 1: (indiscernible) 47.
8	THE WITNESS: Just a second, I got to 48. It
9	shows it's (indiscernible) of something.
10	MR. EDMUNDS: Okay.
11	THE WITNESS: Is that what you wanted me to open?
12	MR. EDMUNDS: Does it have Schedule A at the top,
13	Dr. Sackler?
14	THE COURT: Well, it wouldn't be Schedule A, it's
15	Addendum A, right?
16	THE WITNESS: There's Addendum A
17	MR. JOSEPH: This is the wrong document. This is
18	to the settlement.
19	MR. EDMUNDS: Yeah, we need Schedule A, Dr.
20	Sackler.
21	THE WITNESS: Well, I opened (indiscernible) that
22	you directed me to.
23	MR. EDMUNDS: Okay, as Mr. Joseph mentioned, it is
24	actually listed as Page 16 of 97. In my file at least,
25	which I believe is the same as yours, it's Page 48 of the

	1 9 43 01 133
	Page 43
1	PDF file.
2	THE WITNESS: (indiscernible)
3	THE COURT: If you're looking at the bottom where
4	it says JX-2094, then it says .0015.
5	THE WITNESS: Sorry. It directed me to open
6	THE COURT: Right, I understand.
7	THE WITNESS: We get another file. Okay, so I'm -
8	-
9	THE COURT: No, it's the same it's the same
10	file. It's just it's earlier in the document.
11	THE WITNESS: Okay, just a second.
12	THE COURT: It's Page 16 of 97 at the top, and
13	also Page 15 at the top. It's that same document, 2094.
14	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. (indiscernible) Okay,
15	it's which document again? 2094?
16	THE COURT: Yes.
17	MR. EDMUNDS: Yes, sir.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay. And it's page what?
19	THE COURT: 15.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay. That might be 15. Okay.
21	This might work. 12, 13, 14 okay, I have Page 15. It's
22	titled it starts (indiscernible) and then followed by
23	(indiscernible) count one.
24	THE COURT: Right.
25	MR. EDMUNDS: That is the page. Thank you, Dr.

Page 44 1 Sackler. 2 THE WITNESS: Sorry. My problem. Do you understand that this is the count of the plea 3 Q agreement to which Purdue pleaded guilty recently? 4 If that's correct, I'm not certain without reading the 5 6 whole document. I could tell, I can verify your question. 7 It says Count 1. Do you want me to read any particular 8 section or do you want me to read all of Count 1? 9 I think if you want to take time and familiarize 10 yourself with the document and then land back on this page, 11 that would be, that would be perfectly fine. Certificate of counsel is the first page, right? 12 13 I think it goes a little bit --14 MR. JOSEPH: Go to the next page after that, 15 please, Dr. Sackler. 16 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 17 Α Okay. 18 MR. JOSEPH: While we're waiting here, Your Honor, 19 if I may. Mr. Edmunds, just correct something, which is not 20 in dispute. The years Dr. Sackler was the co-chair of 21 Purdue were actually from 2003 to 2007, not 2000. 22 MR. EDMUNDS: We will take Mr. Joseph's word for 23 it, of course. I believe it's in the record otherwise 24 anyway. 25 BY MR. EDMUNDS:

Page 45 1 Okay. What page should I look at? I believe this is a 2 little unfamiliar to me. Sure, understood, Dr. Sackler. I think you should look 3 back at the Page 15, Schedule A, Count 1 that we were 4 5 discussing. 6 Page 15. I'm going to Page 15. I was turning to --7 I'm sorry. 8 It's okay. 9 It's not easy. Let me open it up again. Remind me 10 which is the relevant document? 2094? 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 MR. EDMUNDS: Yes. 13 MR. JOSEPH: Yes. It's Page 15. BY MR. EDMUNDS: 14 15 Okay. These are big documents. Okay. I'm back to 16 Schedule A. 17 Okay. Q Why don't you tell the Court what Schedule A is? If 18 that's correct, maybe I can proceed from there. 19 20 0 Dr. Sackler, is your understanding that Schedule A was 21 the conduct to which Purdue pleaded guilty as part of its 22 recent plea agreement? 23 I can't locate Schedule A. Why don't you phrase that as some sort of --24 25 Let me. I'll try.

Pg 46 of 189 Page 46 1 And then if my attorney would agree --2 THE COURT: Let me ask it this way, Dr. Sackler. 3 If Mr. Edmunds and your attorney, Mr. Joseph, both agreed that this Schedule A is part of a settlement agreement 4 5 between the Department of Justice and Purdue Pharma, would 6 you have any reason to disagree with that? 7 THE WITNESS: Well, if the agreement is the sole 8 agreement, I have no reason to dispute that. But some of 9 these cases I believe we're going to be talking about have 10 several agreements. And I can't -- I can't locate it, but 11 if my attorney advises me that this is --12 MR. JOSEPH: I think that we can stipulate 13 Schedule A, which is from Pages 15 through Page 18, are the 14 facts that Purdue admitted in connection with its guilty 15 plea. 16 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 17 Q And --18 Okay, did you ask your question? Sure, Dr. Sackler. In light of that stipulation, I 19 20 think I will just ask you if you have an understanding that 21 Purdue pleaded guilty to the conduct contained in Counts 1, 22 2, and 3 on the following three pages of the -- Page 1 and the following two pages, following three pages of the 23 stipulation --24

Can I just scan them?

25

Pg 47 of 189 Page 47 1 -- of the Schedule? Yes, of course. 2 I'm having navigational problems, sorry, when I try to 3 go to the next page. I can understand that. I've had that before. 4 5 Count 2 is on Page 17, correct? 6 Yes. O Count 3 is also on the same page. 7 Yes, and it continues to the next page. 8 9 It continues and ends at 18. Okay. May I just scan 10 these --11 O Yes. 12 -- because you're asking me about my understanding. 13 Yes. You may read them through. Thank you. As I'm scanning it, I think I can agree. 14 15 All right. When you're ready, I will ask some 16 questions. 17 I'm ready. I haven't read it. I've just kind of 18 scanned it, but yes. Do you agree with me that Counts 1, 2, and 3 state the 19 20 conduct to which Purdue pleaded guilty in 2020? 21 I'm so advised by my counsel. 22 Okay. And would you agree with me that that conduct 23 involves Purdue's business with respect to opioids? 24 I think so, yes.

And its practices, particularly with respect to selling

25

Page 48 1 opioids? 2 MR. JOSEPH: Objection, characterization, 3 misleading. MR. EDMUNDS: He's read the document. 4 5 THE COURT: If you understand it, Dr. Sackler. 6 THE WITNESS: Right, I'm sorry, understand? 7 THE COURT: The question. THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I think I understand it, 8 9 yes. 10 THE COURT: Okay. To the best of your 11 understanding, you should answer it. BY MR. EDMUNDS: 12 13 This was an agreed statement of fact. Agreed with the 14 Department of Justice and the Company. And it incorporated 15 the conduct to which Purdue pleaded guilty. Is that 16 responsive to your question? 17 Q That is --I apologize. 18 I think the question was does it relate to Purdue's 19 20 selling of opioids? Is that the conduct to which Purdue 21 pleaded guilty related to the selling of opioids? 22 Α Yes. 23 Thank you. Now is it also true that you have, in 24 connection with your service as Director of Napp, 25 international affiliated companies that you own, has also

Page 49 1 run into trouble with the law enforcement regulatory 2 officials in some of the jurisdictions that they are in? 3 that right? 4 The name Napp, I have no knowledge of that with Napp. 5 Okay. Not just Napp, but how about Mundipharma Italy? 6 I did hear long after the fact perhaps, I can't locate 7 it in time, but there had been issue with them. 8 Okay. There was an issue in Italy with respect to 9 Mundipharma's marketing of opioids. Is that right? 10 It had something to do with one of the Mundipharmas. 11 don't know which opioids. This is very broad. opioids I would say. I don't know if it was all opioids. 12 13 All right, Doctor, but some opioids and the prosecutors 14 in Italy conducted a criminal probe of whether, in fact, 15 Mundipharma Italy had paid kickbacks to Italian doctors. 16 that right? 17 I didn't know that fact. I don't recall that. 18 Okay. And did Mundipharma Italy settle that probe to your knowledge? 19 20 I believe so, yes. How about Mundipharma Australia? Are you aware of a 21 22 regulatory action brought there in connection with Mundipharma Australia's marketing of opioids in Australia? 23 I have no recollection of that. 24 25 All right. Do you have any reason to dispute it if

Pg 50 of 189 Page 50 1 your son testified yesterday that there was such a problem? 2 I have no knowledge of it, so how can I dispute it? I 3 can't dispute what I don't know. And are you aware that authorities in Canada have 4 5 initiated actions with respect to Purdue Canada related to its marketing of opioids? 6 7 Could you restate the question? Yeah. Are you aware that authorities in Canada have 8 9 brought actions against Purdue Canada with respect to its 10 marketing of opioids there? 11 I know there have been actions. I was informed of 12 actions. I don't know that it related to its marketing. 13 All right. Do you know that it's related to its practices with respect to opioids, any practices with 14 15 respect to opioids whatsoever in Canada? 16 I believe I know enough to answer that yes. 17 Okay. Thank you. Let me ask you now to pull up, it's 18 going to be JX2096. And we'll just be on the first page of 19 this one. 20 Α Okay. 21 MR. JOSEPH: It maybe number six down. It's the 22 sixth that I'm looking at. BY MR. EDMUNDS: 23 I'm sorry. I'm now only showing eight documents. 24 25 What's the number?

Page 51 1 It's JX-2096. 2 I'm not showing that one now. I'm sorry. 3 MR. JOSEPH: Let's email it to him again. 4 THE WITNESS: You want me to go to email now? 5 MR. JOSEPH: We're going to email it to you 6 separately so we can move this along. 7 THE WITNESS: Okay. So I should change to email 8 and download it again? 9 MR. JOSEPH: Yes. It will be a single document. 10 It's just been sent by Ben Taylor. So you should have it 11 momentarily. THE WITNESS: All I see now is 1049. 12 13 THE COURT: It will come in shortly. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. I hope. 15 MR. JOSEPH: We're going to plan on emailing each 16 document as Mr. Edmunds refers to it just to help expedite 17 this. 18 MR. EDMUNDS: Thank you, Mr. Joseph. THE WITNESS: Okay. It just came in. Tap to 19 20 download. Okay. It is open. 21 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 22 Okay. Dr. Sackler, could you take a look at that agreement and tell me, after you've had a chance to review 23 24 it, whether there is a civil settlement agreement that you 25 and other members of your family entered with the United

19-23649-shl Doc 3614 Filed 08/19/21 Entered 08/19/21 15:25:49 Main Document Pg 52 of 189 Page 52 1 States Department of Justice in 2020? I'll give you the 2 precise date. 3 Yes. I haven't read the whole document, but I do 4 recognize the first page, or at least the preamble to the A, 5 B, C, D. 6 Okay. And you have -- you're referring to the preamble 7 -- I see what you mean. Got it. And this is -- I think you've just confirmed, but let me just make sure. This is 8 9 the agreement that you entered with the Department of 10 Justice to settle claims it has -- it asserted it had 11 against you and members of your family; is that right? 12 Yes, I believe. 13 Okay. Can I ask you to scroll through to page -- to 14 Schedule -- to Addendum A rather, of this document? And 15 it's --16 What page is it? That would be helpful. 17 At the bottom, it will say JX-2096.0024. 18 I'm sorry, okay JX -- I'm having trouble seeing the bottom. So what page should it be on? 19 20 It's page -- so it has a bunch of numbers, but it's 21 Page 24 of the PDF and the JX number at the bottom of the 22 page will read 2096-0024. JX-2096.0007. Is that correct? 23 24 .0024, sir.

Sorry. Addendum A to Settlement Agreement.

www.veritext.com

25

That's

Page 53 1 what I see on Page 25. You want me to be on page? Page 22 2 of the PDF? That's fine. If you're on Page 25, I think we're fine. 3 4 I was referring you to the title page, but 25 is where we're 5 going to do anyway. That will do, I think. 6 Do you want me to read something or do you have a 7 question? I will ask you a question. First, I'll ask you: Would 8 9 you agree with me that this Addendum A is a factual 10 statement that the Department of Justice submitted and 11 attached to the civil settlement you entered? 12 MR. JOSEPH: Objection, the document speaks for 13 itself. It specifically says on Page 3 what these are. 14 MR. EDMUNDS: If counsel is willing to --15 MR. JOSEPH: It's the contentions of the United 16 States. 17 MR. EDMUNDS: Okay. I think that's fine for me. BY MR. EDMUNDS: 18 I'm going to read you some of what it said in this, Dr. 19 20 Sackler and ask you if, in fact, the facts are correct. So 21 I will do that --22 MR. JOSEPH: I object to that. On Page 3 it also 23 says the named Sacklers expressly deny the allegations regarding the covered conduct. It is precluded under Rule 24 25 408.

Page 54 MR. EDMUNDS: And, Your Honor -- I'm sorry, Your 1 2 Honor. THE COURT: Again, I actually think the question 3 4 was asked correctly this time, which is, Dr. Sackler, are 5 you going to read him specific or just ask if each one? 6 MR. EDMUNDS: Well, I can ask them all overall 7 first, but I think I might have to go specifically at that 8 point. 9 THE COURT: Dr. Sackler, the question I believe 10 is: Do you agree with the statements in this Addendum A? Do 11 you agree with them? 12 THE WITNESS: I denied all of them. So I agree 13 it's the statements in Addendum A, but I do not agree to the 14 content of the statements. Is that responsive, Your Honor? 15 THE COURT: If that's your answer. If that's what 16 you believe is true, then it's responsive. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. EDMUNDS: 18 So just to make sure this is clear. You deny, as you 19 20 sit there today, each and every factual allegation that the 21 United States Department of Justice has included in Addendum 22 A? 23 I do, yes. 24 And to be clear, if the Department of Justice specifically references a document and quotes from a 25

Page 55 1 document in Addendum A, you deny that there is a such a 2 document that contains the language quoted? 3 MR. JOSEPH: Objection. May we have specifics? 4 It's totally unfair to refer to a multipage document like 5 this. 6 THE COURT: I think you should focus on specific 7 points. 8 MR. EDMUNDS: Okay. Well --9 THE COURT: I mean you're certainly free to crossexamine Dr. Sackler on his answer. So you can probe it, but 10 11 I wouldn't just refer generally at this point. I think you 12 need to be more specific. 13 MR. EDMUNDS: Okay. I was trying to expedite it 14 if that's what the Court wanted, but I think it would be 15 better to do specifics. 16 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 17 Okay. I am going to turn you back, Dr. Sackler, if 18 you've navigated away, to Page 25, which is the document 19 atop of which is Addendum A to the settlement agreement. 20 And Roman Numeral I. Introduction. Right? So are you 21 there? 22 THE COURT: I think it was the same page you were 23 on. 24 MR. EDMUNDS: Yeah, I don't know if he has moved 25 away.

Page 56 1 I did, actually. Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm looking 2 My page number is showing. At the bottom I see the 3 Document No. 25. So I'm back to 25, yes. BY MR. EDMUNDS: 4 5 Okay. Dr. Sackler, I'm going to ask you and I'll just 6 refer and we'll go through it as slowly as you need to. But 7 I'm going to refer to specifics and just ask you if you deny 8 the facts stated, just the assertions of fact. 9 nothing to do with the overall document. We're just going 10 through these factual statements and finding out if they are 11 correct or incorrect in your view. 12 MR. JOSEPH: I'm objecting to a reference to these 13 as factual statements. It's on Page 3 they're 14 (indiscernible). 15 THE COURT: The question is when Mr. Edmunds ask 16 you do you agree with the statement, the particular 17 statement. 18 THE WITNESS: Do I agree with the statement --THE COURT: No. He's going to ask you -- he's 19 20 going to refer you to certain statements in Addendum A and 21 he's going to ask you if you agree with that statement or 22 not. 23 THE WITNESS: True or false. 24 THE COURT: Right, exactly. 25 MR. EDMUNDS: Right, Dr. Sackler, true or false.

Page 57 1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 BY MR. EDMUNDS: Okay, Dr. Sackler, the first one I'll refer you to is 3 in Paragraph 1, second sentence: Members of the Sackler 4 5 family previously served as members of the Board of 6 Directors of Purdue General Partners, including Dr. Richard 7 Sackler, Mortimer D.A. Sackler, Jonathan Sackler, Kathe 8 Sackler, and RICHARD SACKLER, the named Sacklers. True or 9 false? 10 I do not recall whether we were on the Board as General 11 Partners. 12 Okay. Paragraph --13 Sorry, I'm trying to be as responsive as possible. 14 That's fine, Dr. Sackler. Paragraph 2, first sentence: "Purdue's profits declined in 2010 after the introduction of 15 16 its reformulated OxyContin, which was intended to be more 17 difficult, although not impossible, to crush or manipulate 18 for purposes of abuse and misuse." True or false as to 19 whether Purdue's profits declined in 2010? 20 I don't know whether the profits declined in 2010. 21 just don't know. 22 Do you have any reason to believe that this statement 23 is false? I have no reason to believe that it's false or true. I 24 25 don't know.

Pg 58 of 189 Page 58 1 Next sentence, same paragraph: "The named Sacklers and 2 Purdue Executives tracked Purdue's lost sales closely and 3 regularly scrutinized sales report and related data." Is that true, Dr. Sackler? 4 5 I can speak for myself. It is true. 6 Thank you, Dr. Sackler. The next sentence: 7 including you -- "attributed the majority of the decline to 8 two trends: 1) individuals abusing opioids moving from 9 OxyContin to opioids that were easier to abuse through 10 insufflation and injection, or 2) increased scrutiny of 11 prescribers, pharmacists, and other actors in the opioid distribution chain." Is that true? 12 13 As stated, it is not true. 14 Okay. What is not true about it? 15 The word "attributed." We hoped, not attributed. 16 You, I'm sorry, hoped? 17 We hoped. Let me clear. We hoped that the majority of 18 the decline was related to the change to the abuse deterrent 19 formulation. 20 Okay. And what about the second clause: increased 21 scrutiny of prescribers, pharmacists, and other actors in 22 the opioid distribution chain? I don't recall. 23 24 Okay. Paragraph 3: Although the named Sacklers knew

that the legitimate market for produced opioids had

25

Page 59 1 contracted, the named Sacklers nevertheless requested the 2 Purdue Executives recapture lost sales and increase Purdue's 3 share of the opioid market. True or false? 4 MR. JOSEPH: That's compound, Your Honor. May we 5 break it up? There's two separate statements there. 6 MR. EDMUNDS: Sure, we can take it --7 THE COURT: Like you did with the last one, Mr. 8 Edmunds, if you could do that. 9 MR. EDMUNDS: We'll go clause by clause, yes, Your 10 Honor. Thank you. 11 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 12 Although the named Sacklers knew -- I guess the first 13 one will be: The named Sacklers knew that the legitimate 14 market for Purdue's opioids had contracted. Is that true, 15 Dr. Sackler? 16 That's false. 17 It's false. In what way is it false? It's false on its face. The market hadn't contracted. 18 All right. And the second clause: The named Sacklers 19 20 nevertheless requested the Purdue Executives recapture lost 21 sales and increase Purdue's share of the opioid market. Is 22 that true? 23 Yes, as we understood the opioid market, yes, that's 24 true, which we believed correctly, in my opinion, was 25 expanding.

Page 60 1 Thank you for the clarification. Paragraph 4: As a 2 result of these requests from at least 2013 through 2018, 3 Purdue developed an aggressive marketing program that focused on detailing over 100,000 doctors and nurse 4 5 practitioners nationwide each year. And I'll stop there. 6 Is that true? May I just read it again? 7 8 Yes, sir. 9 I can't testify. I don't recall as to the years. 10 Okay. Do you recall whether Purdue developed an 11 aggressive marketing programming that focused on detailing 12 over 100,000 doctors and nurse practitioners nationwide each 13 years? 14 We didn't consider the program aggressive, so that's 15 false. 16 Is the rest of the statement true? 17 I don't recall the number. 18 Do you have any reason to believe it's not true? 19 No. 20 Q And moving onto the next clause: It is true -- well, it 21 is true that among those 100,000 doctors and nurse 22 practitioners there were included, thousands of prescribers that the named Sacklers knew or should have known -- let me 23 24 just say knew were -- knew were prescribing opioids that 25 were not always for medically accepted indications.

Page 61

- A Can you draw my attention to that sentence, please?
- 2 Q The sentence follows the one we just talked about.
- 3 It's the second clause. It is the third line of Paragraph
- 4 4. It begins after the comma with including. And I guess
- 5 the question --

1

- A Aside from the "sometimes," we didn't know that it was
- 7 sometimes, but we certainly don't -- I don't agree with the
- 8 rest of it at all.
- 9 MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, just for one second.
- 10 THE WITNESS: I'd like to just deny that if I may,
- 11 Your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay.
- 13 MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, Marshall Huebner for the
- 14 Debtors, just one second. A request for Mr. Edmunds. As we
- 15 discussed at the beginning of this whole hearing, there is
- 16 obviously a risk that confirmation does not go through or
- 17 | that the Sacklers breach. We had the stipulations in place
- 18 to protect us against prejudices. The questions like "Did
- 19 the Sacklers know," which I think are unanswerable since
- 20 that there are dozens of human beings in multiple countries,
- 21 actually run the risk of prejudice in the estate's causes of
- 22 action against the Sacklers should they ever need to be
- 23 brought. If you wouldn't mind terribly asking Dr. Sackler
- 24 what he knows, or if what you want to ask about other
- 25 specific individuals, of course, feel free, but we're

Page 62

- actually a little bit concerned with the record being made
- 2 | with Dr. Sackler denying what the "Sacklers" knew, which I
- 3 don't actually think anybody but God could know, versus what
- 4 he or specific people you want to ask him about knew.
- 5 BY MR. EDMUNDS:
- 6 Q All right. To be very clear, Dr. Sackler, I will read
- 7 facts from this. I'm only asking you about what you know
- 8 personally. So when there's a reference to "the Sacklers"
- 9 or even the "named Sacklers" unless you know personally, I
- 10 would ask you answer only for yourself. Is that okay with
- 11 you?
- 12 A That makes it easier for me, yes.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay. And then let me just reiterate,
- 14 the decision by any party to this hearing not to engage in
- 15 cross-examination of Dr. Sackler on any of these points with
- 16 respect to his testimony has no estoppel effect in any
- 17 future litigation. So you can go ahead, Mr. Edmunds.
- 18 MR. EDMUNDS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 19 BY MR. EDMUNDS:
- 20 Q Dr. Sackler, let me turn you to the last sentence of
- 21 Paragraph 4. It carries onto the next page, which is Page
- 22 26.
- 23 A The sentences are not highlighted. I don't know
- 24 whether there's one, two, three or four sentences in
- 25 Statement 4.

Page 63 1 Okay. We'll you're welcome to read the whole thing and 2 just meet me at the last sentence, which will be what my 3 sentence is about. MR. JOSEPH: Are you talking about the last clause 4 in the first sentence, or the last sentence on Page 2? 5 6 MR. EDMUNDS: I believe Dr. Sackler has denied 7 everything after "sometimes" in the other sentence. So I 8 think we'll talk about last sentence. 9 MR. JOSEPH: The last clause in the same sentence. 10 MR. EDMUNDS: No, no. I think that's after 11 "sometimes" as I understand it. I've now moved onto --12 MR. JOSEPH: We're now in the "By 2013 sentence. 13 MR. EDMUNDS: Dr. Sackler is going to read it, but 14 I'm only going to ask about the sentence beginning with 15 "This strategy" at the end. 16 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. 17 MR. JOSEPH: Read the prior sentence. Read the 18 paragraph. 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. 20 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 21 So, Dr. Sackler, I think you should be at the last 22 sentence and I'm going to ask you the same thing. Well, let 23 me ask it this way. Did you approve a strategy known as 24 Evolve 2 Excellence or E2E when you were a director in 2013 25 at Purdue Pharma?

Page 64 1 What do you mean by approved? 2 Was it presented to the Board of Directors that 3 strategy? I don't think so. 4 5 So your testimony is you did not receive a presentation 6 about the E2E --7 Let me clarify. 8 Certainly. 9 Elements of E2E was presented, but it was not presented 10 -- I don't recollect any vote on proceeding or not 11 proceeding with the strategy. The minutes should reflect in 12 it if there was a vote and if it passed. You have those 13 minutes, do you not? 14 I believe we do, Dr. Sackler. But my question is just 15 generally, you are aware and was it presented to you as a 16 director that there was this Evolve to Excellence program? 17 I heard about it, yes. 18 Did you ever express disapproval of the program? Not that I can recall. 19 20 And to turn you know to Paragraph 6, which is -- we'll 21 skip over Paragraph 5, if you could read Paragraph 6, do you 22 agree that you and other members of the family who were on 23 the Board of Directors transferred out billions of dollars as cash distribution and profits into Sackler family holding 24 25 companies or trusts?

19-23649-shl Doc 3614 Filed 08/19/21 Entered 08/19/21 15:25:49 Main Document Pg 65 of 189 Page 65 1 Okay. I got the grasp of the question. Now may I read 2 Paragraph 6? 3 Of course, sir. It's listed as a compound statement. So it would be 4 5 helpful if you just phrased -- I can't testify to the whole 6 thing without saying I don't have memory that's sufficiently 7 sharp about every element of this. 8 Okay. Is it true that during that time period 9 generally, Dr. Sackler, the Purdue Board of Directors 10 transferred out billions of dollars to Sackler family trusts 11 or holding companies? 12 Α Yes. 13 Okay. And let me turn you now to Paragraph 10 that's on the next page. 14 15 But I'm not agreeing for example -- let me be clear.

- 16 I'm saying that your question did we transfer over the years
- approximately 2008 to '18, yes, that we did.
- 18 Q Yes, and I'm not --
- 19 A Okay.
- 20 Q The only thing you need to respond to -- we have this,
- 21 | but the only thing you need to respond to is what I say in
- 22 my actual question. That's what you're answering. The rest
- of it is not -- I'm not asking about.
- 24 A Okay.
- 25 Q Thank you for that, but I'll clarify that for you.

Page 66 1 Thank you. Α 2 So I'll ask you now again to turn to page, Page 27, 3 Paragraph 10. 4 Α 27 --5 MR. JOSEPH: It's the next page. 6 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. I keep looking at 7 the pagination of the document. I'm sorry. It's quite a 8 confusing collection of numbers here. So it's paragraph 9 what? 10 THE COURT: 10. 11 BY MR. EDMUNDS: Paragraph 10, sir. 12 13 What page number of the PDF? That would help me. 14 It's just the next page, Dr. Sackler. 15 I have now navigated --16 Okay. It's page 27 of the PDF. 17 Thank you. Page 27 of the PDF, 26, okay. I'm now looking at PDF 27. 18 19 Did you have an office at Purdue Headquarters in 20 Stanford? At what time? 21 22 Q At any time. 23 Α Yes. 24 Did you have -- and did the others, your brother Q 25 Jonathan, your cousin Kathe, did they have offices at

Page 67 1 Purdue's headquarters at any time? 2 Yes, at some time, some time, yes. And the Boardroom was also located there? 3 4 Yes, it was. Α 5 I don't think -- I don't think the court reporter would 6 have heard that, Dr. Sackler. 7 Okay, yes, yes. Α 8 Okay. Thank you. If you look at Paragraph 11, would 9 you agree with me that -- I'm referring specifically to the 10 first sentence, but that you had, at times, direct 11 communications with executives, including the sales and 12 marketing executives of Purdue? 13 Α Yes. 14 And did those communications include -- looking at the 15 last clause of the sentence -- sales forecast -- sales and 16 marketing forecast and sales and marketing strategies? 17 Where are we? Yes. Α 18 So they did. Is that right? They did. 19 20 And did they include the E2E program that we just 21 discussed earlier on? 22 I don't recollect. All right. Fair enough. Did you also communicate, as 23 it says in the next sentence, directly with lower-level 24 25 employees?

Page 68 MR. JOSEPH: May we have some clarification as to 1 2 what lower level means in that question? 3 MR. EDMUNDS: Sure. I will amend the question. 4 Let me ask it again. 5 MR. EDMUNDS: 6 Did you have communications with sales representatives? 7 MR. JOSEPH: At any time? BY MR. EDMUNDS: 8 9 At any time. 10 Α Yes. 11 I'm sorry, Dr. Sackler, can you just repeat it? 12 At any time I was on the Board of Purdue, did I? 13 O Yes. 14 Α Yes. 15 How about any time during the period which this 16 document covers which is about 2008 to 2018? 17 Just a second, excuse me. A good friend just texted me and I can't read it. I'm sorry. I was distracted. Could 18 19 you repeat --20 Did you have communications with sales representatives 21 at any time during 2008 to 2018? 22 I can only remember one occasion, one representative, 23 yes. 24 And did you ever go on a ride-along with sales 25 representatives during that time period?

Page 69

- 1 A One half-day ride-along with the sales representative,
- 2 but I had -- I just testified I had communications with.
- 3 Q Okay. And by ride-along, does that mean that you rode
- 4 in the car with the sales representative to visit the
- 5 healthcare providers the sales representative called upon?
- 6 A As an observer, yes. Not as a participant in the sales
- 7 talk.
- 8 Q So you did not speak with the healthcare provider. You
- 9 simply rode along and spoke with the sales representative
- 10 maybe? You didn't speak with HCPs that were called upon
- 11 during the sales call?
- 12 A I don't recollect I did.
- 13 Q But you did speak with the sales representative, is
- 14 that right?
- 15 A While we were in the car, we held conversations. Many
- 16 of them had nothing to do with anything other than we were
- 17 two human beings engaged in a common task.
- 18 Q And what was that common task?
- 19 A To get more patients in serious pain more affective
- 20 pain relief from their doctor.
- 21 Q And that would, in fact, result in sales of opioids.
- 22 Is that right?
- 23 A I can't tell it would. I can tell it might have. It
- 24 might have had the opposite effect too.
- 25 Q Well, the sales call might have failed, but you would

Page 70 1 agree with me the purpose of sending the sales 2 representative to the healthcare providers that you both 3 visited was to promote the sale of Purdue's opioids? 4 That was one of the purposes, yes. 5 Could you say it again, Dr. Sackler? 6 It was, it was part of a larger, overarching purpose, 7 which was to educate about the use of opioids. 8 MR. JOSEPH: Can you get closer to the microphone? 9 You're getting difficult to hear. 10 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 11 I'm suffering from laryngitis, Your Honor, and all 12 attending. My voice is beginning to give out. Okay. Can 13 you hear me now? 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 16 Yes, much better, Dr. Sackler. Okay. Dr. Sackler, I'm 17 going to skip forward a bit in the interest of time and ask 18 you to take a look at -- let's first go to the section heading, it is IV, it appears on -- there on page 29 of the 19 20 PDF. 21 Thank you. 22 And the heading I'm looking at is, "The Named Sacklers 23 Knowing Caused Medically Unnecessary Prescriptions to be Submitted to Federal Healthcare Programs" but I'm not going 24 25 to -- I don't have a question about that. I just -- I want

Page 71 1 to orient you. 2 I -- (indiscernible.) 3 Okay. And just so that we're oriented there, I'm going 4 to ask you to turn to the next page in paragraph 25, and 5 I'll ask you a question about paragraph 25. 6 May I read it before you ask the question? Of course you may. 7 8 Thanks. I'm by myself here so, I don't know why I 9 turned away, I apologize. It's -- I've read paragraph 25. Okay. Thank you, Dr. Sackler. Would you agree with me 10 0 11 that one of Purdue's most effective tools to increase and 12 maintain sales was to send sales representatives into the 13 field to visit healthcare providers? 14 Α Yes. 15 Purdue spent a lot of money on that, right? 16 I don't know what you mean by a lot of money, but --17 Well, I suspect that you don't -- maybe that's true. 18 It is a substantial portion of Purdue's marketing budget; is 19 that right? 20 If you're asking -- I'll respond to it the following 21 way. If you're asking the question of, did the entire sales 22 department cost us substantial more than our commercial 23 budget, (indiscernible). 24 All right. Thank you. And if you turn to page --25 paragraph, rather, 27, I would ask -- I will ask -- I'll let

Pg 72 of 189 Page 72 1 you read and when you're ready, let me know. 2 Okay. I have read it. Okay. Did you know -- did you have information -- were 3 4 you aware that calling on and detailing healthcare providers 5 was correlated with the prescription -- was correlated with 6 an increase in the prescription that produced opioids? 7 Α That proposition as well. 8 Q Okay. 9 The answer is no. 10 All right. So, calling -- all right. Let me just ask 11 the clause, and I will read it. Did you know that calling 12 on or detailing healthcare providers causes them to 13 prescribe more of Purdue's opioid drugs? 14 MR. JOSEPH: Asked and answered. 15 THE COURT: Well, I -- I -- you can answer that 16 question, Dr. Sackler. 17 THE WITNESS: I'm now repeating your question to 18 myself. I'm sorry for the pause, Your Honor. I still say the statement is too broad to answer in any 19 20 other way, but it is not (indiscernible) -- if you can 21 narrow the question (indiscernible) --22 MR. JOSEP: Come closer to the microphone, please. 23 Well, I'm just doing the best I can with my voice. 24 Okay. Dr. Sackler, did -- you said if I could --25 You said opioid drugs.

Page 73 1 Ah, thank you. Is it you true that with respect to 2 OxyContin? 3 So, David --Q But not always in every subcategory. Sometimes it had 4 5 no effect at all. Sometimes it had effect. 6 How about MS CONTIN? Was the -- there a correlation Q 7 there between detailing and prescribing? 8 We stopped the detailing MS CONTIN in '95. 9 You stopped detailing MS CONTIN in what years, sir? 10 Α 195. 11 THE COURT: I'm sorry, when? I think --12 13 1995, when OxyContin was introduced, we stopped 14 detailing MS CONTIN. 15 Well, how about Hysingla; is there a correlation 16 between detailing and sales? That --17 Α I wouldn't know. 18 Butrans? 19 I don't know. 20 Q Adhancia? 21 Α I'm sorry? 22 Adhancia? Q 23 I don't remember that name. I'm sorry. 24 All right. It may be the wrong -- okay. Atencia. Do 25 you remember Atencia?

Page 74 1 No. Α 2 Okay. Q I do not recall. 3 Okay. Dr. Sackler, I'd refer you now to paragraph 29. 4 Q 5 And you can go ahead and read it. 6 I did read 29. Again, it's a compound statement, so --7 Well, I haven't asked you anything about it yet, so I 8 will try to break it down. 9 There's many propositions in there. 10 Is it true that you took an interest in Purdue's 11 marketing and requested regular briefings on marketing and 12 strategies? 13 Are you asking about Purdue's Board? 14 I'm asking about you personally? 15 Only in the context of the Board meetings, yes. 16 Only in the context of the -- I think --17 Well, it says, listed regular briefings and provided input. That's not true. No, it's a compound -- it's a 18 series of statements, so it's very hard to isolate --19 20 Q You -- right --21 -- any of these (indiscernible) true. 22 So, let me -- let me just try to break it down Okay. in a way that, you know, doesn't require you to answer 23 24 collectively. 25 Α Okay.

Pg 75 of 189 Page 75 1 So, the first thing is, did you ever request regular 2 briefing -- did you request regular briefings on marketing? 3 As a -- in the Board? That can -- I don't remember the 4 Board -- certainly don't remember that I requested briefings 5 from the Board. 6 All right. But how about the Board -- I'm not hearing 7 you, Dr. Sackler. 8 Not responsive to your question. 9 Well, I didn't quite understand the response. It 10 didn't come through. 11 (Indiscernible) the question, that would probably be 12 better, I'm sorry. (Indiscernible), Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Sir, the -- I think the question was whether you yourself requested regular briefings regarding 14 15 OxyContin marketing, and I think you said --16 Not outside of the Board. No, I did not. 17 How about as a participant in the Board of Directors, 18 Dr. Sackler; did you receive regular briefings on marketing? I'm -- I don't know that they were regular headings on 19 20 any schedule. But the Board did get briefings 21 (indiscernible) about the progress or lack of progress the 22 sales progress or lack, so generally, it's okay. But we got 23 many briefings. I don't they were regular. 24 And were you briefed on the sales strategies that were

implemented by Purdue in marketing opioids?

Pg 76 of 189 Page 76 1 I don't recall. 2 Could you recall conversations with Russell Gasdia about the sales strategies that he was implementing as VP of 3 Sales? 4 I didn't remind -- of -- (indiscernible) -- are you --5 6 I think you have the data, that you're referring to emails. 7 If you're referring to, did I call him up and ask him how 8 things are doing or any open-ended question, the best of my 9 recollection, no I did not. 10 Did you ever have phone calls with Russell Gasdia? 11 Ever? Yes. 12 Okay. And did you have phone calls with Russell Gasdia 13 about sales and marketing? 14 I cannot recall. I'm not denying it, I'm just saying I 15 can't recall it. 16 You can't recall the calls, but you have no reason to 17 deny that they occurred; is that correct? 18 At least a few over years, yes. All right. 19 20 Very few. Certainly not regular and not frequent. 21 And I think we talked about the ride-along that you 22 went on at the end of paragraph 29, so I'll skip -- I won't

ask a question. Could you turn to paragraph 34 on the next

page?

23

Pg 77 of 189 Page 77 1 All right. Thank you. Is it true that you -- that 2 through at least January 2014, you received weekly analyses 3 of opioid prescribing data? For brief periods -- I can't locate it to January 2014. 4 5 There were brief periods that I did get weekly reports, but 6 they were, like, forward, like four weeks, eight weeks, or 7 twelve weeks. They weren't -- they didn't extend. They 8 were not regular and they were not frequent. 9 Okay. But you did receive reports -- or, sorry. 10 Reports are not -- well, strike that. 11 You did receive analyses of opioid prescribing data 12 from time to time? 13 Actually, no. I recall receiving data, not analysis. I wanted to see the data and the -- I don't recall they 14 15 provided that or not. 16 Okay. You got the data though on opioid prescribing? 17 Yes, for brief periods. 18 All right. Infrequently, I may have, yes. We'd get that. 19 20 Q Was the Board briefed on opioid prescribing data during 21 your time on the Board? 22 It can come up in a Board meeting. But it Sometimes. 23 depended on whether the marketing department was making a 24 presentation.

Okay. And I believe you said sometimes it would come

Pg 78 of 189 Page 78 1 up at Board meetings but it depended upon whether the 2 marketing department was making a presentation; is that what 3 you said? 4 Yes. Α 5 All right. 6 The -- another department that got close to that was 7 the finance department, which made reports on financial 8 statements because it was directly related. All right. And was the financial state of the business 9 10 related to opioid prescribing? 11 No, it was not related to opioid prescribing. 12 It's not the case that if opioid prescribing went up, 13 the company would sell more opioids? 14 In general, it was (indiscernible) there would be 15 increased sales, but not always. 16 Okay. And is it true that the -- is it generally true, 17 all other things being equal, the higher the dose of opioids 18 prescribed, the higher Purdue's profits? All else being independent, yes. However, it's an, you 19 20 know, I don't think I want to add anything to that. 21 answer is yes. 22 Okay. So, the answer is yes and you don't think you 23 want to add anything to that, right? 24 Α No.

I just -- there's going to be a court reporter and I'm

Page 79 1 just making sure that the --2 No. (Indiscernible.) 3 -- because it is difficult to hear you. 4 No, I'm sorry. No, I mean, I'm doing the best. And I -- I didn't hear that at all. 5 6 I'm doing my best. I was addressing Judge Drain. 7 THE COURT: He just said he's doing the best he 8 can to be heard. 9 Oh. Well, we understand that, and -- I'm just --10 that's why I'm repeating, just to make sure that you are. 11 Okay. Thank you. 12 All right. So, no -- I'm not -- we've all struggled 13 with difficulties. There's -- we're not blaming, we're just -- I'm just explaining what I'm doing and why I'm repeating 14 15 you. 16 Okay. Turn to paragraph 40 on the next page, Dr. 17 Sackler. 18 Yes. I've read it. Is it true -- oh, thank you. Is it true that you 19 20 emailed on January 25th, 2010, other members of Purdue's 21 Board of Directors, "By way of background, the most 22 important driver of our sales growth or decline is the 23 performance of all Oxycodone extended-release forms in the 24 market, called OER. This is comprised of OxyContin tablets 25 plus all generics in space."

Page 80 1 I don't -- okay. Without the document, I can't testify 2 as to whether the quotation is accurate. But since the Department of Justice quoted me, I might have said that. 3 4 No basis for denying that you, in fact, sent an email 5 containing that language? 6 Α No. 7 And is this statement you made, in fact, true? (Indiscernible) sample (indiscernible) more complicated 8 9 than that. 10 I understand it might be more complicated, but --11 Everything else being equal, the statement is true. Α 12 Q Okay. 13 Is that a more responsive answer? 14 Every -- I think you said everything else being equal, 15 the statement is true; is that correct? 16 Yes, that's what I said. 17 And that's fine. Thank you. If you continue to 18 paragraph 41, and just take a look. 19 Yes. 20 Is it true that you were personally aware of Purdue's 21 sales targets? 22 As a Board member. I'm made aware of them in Board 23 meetings. Yes, it is --24 All right. So, you learned that the targets of 25 Purdue's sales and marketing campaigns in your capacity as a

Page 81 1 Board member? 2 That's correct, in the Board meeting. And really, the named Sacklers, which is not quite, but it's misleading --3 but it could mislead (indiscernible). And the name Sackler 4 5 somehow got that the (indiscernible) things are 6 (indiscernible), at least in my opinion. (Indiscernible) 7 the decision (indiscernible) of OxyContin's importance 8 (indiscernible) Board. 9 Right. The members of the Board would have been made 10 aware of the sales targets; that's what you're saying, yes? 11 Yes. Α 12 Thank you, Dr. Sackler. And then at times -- is it true that at times, and I'll ask you just for you, is it 13 14 true that at times you challenged the executives' setting of 15 sales targets? 16 In Board meetings, yes. 17 Okay. And you suggested that some targets were 18 inappropriate, others were -- did you ever suggest that some targets are -- were inappropriate targets? 19 20 No. No --21 Did you ever --22 -- (indiscernible) inadequate in terms of results, sometimes. Sometimes I'd point out that it seemed 23 24 optimistic to me, but yes. 25 Okay. So, no, not inappropriate, but sometimes

Page 82 inadequate in terms of results, and sometimes you thought 1 2 that it seemed optimistic; is that your testimony? 3 Sometimes, yes. Α 4 All right. Thank you. 5 This is only in the Board meetings. 6 In the Board meetings. I understand. Q 7 In the dialog. Α And other members of the Board also engaged in this 8 9 dialog? 10 Yes. 11 Did other member of the Board sometimes challenge the Q targets set by Purdue executives? 12 13 Α Yes. Did Mortimer D.A. Sackler do that? 14 15 Sometimes. 16 Q Did Theresa Sackler do that? 17 Α I don't recall. How about Eileen Sackler Lefcourt? 18 Q 19 I don't recall. Α 20 How about Jonathan Sackler, your brother? Q 21 Α Yes. 22 How about Kathe Sackler, the cousin? Q 23 Α Yes. 24 I should have had a list. I -- how about RICHARD Q 25 SACKLER, your son?

Pg 83 of 189 Page 83 1 I don't really -- I don't recall. 2 All right. Could I ask you to turn to the next page, 3 paragraph 44? This is very helpful (indiscernible). I was just 4 5 saying, Your Honor, it's (indiscernible) myself. 6 MR. JOSEPH: I think it may be necessary, Mr. 7 Edmunds, for him to read paragraph 43, since paragraph 44 8 begins, "In response". 9 MR. EDMUNDS: All right. That's fine. 10 0 Dr. Sackler, if you would read paragraph 43 also, and 11 then read paragraph 44. 12 I will do so. All right. I have read the paragraph. 13 Yes. 14 Okay. And I -- did you have a dispute with Purdue's 15 CEO at the time, 2009, 2010, I believe, that -- over the 16 budget for Purdue's -- the perspective budget for Purdue's 17 sales of -- target sales of OxyContin? 18 Don't remember the time frame. Did you use the word disagreement? I think it wasn't a disagreement, a 19 20 difference of opinion, and I, you know, I didn't agree 21 sometimes with his opinion, yes. But (indiscernible). 22 Okay. And if I recall correctly, tell me if you agree, 23 management had proposed, I think, a target growth of about 3 24 percent and you suggested it should be higher; is that 25 right?

Pg 84 of 189 Page 84 1 I don't remember the numbers. 2 All right. But management had proposed a target, and 3 you suggested that the target should be higher; is that right? 4 5 That happened, from -- you know, rarely, but it did 6 happen. 7 Okay. And that was a matter that you took up with the Board of Directors; is that right? 8 9 (Indiscernible), this is what I think it may be 10 referring to as a document. It's not referenced here. 11 (Indiscernible) --12 THE COURT: Dr. Sackler, if you'd closer to your 13 microphone. 14 -- I believe it was taken up at that meeting. If, His 15 Honor, I am recalling, it was not the time it was taken up 16 with the Board of Directors because it was a budget meeting. 17 And --18 Okay. And -- I'm sorry, what was that, Dr. Sackler? An annual budget meeting. 19 20 An annual budget meeting of the Board of Directors. 21 If it's not -- it's the document I'm thinking of. 22 MR. JOSEPH: Objection. Foundation. THE COURT: What -- which -- Mr. Edmunds are you -23 24 - are you now focusing on the foreign entities in the 25 foreign countries?

Page 85 1 MR. JOSEPH: This is (indiscernible). Perhaps we 2 could look at the document. MR. EDMUNDS: Well, we could, Mr. Joseph, but I 3 mean, I think he remembers a document and that satisfies my 4 5 question. So, I don't know that we need to --6 MR. JOSEPH: That's fine. 7 MR. EDMUNDS: -- put him through that. 8 Okay. And do you see paragraph 45, Dr. Sackler, 9 immediately following? Do you recall an email on January 10 25th, 2010, where you informed the Board that you had 11 engaged management on the subject of the budget targets for 12 OxyContin? 13 I do see that paragraph, yes. 14 Do you recall the email? 15 No, without looking at it, I don't recall it -- all of 16 it. 17 Okay. Any reason to believe you didn't send that 18 email? 19 No reason. 20 No reason to believe that, that you didn't --21 I have no -- I don't have perfect recall, so it's --22 Understood, understood. Q You're just talking about an email I don't recall. 23 24 Without looking, but I have no reason to deny that it may be 25 didn't, but I don't know.

Page 86 1 All right. How about -- let me. I'll move on, Dr. 2 Sackler, to page 52. 3 THE COURT: You mean paragraph 52? 4 MR. EDMUNDS: Paragraph 52. Thank you, Your Honor. 5 I'm on, just to clarify that, page 34. That's the next 6 page. 7 When you're ready, Dr. Sackler, let me know. 8 Okay. 9 Okay. Dr. Sackler, is it true that on April 15th, 10 2012, you emailed Purdue's Vice President of Sales, I think 11 that would be Mr. Gasdia whom -- about whom we've spoken, 12 suggesting, "We should discuss the sudden decline in 13 OxyContin sales in the past year or two. What are we doing 14 to identify corrective actions?" Did you send that email? 15 I don't remember the email. If you show me the email, 16 I might bring it back to my memory. 17 MR. JOSEPH: Your Honor, I'm going to object to 18 this line at this point, under Rule 403. I mean, the 19 documents have all been produced. This is a pointless 20 exercise in testing a memory about an eight-year-old 21 document. 22 MR. EDMUNDS: Let me ask the -- Your Honor, I 23 could -- it's a fine question, but I'll just continue. 24 THE COURT: I -- I guess I was assuming you were setting the context for another question? 25

Page 87 1 MR. EDMUNDS: I'm just asking him if he has any 2 basis for, you know, if he has any reason to deny that he sent this email. Of course, there are thousands of 3 4 documents, but --5 MR. JOSEPH: From millions of pages, 100 million 6 pages of documents. It's totally unfair to pick out a 7 paragraph and ask him if he remembers an email --8 THE COURT: Yeah. 9 MR. JOSEPH: -- from eight or ten years ago. 10 THE COURT: If you're just asking him those types 11 of questions, it's not really helpful. If you're asking --12 MR. EDMUNDS: All right. 13 THE COURT: If you're asking this question to set 14 up another question, then that's fine, you can do that. But 15 16 MR. EDMUNDS: Okay. Well, I think what we'll --17 I'll just move on. I mean, we -- I'm trying to avoid the 18 need to go into that stack of documents, but that's fine. 19 We can move on. 20 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 21 Dr. Sackler, if you would turn to page 61? 22 THE COURT: Paragraph --23 MR. EDMUNDS: I'm sorry. Paragraph 61 on page 36. 24 My apologies. 25 MR. JOSEPH: Same objection.

Page 88 1 MR. EDMUNDS: I haven't asked a question. 2 THE COURT: Again, Mr. Edmunds is entitled to set 3 up a question. If it's just about -- if all you're getting 4 to is whether you agree that some document was sent or he 5 wrote -- I think that I understand the objection. If it's 6 to set up a question about that topic, you can ask that 7 question. 8 MR. EDMUNDS: Yeah, and no, I'm going to ask about 9 a topic not about --10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. EDMUNDS: -- the document. And I hear, Your 12 Honor, so I don't think I will be -- I understand the 13 ruling, sir. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 16 Dr. Sackler, are you at paragraph 61 now? Sir? 17 I'm sorry, I did respond. I heard your question. I 18 said, I see 61. I've read it. Oh. Okay, thank you. And are you aware of Region Zero 19 20 is? I am not aware of what it might be today if it exists 21 22 at all. But at that time, I believed I understood what 23 Region Zero was, yes. 24 And what was Region Zero at that time? It was -- the way we referred to doctors who had been 25

Page 89

1 removed from detailing and whose sales or prescription 2 performance was removed from compensation of sales for the sales force. 3 And why were doctors in Region Zero removed from 4 5 compensation for the sales force? 6 Based upon a longstanding, much before 2010 -- we had a 7 very elaborate division, program, I can't recall the name of it, but to reduce drug addiction and abuse. And one of the 8 9 elements was to stop promoting to doctors who or whom the 10 salesman or the direct first-line supervisor had concerns 11 about the quality or the -- even their integrity or honesty, 12 or the lawfulness of their practice. And those physicians, 13 I don't know -- those physicians reported to Region Zero. 14 And removing them from compensation was not intended as a 15 punishment perhaps, but it really was a punishment for any -16 - that a, you know, you attempt to just neutralize their 17 effect on our sales results for compensation purposes. 18 Okay. So, it would move -- it was a -- I'll let that stand. And Dr. Sackler, just going back, it's something 19 20 that you said, it occurred to me that I had skipped over a 21 question. 22 Did you have -- I had asked you if at any time during 23 2008 to 2018, you had had conversations with individual 24 sales representatives. I neglected to ask you; did you have conversations with sales managers? 25

Page 90 1 You -- could you define what you mean by sales 2 managers? 3 Well, let's start with person who -- the types of Q employees who had -- to whom the field representatives would 4 5 report, those sales managers --6 Are you --Α 7 -- the first-level managers, I guess. 8 First-level managers. I recall no such conversation. 9 No conversation with first-level managers; is that 10 right? 11 Yes. 12 And -- okay. How about the supervisors of the first-13 level managers? 14 I do not recall any conversations with the supervisors 15 of the first-level managers. And let me just say, there is 16 possibility that in a large-scale sales meeting, I might 17 have conversed with them, but not as their management role. 18 I might have said hello or good job out there, or I might 19 not have said anything. They may have addressed me. 20 Okay. Did you attend -- you attended sales meetings? 21 Very rarely, but I did, and two or three that I can 22 recall, over 20 years. Do you recall an individual named Phil Cramer? 23 24 I can recall the name, but it doesn't bring a face to 25 me.

Page 91 1 I'm sorry, Dr. Sackler, that --2 I recall Mr. Cramer, Phil Cramer, as a name, not 3 somebody who I remember talking to. 4 Okay. Let me ask you to move on. We were talking 5 about Region Zero, and we were at Paragraph 61. Let me ask you to move to Paragraph 62, and ask you if you were aware 6 7 that, at the time, December 1st, 2010, that Region Zero had accounted for a -- it says much of the sales decline that 8 9 had occurred in that year? 10 MR. JOSEPH: New objection. It's referring to a 11 It says they received a chart. chart. 12 THE COURT: No, but -- no, I'll overrule the 13 objection. Were you aware on December 1, 2010, that Region Zero accounted for much of the decline in sales at the 14 15 regional level? Do you recall being aware of that 16 proposition? 17 THE WITNESS: I cannot (indiscernible) in time, but that proposition, I do recall at some point. Yes. I 18 don't recall who made it. It almost certainly was in a 19 20 Board meeting. 21 MR. EDMUNDS: All right. Thank you, Dr. Sackler. 22 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 23 If you would -- were you also aware of a time -- were you also aware -- and I'd just refer you to Paragraph 65 --24 25 but were you also aware that there had been a decline in 80

Page 92 1 milligram OxyContin prescriptions when prescribers were 2 placed on the do not call list? At any time -- at any (indiscernible). I'm just going 3 4 to ask for a point in time. 5 Oh, I'm sorry. Let's say this time around 2011, the time referenced in the addendum. 7 Α Which paragraph are you forming this question about? 65, Dr. Sackler. 8 9 I don't recall that. 10 0 Okay. 11 MR. EDMUNDS: I don't know if Your Honor would entertain a short recess for me and the witness. I think I 12 13 can skip over some portions, get organized, and save some 14 time in the end. 15 THE COURT: Okay. You think you'd like about five 16 minutes, or...? 17 MR. EDMUNDS: Five minutes, yeah. THE COURT: That's fine. We'll come back at 25 18 after 12:00. You can move around too, Dr. Sackler. Just 19 20 don't discuss your testimony with anyone during that short 21 break. 22 THE WITNESS: (indiscernible) 23 THE COURT: Don't turn off your screen or anything 24 like that. Just leave the screen on. 25 THE WITNESS: Okay.

	Py 93 01 109
	Page 93
1	(Recess)
2	THE COURT: Okay. This is Judge Drain, and we're
3	back on the record in In Re Purdue Pharma. Dr. Sackler, you
4	understand that you're still under oath, correct?
5	THE WITNESS: Understand, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Edmunds, you
7	want to continue?
8	MR. EDMUNDS: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
9	BY MR. EDMUNDS:
10	Q Dr. Sackler, why don't we Might I ask just
11	preliminarily, Dr. Sackler, make sure there are no papers on
12	top of your computer that might be muting the sound.
13	Nothing on the okay.
14	A There are none.
15	Q All right. Thank you.
16	A My sound my microphones on maximum gain. It's
17	really not
18	THE COURT: We can actually hear you well at this
19	point.
20	THE WITNESS: Oh, good. Good. No (indiscernible)
21	noise, I trust.
22	MR. EDMUNDS: Dr. Sackler, I no, sir.
23	BY MR. EDMUNDS:
24	Q Dr. Sackler, I'd turn you now we're going to skip
25	over parts of this and go to Paragraph 80, which is on Page

Page 94 1 39 of the document we were looking at. 2 I see Paragraph 80. 3 And I guess I don't have a -- I guess I'd ask, do you 4 recall the consulting company McKinsey in your capacity as a 5 Board Number for work with respect to Purdue's sales and 6 marketing activities? 7 No, I did not. I do not -- the answer to that question -- could you restate the question? 8 9 Do you recall --10 There was a contact, but it wasn't in general. It was 11 about their work product. 12 Well, let me ask if you had a contact -- let me start 13 from what you just told me. You had a contact with McKinsey 14 in your capacity as a Director of Purdue with respect to 15 McKinsey's work product. Is that correct? 16 That is correct. The E2E the program. 17 The E2E program? Is that what you said? 18 Yes. Okay. And that was related to Purdue's marking and 19 20 sale of OxyContin. Is that right? 21 I can't testify as to the entirety of the E2E program, 22 but that was the portion of the E2E program. But I wasn't -- let me restate. I called in about their work product on 23 24 E2E, certain observations that they had made the situation 25 before they -- you know, as they started their study, and

19-23649-shl Doc 3614 Filed 08/19/21 Entered 08/19/21 15:25:49 Main Document Pg 95 of 189 Page 95 1 their findings at the beginning of this (indiscernible). 2 So the situation that was declining sales of Okay. 3 OxyContin? Is that right? 4 Α Yes. 5 And you had a call with McKinsey about their work 6 related to declining sales of OxyContin? Is that right? 7 Α And more specifically to their observations about their 8 managing of the sales program. Yes. 9 Okay. So you had a conversation with McKinsey about 10 observations McKinsey had made about how Purdue's sales 11 program was managed? Is that correct? 12 Well, the situation that they found (indiscernible). 13 Could you say that again, Dr. Sackler? I don't think it came through. 14 15 They found in the state of focus on the sales force, to 16 be more precise. 17 Okay. And did McKinsey make recommendations as part of 18 its engagement in the situation for sales practices that 19 would improve their performance of OxyContin? 20 Yes. 21 Okay. And did you discuss those recommendations with 22 McKinsey? 23 We discussed those with McKinsey, yes.

Okay. When you say -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.

I discussed.

24

Pg 96 of 189 Page 96 1 When you said we, who else discussed --Nobody else I recall. I didn't want to mislead 2 (indiscernible) It was a call I h ad. 3 4 All right. So you had a call, and it was just you, no 5 executives, and McKinsey? 6 No. This was after they (indiscernible) the 7 report. 8 All right. And was the result of the report the 9 development of the E2E program? 10 It was one of the things that we discussed, yeah. 11 Okay. Did they talk about --12 (indiscernible) discuss (indiscernible). 13 (indiscernible) load. Just restate the question and I'll 14 try to make it into a simple answer. Okay? 15 Did you have a discussion -- sure. Did you have a 16 discussion with them about the E2E program? 17 Perhaps. I don't recall. Α 18 Okay. In that phone call, I don't recall what we discussed. 19 20 We certainly didn't discuss the program in general. Okay. Did Purdue ultimately implement the programs 21 Q 22 recommended by McKinsey, including E2E? 23 I don't recall whether (indiscernible) every program. E2E, or what came to be called E2 -- it was probably a 24 25 subset of everything in their report. But I don't remember. 19-23649-shl Doc 3614 Filed 08/19/21 Entered 08/19/21 15:25:49 Main Document Pg 97 of 189 Page 97 1 Okay. Well, you took the actions recommended by 2 McKinsey, generally? Is that correct? The company (indiscernible). I didn't take any action 3 at all. 4 5 But the Board of Directors was aware of the 6 recommendations that McKinsey had made? Is that right? 7 Α Yes. It was made aware of the recommendations by the sales department. 8 9 Okay. So it was made aware of the recommendations and 10 the Board -- the company subsequently implemented all of the 11 recommendations that McKinsey had provided in its report. 12 Is that right? 13 I can't testify to all of (indiscernible). I don't 14 recall. 15 Do you recall specific ones that it did implement? 16 Yes. I recall one clearly. 17 What is the one you recall? 18 To stop calling on doctors who didn't prescribe opioids 19 because -- I don't have to add anything -- but to shift the 20 emphasis to (indiscernible) 8, 9 and 10 doctors. That's my 21 recollection. 22 Okay. And 8, 9 and 10 doctors are high prescribers of 23 opioids? Is that correct? 24 8, 9 and 10 are all high prescribers.

Q

Okay.

Pg 98 of 189 Page 98 1 Yes. 2 And so Purdue Pharma -- well, I think it your testimony that Purdue Pharma implemented that recommendation from 3 4 McKinsey. Is that right? 5 I can't tell you what effectiveness -- like they said 6 they would implement it. Yes. 7 0 All right. And as management said to (indiscernible) that they 8 9 would implement (indiscernible). I want to be really 10 precise. 11 And the Board -- management said to the Board that they 12 would implement it and the company subsequently implemented 13 it after management informed the Board that it would be 14 doing that. Is that right? I don't recall what success -- I do recall that they 15 16 said that seeing the busiest doctors who used the most 17 opioids is in general very difficult to do. So I infer that 18 they were anticipating that they could only (indiscernible) 19 subset. But I don't have any knowledge beyond that. 20 Okay. And did -- I think we're talking about this 21 recommendation as a subset of the total McKinsey 22 recommendations. It's your testimony that there were other 23 recommendations that the Board may have implemented, but you don't recall them specifically. Is that right? 24

MR. JOSEPH: Objection. Form.

Page 99 1 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 2 I don't think the Board implemented --3 THE COURT: He can answer that. BY MR. EDMUNDS: 4 I don't recall if the Board "implement" anything. 5 6 How about the company? Did it -- were there other 7 recommendations by McKinsey that the company implemented 8 that you simply don't recall what they were? 9 I can't recall what I don't recall. But there may have 10 been. 11 All right. Fair enough. Do you recall an 12 Individualize the Dose campaign in around 2013? And I could 13 refer you to Paragraph 113 of the document that we're 14 looking at that might help. 15 I don't recall that. 16 Okay. You don't recall at all an Individualize the 17 Dose campaign? 18 Not at this moment. If you showed me a document, it might bring it to mind. 19 20 Well, was there ever a point at which you ran a 21 campaign that would promote the titration of individuals, 22 individual dosages, from one level to another? 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. And in individualizing the dose... Well, you're 25 a medical doctor, sir, are you not?

Pg 100 of 189 Page 100 1 I am. 2 And so you're familiar with the phenomena, I guess I'll call them, of opioid tolerance? 3 I've heard about it. I think it's a disputed concept. 4 5 Okay. You dispute that people develop tolerance to 6 opioids? 7 It's (indiscernible) because it is possible that some But it is often misdiagnosed by physicians. 8 do. 9 Q Okay. 10 They say, oh, they're tolerant, so we've got to change 11 the (indiscernible) we have to do something else. 12 Okay. Is it true that at -- well, strike that. Dr. 13 Sackler, I'm just going to go through. I think we can skip 14 much of the rest of this. All right. I'm going to -- I 15 think we can put this document aside, and let me ask you, 16 you will have received, I think individually from your 17 counsel -- and maybe the plan is just to email it to you now 18 19 MR. JOSEPH: We will. 20 MR. EDMUNDS: It's not the most recent, Mr. 21 Joseph. Just so you know, I'll read the Bates number. It's 22 not a joint exhibit. 23 MR. JOSEPH: Is this the one you just emailed to 24 us? 25 That's a different one. MR. EDMUNDS: Nope. This

Page 101 1 is from earlier. 2 MR. JOSEPH: Okay. MR. EDMUNDS: It is PPLP UCC 9002378586. 3 4 MR. JOSEPH: One sec. Do we have it? Okay. 5 Found it. We'll email it. It's being emailed to you. 6 MR. EDMUNDS: Okay. Thank you. 7 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 8 Dr. Sackler, let me know when you've had a chance to 9 open it and have it in front of you. Yes. I'm looking (indiscernible). 10 11 MR. EDMUNDS: And may I get a copy? Is it... 12 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 13 It has not come through yet. 14 Q Okay. 15 It takes a minute or two. This is a server that's at 16 quite a distance, but (indiscernible). Okay. Opening it. 17 No. I don't (indiscernible). Okay. Okay. Yes. I 18 (indiscernible) management discussions, looks like Page 2 --All right. 19 This is an email about Board and management 20 discussions. And would you agree -- I think there may be --21 there may be an email that follows it. I'm only concerned 22 with the first. This is an email from you to Peter Boer of 23 --(indiscernible) email dated (indiscernible) Oh, no. 24 25 (indiscernible) it's from the 8th.

Page 102 1 Sir, if you would scroll down to the nest page, or I 2 think maybe two pages, Dr. Sackler, you'll see your email signature at the bottom of the text. Do you see that? 3 I do. 4 Α 5 Sir, do you agree with me that this is an email from 6 you to Peter Boer of December 30, 2010, at 1:06 PM? 7 It appears to be so. Yes. 8 Okay. And can I ask you to just read down, there's a 9 discussion of how the company is organized. And I would ask 10 you to just tell me there's a sentence: "There seems to be 11 consensus that the role of the board and that of management 12 is blurred compared with the distinctions made by other 13 major corporations." 14 Do you see that? 15 I do. 16 And no reason to believe you didn't send that to Mr. 17 Boer? 18 MR. JOSEPH: Objection; misleading. I'm sorry. I don't understand. 19 THE COURT: 20 MR. JOSEPH: Your Honor, the subject --21 THE COURT: He's already --22 MR. JOSEPH: I don't want to --23 THE COURT: Let me -- Mr. Sackler's already 24 identified that this is his email, so I don't know if you're 25 getting at something other than that.

Page 103 1 MR. JOSEPH: (Indiscernible) without the witness 2 listening, if I may, because I don't want to be cuing the 3 witness with the objection but this is misleading. THE COURT: This what? I'm just -- it's not an 4 5 objection to the --6 MR. JOSEPH: The subject of the email reflects a 7 text and comments --8 THE COURT: All right. 9 MR. JOSEPH: -- on texts. THE COURT: All right. Well, so it's really not 10 11 an objection to the question. It's an objection to the 12 questioning on this document? 13 MR. JOSEPH: He asked what the --14 THE COURT: I think you can get at this on 15 redirect, Mr. Joseph. 16 I think I can just -- I think I hear Mr. Joseph, and I 17 will just let the email stand as identified and change the 18 question to did you write that sentence, Dr. Sackler? Which sentence again are you reading? 19 20 "There seems to be consensus that the role of the board 21 and that of management is blurred compared with the 22 distinctions made by other major corporations." Is that 23 your sentence? 24 I see that, and the question is what? What's the 25 question about that?

Page 104 1 You wrote that, right? 2 I don't recall. 3 Okay. But this is an email -- no, okay. All right. 4 It was a long time ago, too. I don't remember every 5 email --6 Okay. Q 7 -- from years ago, 11 years ago. 8 One moment, please. 9 MR. EDMUNDS: Court's indulgence. 10 (Pause) 11 MR. EDMUNDS: The email that Mr. Joseph, the most 12 recent document that Ms. Thomasson sent to you, could you 13 get that to Dr. Sackler, please? 14 MR. JOSEPH: Is this December 7 of 2008? 15 MR. EDMUNDS: Yes, sir. 16 MR. JOSEPH: Okay. 17 THE COURT: I'm not sure I have -- what exhibit is 18 that, Mr. Edmunds? 19 MR. EDMUNDS: It is -- I'm not sure the Court has 20 it either. I don't know. I just ask Ms. Thomasson if she's 21 listening to make sure that it does. 22 MR. JOSEPH: It's not marked as an exhibit on the copy I've got. 23 24 MR. EDMUNDS: It's not in the joint exhibit book. 25 THE COURT: And I don't think it was sent to

Page 105 1 chambers unless you have it, Mike? 2 MR. EDMUNDS: I don't --THE COURT: I mean I printed that out. That's 3 4 what we've been discussing. But this is a different one, I 5 think. 6 MR. EDMUNDS: Your Honor, for the preceding one, I 7 should -- actually because it's not a joint exhibit, I would 8 move it into evidence. 9 THE COURT: Okay. We're talking about PPL UCC? 10 That one? 11 MR. EDMUNDS: That one was the previous one, yes. 12 And I'd move --13 THE COURT: Is there any objection to its 14 admission? 15 MR. JOSEPH: No, Your Honor. Not to the document. 16 THE COURT: Okay. It's admitted with the next 17 number wherever you are up to the JO -- JX exhibits. 18 (JX Exhibit Entered Into Evidence) MR. EDMUNDS: Okay. And --19 THE COURT: And I do -- I think the other 20 21 documents NCSGOO1, is that the one you were looking at? 22 MR. JOSEPH: I believe you're correct, Your Honor. 23 MR. EDMUNDS: Mr. Joseph, I'm sorry, I didn't hear 24 I'm looking at a document that has the Bates number 25 PWG004493361.

Page 106 1 THE COURT: No, I don't have that. 2 MR. EDMUNDS: Would Your Honor mind if I just read it into the record if the witness has it and --3 4 THE COURT: If it's short, yes. 5 MR. EDMUNDS: -- get it to the -- it is short. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 7 MR. EDMUNDS: An email. All right. Dr. Sackler, do you have this -- have you 8 9 been able to get this document up? It's a document. 10 Yes, I have the right PW number. 11 All right. And, I'm sorry. 12 I'm looking at (indiscernible) of the document. 13 Doctor, I didn't hear. 14 I am looking at the first page of the document. 15 Okay, thank you. Dr. Sackler, would you agree with me 16 that this is an email from you to Dr. Craig Landau of 17 December 7th, 2008? 18 MR. JOSEPH: Objection. There are four emails in 19 this document. 20 MR. EDMUNDS: The first one. 21 MR. JOSEPH: The last one? The one on the first 22 page? 23 MR. EDMUNDS: The one that is at the top of the 24 document. 25 Dr. Sackler, do you see a December 7th, 2008 9:52:31

Page 107 1 p.m. email from you to Dr. Landau? 2 I do. (Indiscernible). 3 Do you see it? 4 Yes. Α 5 And did you send this email? 6 It appears so. Okay. And in the email, you discuss -- the subject 7 8 line is "Revised OTR Briefing Document." Does OTR refer to 9 the reformulation of OxyContin? 10 Yes, it did. 11 Okay. And so is it fair to say that this email 12 discusses the reformulated OxyContin that Purdue brought to 13 market in about 2010? 14 It refers apparently to a document. 15 An FDA document related to OTR. Is that right? 16 It looks that way, yes. 17 Okay. And could you read paragraph 5 of the message? 18 Α Yes. And would you read it in the record, please? 19 20 Paragraph 5 says -- can you hear me? 21 Q Yes. 22 It has to be recorded. "The FDA isn't concerned about 23 profitability. But you can understand that we wouldn't do this unless we believe that it may make a major difference." 24 25 Okay. And that is referring -- well, strike that.

Page 108 1 think -- Dr. Sackler, you can put that one aside. Let me 2 just ask you a couple of additional questions. 3 MR. JOSEPH: We would object and move to strike, 4 unless we're moving this into evidence, referring to matters 5 not in evidence. 6 MR. EDMUNDS: I'm sorry. I would move it into 7 evidence now as it's been authenticated and --8 THE COURT: Okay. And I --9 MR. EDMUNDS: -- Your Honor, I would move it --10 THE COURT: We did get the document now, so I have 11 it too. Does anyone object to its admission? And I guess 12 it's just --13 MR. JOSEPH: No. THE COURT: -- this one email that's on the first 14 15 page. 16 MR. EDMUNDS: That's the only one that we're 17 moving, yes. THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Joseph, you said --18 MR. JOSEPH: No objection. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. So it's admitted. 21 follow in the JX numbers that the last one --22 MR. JOSEPH: Your Honor, I would ask that the 23 whole document be in just for context, if we may. 24 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. 25 MR. EDMUNDS: Thank you.

Page 109 1 (JX Exhibit Entered Into Evidence) 2 Dr. Sackler, are you aware that there is a website that 3 is maintained in your name currently of "Judge For Yourselves," I believe dot-net? 4 5 I'm aware of -- I'm aware there is a website or several 6 websites "Judge" -- I don't know whether the dot-net one is 7 our website that is -- it's not in my name. It's on behalf 8 of the Sackler Defendants, I guess. I'm not a lawyer, so I 9 really can't say who it's on behalf of. But I'm not sure 10 that the dot-net is the one that we control. 11 MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, just to be clear, it's 12 by the B side only, not the A side. 13 MR. EDMUNDS: Wait, so the (indiscernible). 14 Sorry. 15 MR. HUEBNER: Mr. (indiscernible) just 16 (indiscernible). If my memory is right, I thought Mr. 17 Joseph was going to say it. I think that the B side one is 18 .info and the TD person's one is .com, but I'm not an expert 19 in this stuff. I don't (indiscernible) television, so 20 (indiscernible) correct. 21 MR. EDMUNDS: I just wasn't... 22 MR. HUEBNER: ...the interrogation. MR. EDMUNDS: I believe that that is correct, 23 24 thank you, Mr. Huebner. 25 BY MR. EDMUNDS:

Pg 110 of 189 Page 110 1 Dr. Sackler, your family, the Raymond Sackler Family, 2 maintains a website at Judgeforyourselves.info, is that 3 correct? I was not involved in setting up the website, so I 4 5 really -- and I haven't looked at it extensively. I've 6 glanced at it two times to just look at the table of 7 contents. So, I (indiscernible) really testify about what's 8 on it. 9 Alright. Well, do you know who set it up? 10 No, I don't know who actually set it up. 11 Do you know who maintains it? 12 I don't. Have you ever... I mean the website is in your name, 13 right? It's in -- your father was Raymond Sackler, is that 14 15 right? 16 Yes. 17 And it refers to the Raymond Sackler Family of which 18 you are an important member, is that right? 19 Yes. 20 Q Who are the other members who are still living today 21 who might set up such a website? 22 There are quite a -- quite a few grandchildren, but there are no other direct descendants other than me. 23 24 What about your son, his -- I'm sorry, you're referring

to Raymond Sackler's grandchildren.

Pg 111 of 189

- 1 A First generation defendants.
- 2 Q I see.
- 3 A Descendants, sorry. Defendants is descendants.
- 4 Q When you said grandchildren, you meant Raymond
- 5 Sackler's grandchildren, is that right, your father's
- 6 grandchildren?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Alright. Did you ever -- I know you said you can't
- 9 testify as to what's on it, but did you ever make any
- 10 inquiry regarding a website being maintained publicly in
- 11 your family's name?
- 12 A I heard about it, but I don't think I made any
- 13 inquiries.
- 14 Q Alright. Who told you about it?
- 15 A I don't know that I can testify to that because
- 16 (indiscernible) conversation.
- 17 Q Okay. You mean an attorney informed you that the
- 18 website...
- 19 A The website (indiscernible) conversation unless my
- 20 attorney directs me to (indiscernible).
- 21 Q Please, just indicate who told you that the website
- 22 exists.
- 23 A David Goldman.
- Q Okay. And is that your attorney?
- 25 A No. He's employed by Mr. Joseph's firm I believe.

Page 111

Page 112 1 Alright. So, he was one of -- okay. Is it your 2 understanding that Mr. Joseph's firm maintains the website? 3 I don't have an understanding. 4 MR. JOSEPH: I can assure you that is not the case 5 nor would we be competent to do it. 6 MR. EDMUNDS: Just trying to find out. 7 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 8 Alright. Well, did Mr. Goldman tell you who maintains 9 the website? 10 Did he? Mr. Goldman? I'm sorry, I miss --11 (indiscernible) perhaps (indiscernible). David Golden. 12 Oh, okay. That's... 13 The (indiscernible) he maintains it? I don't recall. 14 Alright. Very well. 15 MR. EDMUNDS: Thank you, Dr. Sackler. No further 16 questions, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay, does anyone else want to examine 18 Dr. Sackler before cross by Mr. Joseph? Mr. Ozment, I take 19 it you do? 20 MR. OZMENT: Yes, sir. Very briefly. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF RICHARD SACKLER BY MR. OZMENT: 23 24 Dr. Sackler, my name is Frank Ozment, and I represent 25 some individual claimants who are still alive and who are in

Page 113 1 active recovery from opioid use disorder. Did you ever 2 practice medicine? I did. 3 Α 4 And would you agree with me that opioid use disorder is 5 an illness? 6 I (indiscernible). 7 And when you were practicing medicine or after that, did you ever work with the concept of triage? 8 9 Well, triage -- the concept of triage I'm familiar 10 with. 11 Okay. Go ahead. Q 12 But only in the context of opioid use disorder. 13 I understand. Your involvement with opioid use 0 14 disorder would have postdated your involvement with actual 15 medical practice, right? 16 Α Yes. 17 Q Okay. 18 (Indiscernible) term. So can we take as a working definition of triage that 19 20 that's when you're using limited resources to maximize the 21 recovery of the patients? 22 I'm willing to do that. 23 Does that sound fair to you? Does that sound okay? 24 I understand what you're saying. I don't -- I can't 25 give a comment on what it means. But --

Page 114

1 Okay. Well, I quess my point is as your work as a 2 physician, as a pharmaceutical executive, is it appropriate to focus resources on living patients what we're trying to 3 do is to stop opioid use disorder? 4 5 That seems on the surface to make sense, yes. 6 Okay. In the cross-examination from Mr. Edmunds, he 7 had some questions about agreements made around the same 8 time that Purdue pleaded guilty in November 2020. And I 9 think that was a portion of your testimony where at least I 10 was having some difficulty understanding exactly what you're 11 saying, and so I want to go back and revisit that very 12 briefly if I may, okay? 13 You talked at length with Mr. Edmunds about the written plea agreement. And my questions aren't going to be focused 14 15 on the written plea agreement that you discussed with him at 16 length, okay. I just want to probe your recollection about 17 any other agreements that may have been or may not have been 18 executed or entered into around that time, okay? So I'm not talking about the written plea agreement. 19 20 It would help me if you just remind me about when that 21 plea agreement was entered into. 22 The one I'm talking about is November of 2020. Q 23 Thank you. 24 Yeah, not the 2007 document. As you sit here today, do

you recall whether there were any side agreements regarding

Page 115 1 whether the United States would require Purdue to pay for 2 the medical treatment of opioid use disorder patients? I don't recall. 3 4 Okay. And I think we're going to have similar answers 5 to the other two questions, but I'm going to ask them just 6 in case, all right. 7 MR. JOSEPH: Mr. Ozment, just --8 MR. OZMENT: Yes. 9 MR. JOSEPH: -- just to be clear, Mr. Sackler 10 wasn't a party to that agreement. That's a Purdue 11 agreement, and he wasn't on the board then. 12 MR. OZMENT: I understand. MR. JOSEPH: Okay. 13 14 MR. OZMENT: But in his -- as I --15 MR. JOSEPH: I'm not trying to interfere. 16 just trying to provide context. 17 MR. OZMENT: Thank you. And I guess what I'm --18 he spoke about some other agreements, and I just wanted to see if he had any recollection of other agreements, and I 19 20 think the answer is no. But I want to clarify that in light 21 of what he testified to. 22 MR. JOSEPH: Yeah. I'll just state for the record 23 I don't recall any testimony about other agreements, but 24 it's fine. Please you may proceed. 25 BY MR. OZMENT:

Page 116 1 Do you recall anything about other agreements made with 2 the United States regarding vocational treatment or vocational rehabilitation, rather, for opioid use disorder 3 4 patients? 5 I have no recollection. 6 Okay. Q 7 I think I probably have no knowledge of that. Okay. But as you sit here now, you don't know whether 8 9 there were or there weren't? 10 I don't know. 11 Thank you. Did you have any recollection or do Okay. 12 you have any recollection regarding whether there was any 13 agreement with the United States on whether the United 14 States would seek liens for those victims under mandatary 15 Victim Restitution Act? 16 I don't think I was involved with any -- anybody on the 17 board who might have known about that. But I certainly didn't. 18 19 MR. OZMENT: Okay. Thank you. That's all I have, 20 Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 22 MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, Tad Robinson-O'Neil on behalf of the State of Washington. 23 24 THE COURT: Sure. You can go ahead. 25 MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL: And just to be -- for the

Page 117 1 Court, I mean I'm one of the objecting states so I think 2 this is direct, as well, and cross for the State of 3 Washington just --4 MR. JOSEPH: If it's hostile, I'm not going to 5 object to leading. 6 MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL: Okay. 7 MR. HUEBNER: Sure. Mr. O'Neill, just one 8 procedural question with the (indiscernible). Knowing that 9 it is 1:00, if it's not prejudiced (indiscernible) answer, 10 do you have any sense of how long you think it will be going 11 just so that people can sort of figure out sort of bio 12 breaks and lunch breaks and the like? 13 MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL: Your Honor, what were you planning on in terms of a lunch break, one? 14 15 THE COURT: 1:30, 2:00. 16 MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL: 12:30 or --17 THE COURT: 1:00. MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL: -- 1:30? 18 THE COURT: 1:30, 2:00. 19 20 MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL: I don't have a sense 21 whether I can finish in that 30 minutes. It's about that, I 22 would say. 23 THE COURT: Well, go ahead. 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF RICHARD SACKLER 25 BY MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL:

Pg 118 of 189 Page 118 1 Good afternoon, Dr. Sackler. I'm Tad Robinson O'Neill 2 from the State of Washington. Can you hear me okay? 3 I can hear you clearly. I hope you can hear me. I 4 hope you can hear me. 5 I can hear you, sir. Thank you. 6 Have you -- now you understand that you're here in this 7 court asking the Court to approve a confirmation plan in 8 which you and your family members will be released from all 9 future suits. Is that correct? 10 I have a general understanding of that. 11 And is your understanding square with the way I've just 12 described it? 13 I think it does, yes. 14 Okay. Are you -- and part of that's going to require 15 the Sackler Family Side A and Side B to pay \$4.325 billion. 16 Do you understand that? 17 I can't testify as to the total, but I understand it is 18 about that. Yes. And actually, one thing that I just wanted to clear in 19 20 my own head, you've referred to the two branches of the 21 Sackler Family as Side A and Side B. That comes from the 22 classification on the board of directors, is that correct,

so that Class A directors are a member of Side A Family and

Class B directors are a member of the Side B Family?

Yes.

Α

23

24

Page 119

1 MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, just with apologies, 2 objection; assumes facts not in evidence. That was true at a point in time, but it's most assuredly not true anymore. 3 Mr. O'Neill used the present tense, and it's actually quite 4 5 important to us that that's not true anymore. So I would 6 ask that he just rephrase his question which I hope he'll be 7 happy to do. 8 With Mr. Huebner's --9 THE COURT: When you were on the board, Dr. 10 Sackler, is that how the -- was that the origin of the 11 classification of the directors? 12 I believe it was, yes. 13 Mr. Sackler, are you going to be personally 14 contributing any of your own assets to the settlement 15 payments over the next nine to ten years? 16 I don't know -- I don't believe that's been decided 17 yet. 18 Okay. It is true that at least in part the money that would be paid under those payment terms are intended to come 19 20 from the sale of the IACs or independent affiliated 21 companies. Is that correct? 22 The sales of those companies may or may not play a role in honoring this obligation if it is entered into. 23 24 Okay. And those IACs are currently owned by the trusts 25 of which you are a beneficiary. Is that correct?

Pg 120 of 189 Page 120 1 Not all of them are owned by a trust of which I am the 2 beneficiary. Some of them are. 3 And the other ones, who owns the other ones that are 4 not owned by trusts of which you are a beneficiary? 5 I don't know which trusts are guaranteed performance so 6 I can't answer your question. 7 All right. Thank you. Now you -- I believe it's your position that you as a member of the board of directors of 8 9 Purdue Pharma complied with your legal obligations to 10 oversee that entity. Is that correct? 11 Just restate the question so I have a better chance of 12 -- best chance of answering it. 13 It's your position, Dr. Sackler, that as a member of 14 the board of directors of Purdue Pharma you complied with 15 your legal obligations to oversee that entity. Is that 16 correct? 17 Yes. Α 18 One of those obligations was to monitor what were 19 called --20 MAN 1: (Indiscernible). 21 MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL: I'm sorry. I didn't 22 understand that. Nor did I. 23 Α 24 MR. JOSEPH: (Indiscernible) anybody on that's

visible said it.

Pg 121 of 189 Page 121 1 MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL: Okay. 2 THE COURT: You can go ahead, Mr. O'Neill. 3 One of those obligations was to monitor compliance Q 4 reports that were prepared by management. Is that correct? 5 I don't know if the word "monitor" is appropriate. 6 take heed of, yes. Monitor, I don't know what you mean. 7 Q Okay. Did you take heed of those compliance reports? 8 We did. 9 Do you know how many -- and this is a term of art, I 10 believe, from those compliance reports. Do you recognize 11 the term "significant compliance issues?" 12 In the context of these reports? 13 That's correct. O 14 I believe I understand. Do you know from 2007 until 2018 when you left the 15 16 board how many of those compliance reports found that there 17 was a significant compliance issue? I do not know. I can't --18 Would it --19 20 I can't recall. Would it surprise you that --21 Q 22 I can't --Α 23 I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt. I apologize. That's okay. I interrupted your previous question. 24 25 Would it surprise you to know that there are no

Page 122 1 significant compliance issues that were identified by 2 your -- by the management between 2007 and 2018 when you 3 left the board? 4 No. Α 5 And it's in that same time period that Purdue Pharma --6 May I answer --7 0 Go ahead. -- would it surprise me? The answer is 8 9 (indiscernible). THE COURT: I'm sorry. That didn't come through 10 clearly, Dr. Sackler. 11 12 Yeah. 0 13 THE COURT: If you can just state it again. 14 I am not surprised there were no significant compliance 15 issues. I can't recall (indiscernible), but certainly not 16 surprised. 17 And it's in that same time period, 2007 until 2017 that 18 was covered in the Purdue guilty pleas. Is that correct? You're talking about Purdue Pharma's plea? 19 20 That's right. Its criminal conviction, guilty plea. 21 No. Just restate the question, please. 22 You're aware, Dr. Sackler, that that time period that I 23 just described in which there were no significant issues 24 identified by your compliance department is the same period 25 of time covered by the conduct described in the Purdue's

Pg 123 of 189 Page 123 1 guilty plea that was entered in 2020? 2 I'm not an attorney, but within the limits of a layman's understanding, the answer is yes. 3 And I assume that if you had known the criminal conduct 4 5 committed by the board -- I'm sorry, by Purdue Pharma, you 6 would have taken some corrective action. Is that correct? 7 Α Correct. 8 I'm sorry. I did not hear your answer. 9 Α Yes. 10 Would you agree with me that your compliance department 11 completely missed the illegal conduct that led to the criminal conviction of Purdue Pharma? 12 13 I don't know what you mean by missed. 14 They didn't (indiscernible). 15 I'm sorry. You were cut out on my --16 Purdue Pharma's management did not call to your 17 attention the illegal conduct that led to their criminal 18 conviction, did they? 19 That's correct. 20 Q That's not --21 Α They did not. 22 And that's not the first time that happened because the same thing could be said of the criminal conviction in 2007. 23 Isn't that right, Dr. Sackler? 24

I don't recall.

Page 124

- Q You think that the board received reports from Purdue's
 management prior to the 2007 conviction that Purdue was
 engaged in illegal activity?
- A I believe so, but I can't recall whether any activity
 was brought to our attention would have -- it certainly
 wouldn't have been brought to our attention (indiscernible)
- 7 -- you know -- you know, board, hear this, this is criminal.
- 8 Certainly not because I would have remembered that and I
- 9 would remember that (indiscernible) detail of what activity
- 10 -- what decision might have been as a result of that. But I
- 11 -- I can't recall a period as to what we were -- I can't
- 12 recall compliance reports. They're not shown to me.
- 13 Q Fair enough. I won't ask you about the specifics.
- 14 A Okay.
- 15 Q But there is compliance reports. There are, as you
- 16 might imagine, a lot of them. In addition to the criminal
- 17 plea agreements, is it also true that you were on the board
- 18 in 2015 and approved the settlement with the State of
- 19 Kentucky in which the Purdue Pharma paid \$24 million to
- 20 resolve unlawful and unfair deceptive trade practice
- 21 allegations against Purdue Pharma?
- 22 A Is your question was I on the board?
- 23 Q Yes.
- 24 A Yes, I was on for that.
- 25 Q And you approved that settlement?

Pg 125 of 189 Page 125 1 The board. Yes, the board approved that settlement. 2 It's also true that in 2019, Purdue entered a settlement agreement with the State of Oklahoma. Is that 3 correct? 4 5 Yes. I believe so. 6 And the Sackler Family -- that was shortly before trial 7 was to commence. Is that correct? 8 Yes, that's my recollection. 9 And the Sackler Family contributed I think \$75 million 10 to that settlement. Is that correct? 11 I don't recall the exact. 12 But it was --13 It was a -- it was a contribution, yes. 14 Did you contribute any of your own money to that 15 Oklahoma settlement? 16 I don't recall. 17 Do you remember the amount of money that Purdue Pharma paid as part of that settlement? 18 19 I don't recall. 20 Q Mr. Sackler, Purdue Pharma has entered into multiple 21 settlements with different states. It has entered two 22 guilty pleas. Three of its executives have been convicted 23 of criminal charges, all of those while you were the

Just restate the question, please, and I'll do my best

director of the company. Is that correct?

24

Pg 126 of 189 Page 126 1 to answer it. 2 Purdue Pharma has entered into multiple settlements with different states. It has entered into two guilty pleas 3 with the federal government. Three of its executives have 4 5 been convicted of criminal charges. And all of that 6 occurred while you were the director or the conduct occurred 7 while you were the director of Purdue Pharma. Is that 8 correct? 9 MR. JOSEPH: A director. A -- excuse me, a director. 10 11 THE COURT: You mean the conduct covered by those 12 settlements? 13 MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL: That's correct. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 That's correct. I was director, and I cannot count up 16 all the settlements that the company entered into while I 17 was a director. But there were many settlements, both 18 private and public. Mr. Sackler, you understand that you're asking for 19 20 global peace, that is a non-consensual release of the claims 21 of nine objecting states in this -- and part of this 22 confirmation plan? You understand that that's your request? 23 I would say comprehensive peace, not global because 24 global has been misconstrued (indiscernible).

MR. JOSEPH: Come closer to the microphone,

Pg 127 of 189 Page 127 1 please. 2 Comprehensive peace, yes. And is it your testimony that if the Court were to 3 allow the nine objecting states to opt out that you would 4 5 not agree to the settlement? 6 That's correct. 7 Mr. Sackler, I'm going to ask you some questions about a non-opioid product, Adhansia. Do you know what Adhansia 8 9 is? 10 I don't recall at this point. 11 Are you aware that Purdue Pharma launched that drug called Adhansia before you left the board in 2018? 12 13 I'm reminded of the name, but I don't recall the drug. 14 It may have had a different name when it was launched, 15 but this is a drug that treats a condition called attention 16 deficit hyperactivity disorder or ADHD. Does that remind 17 you or re-familiarize yourself with that drug? 18 I don't remember what the molecular name was, but I do recollect that that may have been during my tenure 19 20 (indiscernible). Yes. 21 And the same -- this is a molecule that's in other 22 brand-name drugs like, for example, Ritalin. Is that what's 23 consistent with your memory?

I don't remember whether it was the same generic as

www.veritext.com

24

Page 128 1 And what's different about Adhansia is that it has a 2 delayed release mechanism so that it can be dosed for 16 hours. Does that sound familiar? 3 4 It does. Thank you --5 And --6 -- for probing my memory. 7 Fair enough. Are you aware that Adhansia carries a box warning that it carries a high potential for abuse and 8 9 dependence? 10 I don't recollect that, no. 11 Your understanding of what comprehensive peace would be 12 would be inclusion of any allegations related to your 13 conduct on the board when this drug was launched. Is that 14 correct? 15 Yes. 16 Now none of the complaints that have been filed by the 17 states address Adhansia, marketing for Adhansia, or the 18 clinical trials related to Adhansia. Do they? Is there a question there or a statement? 19 20 Q Do they? Are you aware of any allegations in any of 21 the state complaints about Purdue's Adhansia drug? 22 I am not aware of any, but I don't know. 23 In the context of pharmaceuticals, is there often a delay between the launch of (indiscernible) product 24 25 liability lawsuits?

Page 129 1 MR. JOSEPH: You cut off for a moment, Mr. 2 O'Neill. MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL: I'm sorry. I apologize. 3 Is there often a delay between the launch of a drug and 4 5 product liability lawsuits? 6 There must be. I don't see how somebody could sue 7 before the drug is introduced, but I'm not a lawyer. So the 8 answer, direct answer to your direct question is, yes, 9 there's a delay. 10 All right. Mr. Sackler, is the Sackler Family -- well, 11 let me ask you about yourself. Do you have any responsible 12 for the opioid crisis in the United States? 13 Α No. 14 Does the Sackler Family have any responsibility for the 15 opioid crisis in the United States? 16 Α No. 17 Does Purdue Pharma have any responsible for the opioid crisis in the United States? 18 19 No. 20 MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL: I have no more questions. 21 Thank you. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else on direct? 23 MR. HIGGINS: Your Honor, this is Ben Higgins for 24 the United States Trustee. I had a few questions, if that's 25 okay.

Page 130 1 That's fine. THE COURT: Sure. 2 MR. HIGGINS: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION OF RICHARD SACKLER 3 BY MR. HIGGINS: 4 5 Good afternoon, Dr. Sackler. My name is Benjamin 6 Higgins, and I represent the United States Trustee. Can you 7 hear me okay? 8 I hear you clearly. I hope you hear me. 9 I do. I'm trying out the headphones to make 10 sure I can hear you okay. You testified a minute ago 11 regarding the release that Mr. O'Neill brought up. Can I 12 just ask you first have you listened to any of the trial so 13 far prior to today? 14 I have. Α 15 And have you heard some of the discussion about 16 concepts such as global peace and global finality that some 17 of the witnesses have brought up? 18 Yes. I've also thought that there sometimes was 19 confusion in what the word "global" means. 20 Sure. Well, I would like to focus on your 21 understanding of the releases that you're requesting. I 22 believe you called them comprehensive or comprehensive peace 23 a few minutes ago. Is that right? 24 Α Yes. 25 Is it your understanding that if someone has a claim

Page 131

- against you or your family that is in any way related to the debtors, that's being released under this plan?
- 3 A I am not certain I understand your question. So if you

I'm trying to get a sense of your understanding

- 4 could rephrase it, it might help it.
- of the scope of the release. And my question is it your
- 7 understanding that claims against you that are related to
- 8 the debtors in any way are being released under the plan?
- 9 A This is a legal issue, and the release is a legal
- 10 document. And I'm not a lawyer, so I can't say yes or no.
- 11 I'm advised by lawyers.
- 12 MR. JOSEPH: Do not get into advice by lawyers.
- 13 Q Without getting into advice from your lawyers, can you
- 14 tell me what your understanding of a comprehensive release
- 15 is?

- 16 A My understanding is a comprehensive release will
- 17 | comprehensively cover any claim against the family related
- 18 to their board service or otherwise on the -- on the board
- or on any other board in the United States. I believe it's
- 20 limited to the U.S.
- 21 Q The release releases parties who did not serve on the
- 22 board. Is that correct?
- 23 A Yes. You are correct, yes.
- 24 Q The release releases conduct related to the debtors'
- 25 non-opioid products. Is that right?

Page 132 1 Yes. 2 So if there are any claims related to the ADHD medication that Mr. O'Neill was asking you about, those 3 claims would be released also, correct? 4 5 That's my understanding. 6 And I want to get your understanding with respect to 7 how you said it was limited to the U.S. There is some 8 language in the release that includes claims regardless of 9 where in the world those claims arose. So I just want to 10 understand your understanding. Can you explain to me what 11 you understand the limitation to be with respect to the United States and other places in the world? 12 13 I am not an attorney, and I'm advised by counsel. 14 MR. JOSEPH: Please don't get into legal -- were 15 you done? 16 THE WITNESS: I was done. 17 MR. JOSEPH: Okay. 18 So you don't have -- you can't speak to your 19 understanding as to the limitation with respect to 20 geography? 21 I really can't. No. 22 Is it your understanding that the release includes claims related to the future use or misuse of opioids? 23 24 Α I cannot. 25 The release includes -- would release claims for fraud.

Page 133

- 1 | Isn't that correct?
- 2 A I don't know that. I'm not denying or agreeing with
- 3 your (indiscernible), but I just don't know.
- 4 Q Have you read the language of the release?
- 5 A It is an extremely dense document. I've read a page or
- 6 two and realized that it would take me an enormous amount of
- 7 | time to fully understand. That's all I've read.
- 8 Q You testified a few moments ago that your understanding
- of what a comprehensive release -- and I apologize if I'm
- 10 misstating, but I believe you said to the extent there's any
- 11 liability for your service on the board, that would be
- 12 released. Is that part of your understanding of what a
- 13 | comprehensive release is?
- 14 A But not limited to my service on the board. But I
- 15 cannot fully give an opinion as to what the board wouldn't
- 16 cover.
- 17 Q Okay. To the extent that there were claims for fraud
- 18 against you or other members of your family, is it your
- 19 understanding that they would be released under this plan?
- 20 A I don't know.
- 21 Q Are you familiar with the list of parties getting
- 22 released and the way the plan describes and defines the
- 23 parties who are getting released?
- 24 A I am not.
- 25 Q Have you seen the list of parties getting releases?

19-23649-shl Doc 3614 Filed 08/19/21 Entered 08/19/21 15:25:49 Main Document
Pg 134 of 189

Page 134

1 A At one point I saw and I have no idea, I saw a listing

- A At one point I saw and I have no idea, I saw a listing
 of some of the parties, most of whom I did not recognize the
 names of immediately. I would have had to have studied it
 to figure out (indiscernible) much more --
- 5 MR. JOSEPH: Come closer to the microphone.
- A Okay. The answer to the question -- I'm sorry, just restate the question. I'll try to answer (indiscernible).
- 8 Q Have you seen the list of parties receiving releases
 9 under the plan?
- 10 A I saw a email from counsel, so I guess I shouldn't talk
 11 about it.
- MR. JOSEPH: You can state whether you've seen the list. That's fine. Go ahead.
- 14 A I've seen a list a few months ago.
- MR. HIGGINS: Mr. Joseph, does Dr. Sackler have a copy of the disclosure statement with him?
- MR. HIGGINS: I do not believe that was requested,
- 18 Mr. Higgins. We would have provided it, but I apologize.
- 19 We weren't asked, and we did not provide it. And he's at
- 20 home. He's not with us.
- 21 Q Dr. Sackler, were you -- did you provides name of
- 22 parties to be included on the list of released parties?
- 23 A I don't recollect that I gave any names. I left that
- 24 to the lawyers.
- 25 Q Are you aware that the list --

Page 135 1 MR. JOSEPH: Excuse me. I believe you said "I 2 left that to the lawyers." 3 Α Yes. 4 MR. JOSEPH: Okay. (Indiscernible) sent to the 5 I just want to make sure it's clear. Please come 6 closer to the -- come closer to the mic. Come closer to the 7 mic. 8 Okay. 9 Are you aware that the list of released parties 10 includes hundreds of names of identified parties and 11 entities that are getting released under the plan? I think I heard that -- that. I didn't know before the 12 13 trial that is now running that I'm testifying at. I didn't 14 know how many people were released. But in the trial, I 15 heard -- I heard a number that sounded like hundreds, yes. 16 But I don't recall exactly who testified or what they said. 17 Aside -- I didn't know prior to that know that it's hundreds. 18 You and your family have committed to paying in excess 19 20 of \$4 billion under the plan. Is that right? 21 Α That's correct. 22 And part of the quid pro quo in exchange for that money is you and your family will be receiving released. Is that 23 24 correct? 25 That is correct.

Page 136

- 1 Q But is it your testimony here today that you didn't
- 2 have any input on who those released parties would be
- despite the fact that you're paying over \$4 billion to have
- 4 those releases?
- 5 A I was confident it would include the family and several
- 6 or perhaps many other people. But I had no input beyond
- 7 that knowledge. And I didn't suggest the releases, no. I
- 8 did not (indiscernible).
- 9 Q Are you aware that the -- did you listen to the
- 10 testimony of Stephen Ives and Garrett Lynam?
- 11 A I believe, yes. The answer is, yes, I did.
- 12 Q Did you hear them both testify that the list includes
- 13 parties who are not making any financial contribution to the
- 14 plan?
- 15 A I -- I think I remember one of them, but I can't tell
- 16 you which one who said it. It may have been said twice. I
- don't have a transcript or anything to refer to.
- 18 Q Do you know that there are parties on the list who are
- 19 making no financial contribution to the plan?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Mr. O'Neill asked you about whether or not any of your
- 22 own assets are being sold to fund the plan payment. And I
- 23 apologize if I'm misstating that. Did you say that that
- 24 decision hasn't been decided yet?
- 25 A I'm sorry. Just rephrase the question. I kind of lost

Page 137

- 1 you.
- 2 Q Sure. Did you testify that it hasn't been decided yet
- 3 whether any of your own personal assets will be sold?
- 4 A (Indiscernible) referring to me personal assets
- 5 excluding my assets such as they are in trusts. I was
- 6 speaking to my (indiscernible) account, not --
- 7 Q Sure. And I apologize. I got distracted. The lights
- 8 went on on me for a second there. But so I understand your
- 9 testimony correctly, as of your assets that are not part of
- 10 the trusts, you don't know yet whether those will be part of
- 11 the payment under the -- whether you'll have to make any
- 12 payments under those assets. Is that correct?
- 13 A Yes. I don't know yet.
- 14 Q Under the plan, your family would be required to pay
- 15 money out over a nine-year period. Is that right?
- 16 A Maximum nine or ten years. I've heard both stated.
- 17 Yes, that is correct.
- 18 Q To your knowledge, has any advisor performed any
- 19 evaluation of the expected value of your family's assets
- 20 over that period?
- 21 A Not to my knowledge.
- 22 Q And you're not aware of any evaluation of the expected
- value of your family's assets over that period?
- 24 A That's correct.
- 25 Q Thank you, Dr. Sackler.

Page 138 1 MR. HIGGINS: No further questions, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Okay. MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, it's Marshall Huebner. 3 One quick note before we break for lunch. I'd like to thank 4 Mr. Edmunds, Mr. O'Neill, and Mr. Higgins for using wired 5 6 headsets today. It actually made a tremendous difference. 7 Somehow Mr. Joseph can always be heard, but for no one else 8 does that actually seem possible most of the time. 9 I would ask just as sort of helper of all that 10 where possible going forward if people had the ability to 11 use headsets, it will I think make for just a 12 (indiscernible) audio experience including for the public 13 who are trying very hard to get access to these proceedings. 14 THE COURT: That includes witnesses. 15 So, look, it's about 20 of 2. 16 MR. GOLDMAN: Your Honor? 17 THE COURT: I'm not ending this testimony. I'm 18 just thinking it may make sense to break for lunch. But, 19 Mr. Goldman, you were raising your hand? 20 MR. GOLDMAN: Yes, Your Honor. It's fine if we 21 break now as long as I have an opportunity to ask the 22 questions after the lunch break. THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine. 23 24 MR. GOLDMAN: Irve Goldman, State of -- Pullman 25 Comley from the State of Connecticut.

Page 139 1 THE COURT: Yeah. I think we should break at this 2 point. I'm not sure there's a lengthy period, but we do still have cross. So we'll break until 2:30. 3 4 And, Mr. Sackler, although obviously you can have 5 lunch, you shouldn't talk about your testimony with anyone 6 during that period. 7 THE WITNESS: Mm hmm. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Very well. So 2:30 New York 9 time. 10 (Recess) 11 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. This is Judge Drain. We are back on the record in In re Purdue 12 13 Pharma L.P. Dr. Sackler is being examined still. 14 Dr. Sackler, you understand that you are still 15 under oath? 16 THE WITNESS: (indiscernible). 17 THE COURT: Okay. And I think when we left off, 18 the next person who was going to question Dr. Sackler on 19 direct was Mr. Goldman, right? 20 MR. GOLDMAN: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. GOLDMAN: May I proceed? THE COURT: Yes. 23 MR. GOLDMAN: Yes. Irve Goldman of the firm of 24 25 Pullman & Comley representing the State of Connecticut.

Page 140 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF RICHARD SACKLER 2 BY MR. GOLDMAN: 3 Good afternoon, Dr. Sackler. Good afternoon. 4 5 I just have one -- or expect to have just one question 6 to you. Other than members of the Sackler family or trusts 7 in which they may be beneficiaries, are you aware of any person or entity that will be contributing monetarily to the 8 9 more than \$4 billion in settlement payments that are 10 contemplated by Purdue's plan? 11 I am not aware of any. 12 MR. GOLDMAN: I have no further questions, Your 13 Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. All right. Mr. 15 Underwood, did you have questions? 16 MR. UNDERWOOD: Very, very briefly, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF RICHARD SACKLER 19 20 BY MR. UNDERWOOD: Dr. Sackler, my name is Allen Underwood and I represent 21 22 certain Canadian municipalities and First Nations creditors 23 with regard to this matter. 24 First question, Dr. Sackler, do you agree that 25 significant worldwide Sackler family assets are being

Page 141 1 dedicated to U.S. state, municipal, and tribal abatement 2 under the proposed plan? 3 I don't know of any. 4 Are you aware, for instance, that in terms of the 5 Independent Associated Companies, the IACs, that the value 6 of those companies upon sale may be contributed to the 7 trusts for the benefit of the U.S. opioid abatement? 8 That is my understanding. They may be, yes. 9 Okay. Dr. Sackler, are you aware of any similar 10 abatement plan for the Canadian provinces, municipalities, 11 and first nation tribes? 12 I am not. And isn't it in fact correct that there is no abatement 13 plan for Canada under this plan? 14 15 I do not know. 16 Do you agree that Purdue Canada entities are IACs, 17 Independent Associated Companies under the proposed plan? 18 Α Yes. And isn't it true that the net asset value of the IACs 19 20 that constitute Purdue Canada will be dedicated to U.S. 21 atonement under the U.S. plan and not to any Canadian 22 abatement? 23 MR. JOSEPH: Objection. Asked and answered. 24 has already answered that IACs may be contributed to the

abatement.

Page 142 1 THE COURT: Right. I don't think you're asking 2 anything new, Mr. Underwood. Maybe I'm missing something. 3 MR. UNDERWOOD: Okay. BY MR. UNDERWOOD: 4 So that being taken, Dr. Sackler -- and this is a very 5 6 broad question -- do you feel that the fact that they're not 7 included within any abatement process before this Court is -- meaning the Canadian provinces, municipalities, and first 8 9 nation -- do you feel that's fair? 10 MR. JOSEPH: Objection. 11 THE COURT: On what basis? 12 MR. JOSEPH: Relevance. Whether he thinks it's 13 fair, the plan is the plan. And do we need to dedicate it 14 to non-U.S. entities that we're not talking about --15 THE COURT: Well, I --16 MR. UNDERWOOD: I could give you some background, 17 Your Honor, of where that's coming from. I mean, I think -- I mean, 18 THE COURT: Yeah. you're asking is it -- I don't know whether you're asking 19 20 whether -- from what perspective, from his own perspective 21 as a legal matter. When you say fair, there are a lot of 22 different ways one can position oneself to see fair. 23 MR. UNDERWOOD: Understood. And I would only 24 expect from Dr. Sackler an answer as to himself personally. 25 THE COURT: So such as would he prefer it if the

Page 143 1 money went also for abatement in Canada? I'm just trying to 2 understand. Is it with respect to the settlement? MR. UNDERWOOD: Correct. The settlement the trust 3 established under the plan. 4 5 THE COURT: The settlement money. 6 MR. UNDERWOOD: Right, the fact that the NOATs 7 don't address the Canadian abatement claims. THE COURT: Okay. 8 9 BY MR. UNDERWOOD: 10 So to rephrase, Dr. Sackler, and appreciate your 11 patience --12 MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, with apologies, let me 13 just help with a different objection before he rephrases. 14 This time he included for the first time in a couple weeks 15 the word provinces in his questions. 16 The provinces, as the Court knows, we have a 17 stipulation with. Mr. Underwood does have the clients that 18 are provinces. So I would ask that you just focus if you 19 don't mind on that distinction in your line of questioning 20 so we can know what we're talking about. Because those 21 claims are withdrawn voluntarily pursuant to a court-22 approved stipulation. 23 THE COURT: All right. 24 MR. UNDERWOOD: No, I know exactly -- I know 25 exactly what I'm talking about. And I am including the

Page 144 1 provinces because I don't believe that there is any Canadian 2 entity that's receiving benefit under this plan. THE COURT: Well, but I think actually Mr. Huebner 3 4 makes a fair point. I guess the provinces have made their 5 own determination on that issue. So I think the question 6 should be rephrased to reflect I guess the following. 7 Correct me if this is not what you have intended, Mr. 8 Underwood. 9 Is it in Dr. Sackler's view fair that the proceeds 10 of the settlement are not going to fund abatement under the 11 NOAT for the Canadian governmental entities and tribes that 12 are Mr. Underwood's client. 13 That's a question for you, Dr. Sackler. THE WITNESS: I have not considered this at all. 14 15 And so I don't have any opinion at the moment. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 BY MR. UNDERWOOD: This is really my last question, Dr. Sackler. Isn't it 18 true that the Purdue Canada entities actually originally 19 20 developed the original OxyContin formulation? 21 Α That's not true. 22 Where was it originally developed? 23 In the United States. 24 Without any assistance from the Canadian Entities? 25 None that I know of. Α

Page 145 1 MR. UNDERWOOD: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. 2 I have no further questions. 3 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Does anyone else 4 want to examine Dr. Sackler before we go to cross by Mr. 5 Joseph? All right. You can go ahead, Mr. Joseph, if you 6 have any cross. 7 MR. JOSEPH: No questions, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Okay. All right. That concludes your 9 testimony, Dr. Sackler. So you can sign off at this point. 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much, Your Honor. 11 May I just --12 THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. I may have spoken to 13 soon. Mr. Edmunds, did you have -- I thought you were done 14 --15 MR. EDMUNDS: I don't think there's any cross. I 16 was just coming on for what's next. 17 THE COURT: Okay, fine. So you can -- very well. 18 THE WITNESS: Sign off. THE COURT: You can sign off, Dr. Sackler. 19 20 THE WITNESS: May I just make a clarifying comment 21 that may help the Court, Your Honor? 22 THE COURT: Well, it's up to you. if you believe 23 you said something that --24 THE WITNESS: No, it wasn't related to my 25 testimony.

Page 146 1 THE COURT: Oh. Well then I think --THE WITNESS: If it isn't the forum to share it 2 3 with you, perhaps there's a better forum. So I'll withdraw 4 the --5 THE COURT: I don't want you to share anything 6 with me other than as part of your testimony. 7 THE WITNESS: Okay. Then -- okay, I withdraw my 8 request, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right. Again, if there's 10 something you said that you believe is incorrect, that's 11 different. 12 THE WITNESS: No. 13 THE COURT: All right, fine. Very well. So you 14 can sign off. 15 THE WITNESS: I will do so as soon as I master 16 (indiscernible). 17 THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Edmunds, you were going to -- it wasn't -- I think you were going to consider 18 19 whether you were calling another Sackler witness for today. 20 And I believe you are going to, but maybe that's changed 21 during the day. 22 MR. EDMUNDS: Well, I believe, Your Honor, that we 23 are going to -- I think that we have agreed that Ms. 24 Monaghan will correct me if I'm wrong, but Theresa Sackler 25 is the next witness on the list and the final witness for

Page 147 1 today. And I believe we are going to stipulate to the 2 admission of her deposition in this case in lieu of testimony -- in lieu of calling her to the stand. 3 THE COURT: All right. 4 5 MR. EDMUNDS: So Ms. Monaghan will correct me if 6 I'm wrong. 7 MS. MONAGHAN: That's consistent with my 8 understanding as well, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Okay, very well. 10 MR. EDMUNDS: And --11 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Edmunds. 12 MR. EDMUNDS: I'm sorry. I just wanted to -- I 13 believe -- and I guess it's been a lot of the day, but I 14 believe that that also requires Debtors, too. Because I 15 believe they have objected to the admission, but it's my 16 understanding that they will consent. 17 THE COURT: Okay. I don't know who is handling 18 that, Mr. Kaminetzky or one of your colleagues. Did Debtors 19 have --20 MR. HUEBNER: Yeah. We already had email 21 exchanges about this with Mr. Edmunds and we already did 22 consent. 23 THE COURT: You consent? 24 MR. HUEBNER: That's correct, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Okay. So I just want to make sure I

Page 148 1 have the right exhibit. Is there an exhibit number for the 2 deposition? 3 MR. EDMUNDS: I believe so, Your Honor. My 4 apologies, I don't know that I --5 MS. MONAGHAN: I am not a hundred percent sure 6 that Theresa Sackler's deposition was part of the previously submitted group. But if it's not, we consent to it being 7 8 added. 9 THE COURT: All right. Well, I just need to get a 10 copy of it. And if it doesn't have an exhibit number, it 11 should follow the last exhibit which was admitted, which I 12 think was one of Mr. Edmunds' exhibits. There were a couple 13 admitted during the last witness testimony. So it should 14 follow that. 15 MS. MONAGHAN: Yeah. I think I counted two. 16 it would be the third number after the last number in the 17 current set. THE COURT: Right. So can you -- I guess the best 18 thing for you to do, Mr. Edmunds, is to email that to 19 20 chambers, copying Ms. Monaghan and Mr. Huebner. And --MR. EDMUNDS: Will do, Your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: And I just want to make sure it's the entire declaration. It's not -- sometimes people designate 23 24 sections and then the opponent or other people counter 25 designate other sections. In this case it's the entire

Page 149

deposition?

MS. MONAGHAN: It is, Your Honor. That's my understanding. And just so Your Honor knows, it was a single deposition, but because of the time difference, it was conducted in two half days. So it was September 23rd and September 24th, each for half a day. But it's one deposition. So I think it only needs one exhibit number.

THE COURT: So there will be one transcript that covers both days.

MS. MONAGHAN: Correct.

THE COURT: And has she reviewed and signed off on the transcript?

MS. MONAGHAN: It's my understanding that she has.

I will double-check that.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. And I will read this, unlike pouring through all of the hundreds of other exhibits. But I think my suggestion to everyone -- in this case it is a suggestion as opposed to an admonition. But my suggestion to everyone this morning holds true for this as well. If there's something in here that you want to emphasize, you should emphasize it in oral argument, just as I would expect you would be emphasizing something from the last witness we heard or witnesses that we heard the day before or the day before that. But I will review it.

So I will look for that I guess this afternoon.

Page 150 1 MR. EDMUNDS: We'll get it in this afternoon, Your 2 Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. EDMUNDS: Thank you. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. 6 MR. HIGGINS: Your Honor, this is Ben Higgins for 7 the U.S. Trustee. I don't believe we have that yet. And I would just ask that it be circulated to any of the objecting 8 9 parties. That's fair. You all have the email 10 THE COURT: 11 list. You don't have to include everyone on -- why don't 12 you do two emails, one to chambers just with the two CCs 13 that I mentioned. And then you can -- someone can send it 14 out to the broader email list. 15 MR. EDMUNDS: We'll take care of it, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay, very well. So where does that 17 leave us for the rest of the day and tomorrow? MR. EDMUNDS: So I think that we have three 18 Sackler witnesses tomorrow and then perhaps a final witness 19 20 who is an investigator from Maryland. We have agreed to speak with Ms. Monaghan tonight about whether we will need 21 22 those witnesses to testify live or place on the record some other arrangement. But then I believe -- and I think Mr. 23 24 Huebner will correct me if I am wrong -- that is the close 25 of evidence in the hearing.

Page 151

So we don't know yet whether they will testify, but we're going to discuss it and see where we are.

THE COURT: Okay. I would ask you to, just as you did and just as the Ad Hoc lawyers did yesterday, get us the exhibits that you expect to be discussing with these witnesses early so that I can have copies of them on the bench and of course so the witness can have them, too.

Hopefully before -- hopefully tonight as opposed to tomorrow morning.

MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, I do need to make an unfortunate contingent correction of Mr. Edmunds. We are working like mad day and night to resolve the remaining issues with the so-called codefendants, which are really important counterparties to the Debtors. As the Court may remember, they reserved their right to cross-examine a couple of our witnesses. (indiscernible) that will not be necessary and that we will get to a deal. But if for some reason that fails, which would be terrible, then in addition to the three witnesses or four potential witnesses that Mr. Edmunds mentioned I believe, we may be seeing some recall of a couple of other witnesses. But I still remain passionate and optimistic that that will not be necessary.

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. I mean, I really would like to finish if we can tomorrow. I know that today's witness took a long time, but that was appropriate I

Page 152 1 felt. 2 But do you have a sense that we'll be done tomorrow, Mr. Edmunds? It's only over the things that you 3 can control. Don't address Mr. Huebner's point because 4 5 that's not really your issue. 6 MR. EDMUNDS: Barring unforeseen circumstances, 7 Your Honor, I think that we will be finished tomorrow. 8 THE COURT: All right. I'm just wondering whether we should start early or not. It sounds like we could start 9 10 at 10:00. 11 MR. EDMUNDS: Yes. I don't think there's any 12 need. 13 MR. JOSEPH: Your Honor, may I ask --14 THE COURT: One more question, Mr. Joseph. And we 15 don't have a witness who, like, disappears and turns into a 16 pumpkin at some -- you know, at 2:30 or anything like that? 17 MS. MONAGHAN: So, Your Honor, we do have one of the three witnesses who is overseas. And so I think -- and 18 19 Mr. Edmunds I think is amenable that he would go first if 20 they go. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 MS. MONAGHAN: And then the other point -- I don't know if Mr. O'Neill is on, Mr. Robinson O'Neill -- is one of 23 24 the other witnesses is the subject of the stipulation with 25 Washington, Oregon, Connecticut, and D.C., pursuant to which

Page 153 1 they have agreed to forego questioning her in exchange for 2 her deposition being included and a stipulation to certain biographical facts that came across kind of disjointedly in 3 the deposition that they wanted in a single document. So 4 5 that will be submitted as well. 6 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. O'Neill, that's 7 your understanding, too? 8 MR. O'NEILL: Yes. Ms. Sackler has some health 9 issues that make it better for I think everyone that we 10 adopt this policy, approach. 11 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 12 MR. O'NEILL: But Maryland has not agreed to that 13 yet. So this it between Washington --14 THE COURT: I understand. 15 MR. O'NEILL: -- Oregon, Connecticut, D.C., and 16 the Sacklers. 17 MS. MONAGHAN: Yes. I apologize. I wasn't trying 18 to imply that Maryland --19 THE COURT: I don't think you stated -- I don't 20 think you said Maryland. 21 MS. MONAGHAN: Okay. 22 THE COURT: All right. MS. MONAGHAN: At this point in the hearing, any 23 24 slip is possible. 25 THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Joseph, you were

Page 154

1 going to say something?

MR. JOSEPH: Yes, Your Honor. I just would appreciate it if we could get from Mr. Edmunds some clarity as to whether the investigator will testify. Because there will be cross of the investigator if he testifies. And the record if they are considering stipulating to depositions is pretty much set. And I know Mr. Edmunds legitimately wanted to wait until the record was set before making that determination.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I don't know -- I mean, are you in a position to do that now? Or maybe later tonight you can.

MR. EDMUNDS: I think it's fair to ask, and I think it's unlikely he will testify. I need to kind of process what happened in the examination of the witness and what else is going on with these. But that's the best I can

THE COURT: Let me ask you -- I'm sorry to interrupt. Let me ask that you reach out to Mr. Joseph, I don't know, by 8:00 tonight. Is that fair?

MR. EDMUNDS: Yeah, that's fine, Your Honor. I'll do that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. EDMUNDS: The other thing I was going to say about Ilene Sackler is that Matt Gold's office

Page 155 1 (indiscernible) did provide you a copy of it, the 2 transcript. So you should have it. If not --THE COURT: I recall that. Because I was thinking 3 4 she might be called today. So we have a copy of that. 5 MR. EDMUNDS: Okay. 6 THE COURT: But I'm not sure it's an exhibit yet. 7 So again, we should probably designate it -- you should be 8 ready to designate it as an exhibit. 9 MR. EDMUNDS: Right. And we'll have a stipulation 10 that will go in as well that kind of clarifies. And I would 11 anticipate offering that tomorrow when we finalize it, and 12 hopefully Maryland will agree. 13 THE COURT: Right. Okay, very well. All right. I think hearing no one else, I think that will end the 14 15 hearing for today. And we will resume tomorrow at 10:00 as 16 discussed. Thank you, everyone. 17 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 2:54 PM) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 156 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Sonya Ledanski Digitally signed by Sonya Ledanski Hyde DN: cn=Sonya Ledanski Hyde, o, ou, 6 email=digital@veritext.com, c=US Hyde Date: 2021.08.19 14:14:15 -04'00' 7 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 23 Mineola, NY 11501 24 25 August 19, 2021 Date:

[& - 425] Page 1

&	14 43:21	2008 30:17,20	24 52:21 124:19
& 4:16 5:1 7:1	15 28:6 43:13,19	32:15,15 65:17	248 1:13
	43:20,21 45:4,6,6	68:16,21 89:23	24th 149:6
25:15,23 26:24 35:25 139:25	45:13 46:13	104:14 106:17,25	25 53:1,3,4 55:18
	150 16:15	2009 83:15	56:3,3 71:4,5,9
0	15222 4:5	201 5:18	92:18
0015 43:4	15th 86:9	2010 57:15,19,20	25th 79:20 85:10
0024 52:24	16 41:11 42:5,24	79:20 83:15 85:10	26 62:22 66:17
1	43:12 128:2	89:6 91:7,13	27 37:6,11 66:2,4
1 42:7 44:7,8 45:4	17 2:2 47:5	102:6 107:13	66:16,17,18 71:25
46:21,22 47:19	18 1:16 46:13 47:9	2011 92:5	29 70:19 74:4,6
57:4 58:8 91:13	65:17	2012 22:21 86:10	76:22
120:20	1875 4:11	2013 60:2 63:12	2:00 117:15,19
10 39:24 41:3	19 156:25	63:24 99:12	2:30 139:3,8
65:13 66:3,10,12	19-23649 1:3	2014 77:2,4	152:16
97:20,22,24	1988 31:24	2015 124:18	2:54 155:18
100 16:9 87:5	1990 30:8	2017 34:2 122:17	3
100,000 60:4,12	1995 73:13	2018 30:8 31:6	3 46:22 47:7,19
60:21	1999 30:10	32:9,15,16 60:2	53:13,22 56:13
10014 5:19	1:00 117:9,17	68:16,21 89:23	58:24 83:23
10017 3:9 5:5,12	1:06 102:6	121:15 122:2	30 102:6 117:21
10022 7:4	1:30 117:15,18,19	127:12	300 102.0 117.21 300 1:13 156:22
1006 5:18	1st 91:7	2019 125:2	30s 22:10
10110 4:19	2	2020 37:23 47:20	30th 5:11
1049 51:12	2 46:22 47:5,19	52:1 114:8,22	330 156:21
10601 1:14	57:14 58:10 63:5	123:1	34 76:23 86:5
10:00 152:10	63:24 101:18	2021 1:16 2:2	3514 24:15
155:15	138:15	156:25	35213 6:11
10:08 1:17	20 41:18 90:22	20852 3:18	3588 26:22
10:20 40:6	138:15	2094 40:14,15	3589 26:24
10:21 40:22,24,25	200 3:17 6:17	41:19,20 43:4,13	36 87:23
41:7	28:14	43:15 45:10	30 87.23 39 94:1
10:30 39:6	2000 6:3 30:12,12	2096 51:1	
10:41 41:6	30:13 44:21	2096-0024 52:22	4
10th 24:11	20006 4:12	2096.0007. 52:23	4 60:1 61:4 62:21
11 15:5 67:8 104:7	2000 4.12 2003 30:11,13,14	2096.0024. 52:17	62:25 135:20
113 99:13	44:21	20s 22:10	136:3 140:9
11501 156:23	2005 34:2	21217 6:18	4.325 118:15
12 43:21	2005 34.2 2007 35:11 37:20	217 6:10	40 79:16
12:00 92:19	37:23 38:8 44:21	22 53:1	403 86:18
12:30 117:16	114:24 121:15	225 4:4	408 53:25
13 43:21	122:2,17 123:23	23rd 149:5	41 80:18
	122.2,17 123.23		425 5:4
	124.2		
Veritext Legal Solutions			

[43 - ah] Page 2

43 83:7,10	a	92:6	africa 33:2
44 83:3,7,11	a.m. 39:24 40:6	addiction 89:8	afternoon 118:1
45 85:8	aaronson 5:9	addition 18:6	130:5 139:11
450 3:8	abatement 141:1	124:16 151:18	140:3,4 149:25
47 42:7	141:7,10,13,22,25	additional 108:2	150:1
48 42:2,8,25	142:7 143:1,7	address 18:12	aggressive 60:3
485 5:11	144:10	27:4 128:17 143:7	60:11,14
5	abby 8:10	152:4	ago 16:9 27:5 87:9
5 64:21 107:17,20	ability 138:10	addressed 90:19	104:4,7,7 130:10
500 4:18	able 106:9	addressing 79:6	130:23 133:8
501 6:10	abrams 7:9	adequate 16:6	134:14
52 86:2,3,4	abuse 57:18 58:9	adhancia 73:20	agree 23:3,4,10
	58:18 89:8 128:8	73:22	24:23 35:18,19
6	abusing 58:8	adhansia 127:8,8	46:1 47:14,19,22
6 64:20,21 65:2	academic 22:17	127:12 128:1,7,17	53:9 54:10,11,12
6,204 39:6,16	accepted 60:25	128:17,18,21	54:13 56:16,18,21
600 21:15	access 39:1	adhd 127:16	61:7 64:22 67:9
61 87:21,23 88:16	138:13	132:2	70:1 71:10 83:20
88:18 91:5	account 137:6	admission 19:24	83:22 88:4 101:20
62 91:6	accounted 91:8,14	19:25 23:10,14	102:5 106:15
65 91:24 92:8	accurate 80:2	105:14 108:11	113:4 123:10
7	156:4	147:2,15	127:5 140:24
7 104:14	act 116:15	admitted 18:15,15	141:16 155:12
703 19:17	action 49:22	19:7,14,19 20:1	agreed 16:10 22:7
75 125:9	61:22 97:3 123:6	22:8,24 23:5	41:5 46:3 48:13
7th 106:17,25	actions 50:5,9,11	27:10 38:7 46:14	48:13 146:23
8	50:12 86:14 97:1	105:16 108:20	150:20 153:1,12
	active 113:1	148:11,13	agreeing 65:15
8 97:20,22,24	actively 17:10	admonition	133:2
80 91:25 93:25	activities 94:6	149:18	agreement 40:9
94:2	activity 124:3,4,9	adopt 153:10	44:4 45:22 46:4,7
800 6:3	actors 58:11,21	advice 131:12,13	46:8 51:23,24
8:00 154:20	actual 22:9 25:25	advised 47:21	52:9,25 55:19
8th 101:25	65:22 113:14	131:11 132:13	114:14,15,19,21
9	ad 96:3 151:4	advises 46:11	115:10,11 116:13
9 97:20,22,24	adam 9:25	advisor 137:18	125:3
9002378586 101:3	add 78:20,23	affective 69:19	agreements 46:10
919 7:3	97:19	affidavit 30:2	114:7,17,25
95 73:8,10	added 148:8	affiliated 48:25	115:18,19,23
97 42:5,24 43:12	addendum 42:15	119:20	116:1 124:17
98104 6:4	42:16 52:14,25	affiliates 31:9	agustina 7:18
	53:9 54:10,13,21	affirm 29:14	ah 73:1
	55:1,19 56:20		
	30.1,17 30.20		

[ahead - attributed] Page 3

ahead 20:23 29:25	78:21,22 80:13	approval 15:5	assertions 56:8
30:3 62:17 74:5	94:7 96:14 99:3	approve 63:23	asset 141:19
95:24 106:6	115:20 117:9	118:7	assets 119:14
113:11 116:24	120:6 122:6,8	approved 64:1	136:22 137:3,4,5
117:23 121:2	123:3,8 126:1	124:18,25 125:1	137:9,12,19,23
122:7 134:13	129:8,8 134:6,7	143:22	140:25
145:5 147:11	136:11 142:24	approximately	assistance 144:24
aisling 11:14	answered 72:14	30:9,17 31:6,24	associated 141:5
al 6:11 15:3	141:23,24	32:15 65:17	141:17
albert 7:10	answering 65:22	april 86:9	assume 40:23
aleali 7:11	120:12	ardavan 8:25	123:4
alexander 10:20	answers 115:4	argentina 33:4	assumes 119:2
alfano 7:12	anticipate 155:11	arguing 20:25	assuming 18:5
alice 13:20	anticipating	22:16	86:24
alissa 11:16	98:18	argument 16:4,12	assurances 37:16
alive 112:25	anybody 22:21	16:20,25 17:16,18	assure 112:4
allegation 54:20	62:3 116:16	18:6 22:6 23:8	assured 18:3
allegations 53:23	120:24	28:4,7,9,23	assuredly 119:3
124:21 128:12,20	anymore 119:3,5	149:21	atencia 73:24,25
allen 13:22 140:21	anyway 44:24	arguments 27:18	atinson 7:14
allison 14:6	53:5	arik 11:25	atonement 141:21
allow 127:4	apologies 87:24	arose 132:9	atop 55:19
alright 110:9	119:1 143:12	arrangement	attached 19:2,9
111:8,14 112:1,8	148:4	150:23	19:11 53:11
112:14	apologize 48:18	art 121:9	attempt 89:16
amenable 152:19	71:9 121:23 129:3	artem 13:8	attempting 18:25
amend 68:3	133:9 134:18	aside 61:6 100:15	attend 90:20
amended 15:5	136:23 137:7	108:1 135:17	attended 90:20
amount 125:17	153:17	asked 20:11 21:23	attending 70:12
133:6	apparently	54:4 72:14 74:7	attention 22:10
analyses 77:2,11	107:14	88:1 89:22 103:13	27:21 61:1 123:17
analysis 77:13	appears 70:19	134:19 136:21	124:5,6 127:15
andrew 4:7 7:12	102:7 107:6	141:23	attorney 3:7,15
13:18	appellate 28:18	asking 23:21	3:16 6:1,2,8,15
andy 23:23	apply 16:6	36:18 40:8 47:12	28:6 36:4,12 41:5
angela 10:2	appreciate 17:5	61:23 62:7 65:23	46:1,3,11 111:17
anker 7:13	17:23 143:10	71:20,21 74:13,14	111:20,24 123:2
annual 84:19,20	154:3	87:1,10,11,13	132:13
answer 17:6 33:19	approach 153:10	118:7 126:19	attorneys 4:2,10
35:9 36:23 37:10	appropriate	132:3 142:1,19,19	4:17 5:2,17 6:9,16
48:11 50:16 54:15	114:2 121:5	asserted 24:5	7:2 37:7
55:10 62:10 72:9	151:25	52:10	attributed 58:7,15
72:15,19 74:23			58:15

[audio - bother] Page 4

audio 138:12	bankruptcy 1:1	146:22 147:1,13	birmingham 6:11
august 1:16 2:1	1:11,23	147:14,15 148:3	bit 44:13 62:1
24:11 156:25	barbara 9:1	150:7,23 151:20	70:17
auslander 7:15	barker 7:17	believed 59:24	blabey 7:21
australia 32:23	barring 152:6	88:22	blain 7:22
49:21,23	bartlett 5:1	ben 51:10 129:23	blaming 79:13
australia's 49:23	based 89:6	150:6	blouin 7:23
authenticated	basically 25:9	bench 151:7	bloyd 6:9
108:7	29:3	benedict 15:14	blurred 102:12
authorities 50:4,8	basis 80:4 87:2	beneficiaries	103:21
available 34:19	142:11	140:7	board 30:15,16
ave 5:11	bates 100:21	beneficiary	31:3,5,9 32:10
avenue 3:8 4:4,11	105:24	119:25 120:2,4	57:5,10 64:2,23
4:18 5:4 6:3 7:3	bear 40:2	benefit 141:7	65:9 68:12 74:13
avoid 87:17	began 30:12	144:2	74:15 75:3,4,5,6
aware 33:13 35:6	beginning 61:15	benjamin 3:12	75:16,17,20 77:20
35:10,18 37:7	63:14 70:12 95:1	5:21 7:10 15:12	77:21,22 78:1
49:21 50:4,8	begins 61:4 83:8	130:5	79:21 80:22,22
64:15 72:4 80:20	behalf 24:22	bernard 8:25	81:1,2,8,9,16 82:5
80:22 81:10 88:19	25:22 109:7,9	berro 7:18	82:6,8,11 84:8,16
88:21 91:6,13,15	116:23	beshere 7:19	84:20 85:10 91:20
91:23,24,25 97:5	beiderman 10:1	best 27:14 34:8,18	94:5 97:5,10
97:7,9 109:2,5,5	beings 61:20	42:1 48:10 72:23	98:11,11,13,23
122:22 127:11	69:17	76:8 79:4,6,7	99:2,5 101:19
128:7,20,22	belatedly 21:10	120:12 125:25	102:11 103:20
134:25 135:9	believe 16:24	148:18 154:16	115:11 116:17
136:9 137:22	19:13,14 25:19	better 55:15 70:16	118:22 119:9
140:7,11 141:4,9	41:12 42:25 44:23	75:12 120:11	120:8,14 121:16
b	45:1 46:9 49:20	146:3 153:9	122:3 123:5 124:1
b 1:21 18:24 52:5	50:16 52:12 54:9	beyond 98:19	124:7,17,22 125:1
109:12,17 118:15	54:16 57:22,24	136:6	125:1 127:12
118:21,24,24	60:18 63:6 64:14	bielli 7:20	128:13 131:18,18
back 26:10,14	77:25 83:15 84:14	big 45:15	131:19,22 133:11
44:10 45:4,15	85:17,20 102:16	billion 118:15	133:14,15 135:5
55:17 56:3 86:16	105:22 107:24	135:20 136:3	boardroom 67:3
89:19 92:18 93:3	109:4,23 111:25	140:9	boards 31:13
114:11 139:12	119:12,16 120:7	billions 64:23	boer 101:22 102:6
background	121:10,14 124:4	65:10	102:17
79:21 142:16	125:5 130:22	binders 28:14	bograd 7:24
ball 7:16	131:19 133:10	bio 117:11	book 104:24
baltimore 3:18	134:17 135:1	biographical	boring 28:11
6:18	136:11 144:1	153:3	bother 34:7
0.10	145:22 146:10,20		

bottom 40:11 43:3	brown 8:2	can't 32:11,17	chair 30:15,16,21
52:17,19,21 56:2	brownlee 36:4	35:4,9 37:10,10	34:15 44:20
102:3	brunswick 8:3	capacity 80:25	challenge 82:11
box 6:10 23:1	bryce 9:8	94:4,14	challenged 81:14
128:7	budget 71:18,23	capitalized 41:9	chalos 8:5
boxes 22:18	83:16,16 84:16,19	car 69:4,15	chambers 15:15
branches 118:20	84:20 85:11	care 150:15	17:21 18:22 20:18
brand 127:22	bunch 52:20	caroline 9:10	105:1 148:20
brandt 7:25	burger 8:4	carrie 11:9	150:12
brauner 8:1	busiest 98:16	carries 62:21	chance 51:23
breach 61:17	business 32:3	128:7,8	101:8 120:11,12
break 59:5 74:8	47:23 78:9	case 1:3 15:14	change 51:7 58:18
74:22 92:21	butrans 73:18	18:19 20:4,8	100:10 103:17
117:14 138:4,18	C	31:12 41:10 78:12	changed 146:20
138:21,22 139:1,3	-	112:4 115:6 147:2	changing 20:10
breaks 117:12,12	c 3:1 8:13,21 10:3	148:25 149:18	29:21
breath 16:19	10:17 11:6 15:1	cases 20:12 46:9	chapter 15:5
brian 3:20 11:5	29:18 52:5 156:1	cash 64:24	characterization
18:10 30:6	156:1	catch 16:19	48:2
bridges 6:9	call 69:11,25 76:7	catherine 10:1	charges 35:12
brief 27:20 77:4,5	92:2 95:5 96:3,4	13:12	36:6,10,12 125:23
77:17	96:19 100:3	caused 70:23	126:5
briefed 75:24	123:16	causes 61:21	charles 8:9
77:20	called 25:7 26:10	72:12	chart 91:11,11
briefing 75:2	29:24 69:5,10	ccs 150:12	check 149:14
107:8	79:24 94:23 96:24	cdc 34:22,25	christina 12:5
briefings 74:11,17	120:19 127:12,15	cdc's 34:20	christopher 12:8
75:2,4,14,18,20	130:22 151:13	centre 4:3	13:2
75.23	155:4	ceo 83:15	circulated 150:8
briefly 112:20	calling 25:4 29:7	certain 18:14 24:6	circumstances
114:12 140:16	72:4,10,11 97:18	25:17 44:5 56:20	152:6
	146:19 147:3	94:24 131:3	civil 51:24 53:11
bring 22:14 23:25	calls 76:10,12,16		
86:16 90:24 99:19	campaign 99:12	140:22 153:2	claim 130:25
broad 49:11 72:19	99:17,21	certainly 15:19	131:17
142:6	campaigns 80:25	28:3 55:9 61:7	claimants 112:25
broader 150:14	canada 32:25 50:4	64:8 75:4 76:20	claims 19:13,15
brooks 7:17	50:5,8,9,15	91:19 96:20	19:16 23:12 52:10
brother 66:24	141:14,16,20	116:17 122:15	126:20 131:7
82:20	143:1 144:19	124:5,8	132:2,4,8,9,23,25
brought 22:9 36:5	canadian 140:22	certificate 44:12	133:17 143:7,21
36:10,12 49:22	141:10,21 142:8	certified 156:3	clarification
50:9 61:23 107:12	•	chain 58:12,22	39:13 60:1 68:1
124:5,6 130:11,17	- · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
124:5,6 130:11,17	143:7 144:1,11,24	ral Solutions	39.13 00.1

	ı	I	I
clarifies 155:10	collective 16:14	98:12 99:6,7	concluded 155:17
clarify 64:7 65:25	collectively 74:24	102:9 125:24	concludes 145:8
86:5 115:20	com 109:18	126:16	conclusions 19:10
clarifying 145:20	come 19:13,17	compared 102:12	condition 127:15
clarity 154:3	20:7 26:14 51:13	103:21	conduct 37:13,24
class 118:23,24	72:22 75:10 77:22	compensation	38:9 45:21 46:21
classification	77:25 92:18	89:2,5,14,17	47:20,22 48:15,20
118:22 119:11	101:13 119:19	competent 112:5	53:24 122:25
claudia 13:11	122:10 126:25	complaints 21:4	123:4,11,17 126:6
clause 58:20 59:9	134:5 135:5,6,6	128:16,21	126:11 128:13
59:9,19 60:20	comes 118:21	completely 22:17	131:24
61:3 63:4,9 67:15	coming 19:6	27:12 123:11	conducted 49:14
72:11	30:20 37:1 142:17	compliance 121:3	149:5
clear 15:24,24	145:16	121:7,10,11,16,17	conference 16:8
20:24 21:2 28:23	comley 138:25	122:1,14,24	17:21
36:12 39:5 54:19	139:25	123:10 124:12,15	confident 136:5
54:24 58:17 62:6	comma 61:4	complicated 80:8	confirm 24:20
65:15 109:11	commence 125:7	80:10	25:20,21
115:9 118:19	comment 113:25	complied 120:9	confirmation 2:1
135:5	145:20	120:14	15:5 24:8 25:4,18
clearly 17:10	comments 27:4	compound 59:4	61:16 118:7
35:18,18,20 36:1	103:7	65:4 74:6,18	126:22
37:2 97:16 118:3	commercial 71:22	comprehensive	confirmed 52:8
122:11 130:8	committed 123:5	126:23 127:2	confusing 66:8
client 144:12	135:19	128:11 130:22,22	confusion 130:19
clients 29:1	committee 19:5	131:14,16 133:9	connecticut
143:17	common 69:17,18	133:13	138:25 139:25
clinical 128:18	communicate	comprehensively	152:25 153:15
clint 8:20	67:23	131:17	connection 25:17
close 17:15 18:4	communications	comprised 79:24	46:14 48:24 49:22
78:6 150:24	67:11,14 68:6,20	computer 39:21	connolly 8:7
closely 58:2	69:2	93:12	cons 23:11
closer 70:8 72:22	community 35:6	concept 100:4	consensual
84:12 126:25	35:17,20,23	113:8,9	126:20
134:5 135:6,6,6	companies 31:10	concepts 130:16	consensus 102:11
club 6:10	31:20 48:25 64:25	concern 36:19	103:20
codefendants	65:11 119:21,22	concerned 29:3	consent 147:16,22
151:13	141:5,6,17	35:7,20 36:1,13	147:23 148:7
cohen 4:16	company 4:10 5:2	36:20 37:3,8,12	consequence 25:1
coleman 8:6	5:3 24:23 25:15	62:1 101:21	consider 27:13
colleagues 147:18	25:16,23,23 34:16	107:22	60:14 146:18
collection 66:8	38:3 48:14 78:13	concerns 89:10	consideration
	94:4 97:3,10		23:11

[considered - court] Page 7

	I	I	I
considered 19:3,3	contribution	128:14 131:22,23	65:3 71:7 87:3
27:19 28:19	125:13 136:13,19	132:4 133:1	151:7
144:14	control 109:10	135:21,24,25	court 1:1,11 15:2
considering 18:16	152:4	137:12,17,24	16:5,17,24 17:9
154:6	controls 31:9	141:13 143:3	17:24 18:12,13,20
consistent 16:17	conversation 90:8	144:7 146:24	20:9 21:6,11,19
23:14 127:23	90:9 95:9 111:16	147:5,24 149:10	21:22 22:3 23:3
147:7	111:19	150:24	23:22 24:2,3,19
consla 8:8	conversations	correction 151:11	24:25 25:8,12,24
constitute 141:20	15:16 69:15 76:2	corrective 86:14	26:6,15,19,25
consulting 94:4	89:23,25 90:14	123:6	27:6,11,22,23
consumer 6:1	conversed 90:17	correctly 30:19	28:1,5,11,13,18
contact 94:10,12	convicted 125:22	54:4 59:24 83:22	28:19,25 29:9,14
94:13	126:5	137:9	29:18,23 38:21
contained 46:21	conviction 122:20	correlated 72:5,5	39:13,17,21,25
containing 80:5	123:12,18,23	correlation 73:6	40:8,11,15,18
contains 55:2	124:2	73:15	41:20 42:14 43:3
contemplate 17:2	coordinate 16:13	correspondence	43:6,9,12,16,19
contemplated	copies 151:6	18:13	43:24 45:11,18
140:10	copy 101:11	cost 71:22	46:2 48:5,7,10
content 54:14	104:23 134:16	counsel 17:15	51:13 54:3,9,15
contentions 53:15	148:10 155:1,4	23:24 24:19 25:19	55:6,9,14,22
contents 110:7	copying 148:20	44:12 47:21 53:14	56:15,19,24 57:1
context 28:16	corporations	100:17 132:13	59:7 61:12 62:13
74:15,16 86:25	102:13 103:22	134:10	66:10 67:5 70:14
108:23 113:12	correct 21:13	count 43:23 44:3	72:15 73:11 75:13
115:16 121:12	24:24 26:17 29:21	44:7,8 45:4 47:5,7	78:25 79:7 84:12
128:23	30:8,9,25 31:10	126:15	84:23 86:3,24
contin 73:6,8,9,14	31:11 32:25 33:2	counted 148:15	87:8,10,13,22
contingent 151:11	33:4 41:11,14	counter 148:24	88:2,10,14 91:12
continuance 2:1	44:5,19 45:19	counterparties	92:15,18,23 93:2
continue 80:17	47:5 52:23 53:20	151:14	93:6,18 99:3
86:23 93:7	56:11 76:17 80:15	countries 32:4	102:19,21,23
continued 37:24	81:2 93:4 94:15	61:20 84:25	103:4,8,10,14
continues 47:8,9	94:16 95:11 97:2	country 6:10	104:17,19,25
continuing 15:4	97:23 105:22	156:21	105:3,9,13,16,20
contracted 59:1	109:20,23 110:3	counts 46:21	106:1,4,6 108:8
59:14,18	118:9,22 119:21	47:19	108:10,14,18,20
contribute 125:14	119:25 120:10,16	couple 15:8 108:2	108:24 112:17,21
contributed 125:9	121:4,13 122:18	143:14 148:12	116:21,24 117:1
141:6,24	123:6,7,19 125:4	151:16,21	117:15,17,19,23
contributing	125:7,10,24 126:8	course 28:18	118:7,7 119:9
119:14 140:8	126:13,15 127:6	44:23 47:1 61:25	121:2 122:10,13

[court - describes] Page 8

126:11,14 127:3	126:5	149:24 150:17	defendants 109:8
129:22 130:1	crisis 129:12,15	151:12	111:1,3
138:2,14,17,23	129:18	days 15:7 23:19	deficit 127:16
139:1,8,11,17,21	cross 26:5,10,14	149:5,9	define 90:1
139:23 140:14,17	55:9 62:15 112:18	dc 4:12	defines 133:22
142:1,7,11,15,18	112:22 114:6	deal 22:15 38:12	definition 113:19
142:25 143:5,8,16	117:2,24 130:3	151:17	delaconte 8:18
143:21,23 144:3	139:3 145:4,6,15	dearman 8:17	delay 128:24
144:16 145:3,8,12	151:15 154:5	debevoise 7:1	129:4,9
145:17,19,21,22	crush 57:17	debtor 1:9 4:2	delayed 128:2
146:1,5,9,13,17	cuing 103:2	debtors 3:7 15:13	delconte 26:5,9,13
147:4,9,11,17,23	cunningham 8:10	23:24 24:4,10	denied 54:12 63:6
147:25 148:9,18	current 148:17	25:2,14 27:9 29:1	dense 133:5
148:22 149:8,11	currently 31:1	29:2 61:14 131:2	deny 53:23 54:19
149:15 150:3,5,10	109:3 119:24	131:8,24 147:14	55:1 56:7 61:10
150:16 151:3,14	cut 123:15 129:1	147:18 151:14	76:17 85:24 87:2
151:23 152:8,14	cutler 4:9	debtor's 15:4	denying 62:2
152:21 153:6,11	cyganowski 8:11	17:15 24:14,23	76:14 80:4 133:2
153:14,19,22,25	d	decade 32:13,14	department 5:16
154:10,18,23		35:8	35:25 36:13,15,16
155:3,6,13	d 1:22 7:13 8:9	december 91:7,13	36:18,20 37:3,4
court's 104:9	13:9 15:1 52:5	102:6 104:14	46:5 48:14 52:1,9
courtroom 22:17	d.a. 12:17 57:7	106:17,25	53:10 54:21,24
cousin 66:25	82:14	deceptive 124:20	71:22 77:23 78:2
82:22	d.c. 152:25 153:15	decided 119:16	78:6,7 80:3 97:8
cover 131:17	daniel 8:7 10:21	136:24 137:2	122:24 123:10
133:16	12:21	decision 62:14	departments
coverage 23:24	danielle 10:23	81:7 124:10	36:17
24:13	darren 10:16	136:24	depended 77:23
covered 53:24	dasaro 8:14	declaration 19:11	78:1
122:18,25 126:11	data 34:8,18 58:3	25:3,8 30:2	dependence 128:9
covers 68:16	76:6 77:3,11,13	148:23	depends 19:25
149:9	77:14,16,20	declarations	deposition 15:21
cowan 8:9	date 52:2 156:25	24:14	22:21 147:2 148:2
craig 106:16	dated 101:24	decline 58:7,18	148:6 149:1,4,7
cramer 90:23	david 7:21 8:2	79:22 86:12 91:8	153:2,4
91:2,2	14:9,10 73:3	91:14,25	depositions 154:6
creditors 140:22	111:23 112:11	declined 57:15,19	descendants
criminal 35:12	davis 3:6 8:15,16	57:20	110:23 111:3,3
37:24 38:9 49:14	15:12	declining 95:2,6	described 118:12
122:20 123:4,12	day 15:19 16:15	dedicate 142:13	122:23,25
123:17,23 124:7	16:22,23,25 17:1	dedicated 141:1	describes 133:22
124:16 125:23	69:1 146:21	141:20	
12.110 120.20	147:13 149:6,23	1.1.20	

[designate - dose] Page 9

			I
designate 148:23	139:19 140:1,19	disjointedly 153:3	94:1 99:13,18
148:25 155:7,8	directed 27:13	disorder 113:1,4	100:15 103:12
designating 19:22	42:22 43:5	113:12,14 114:4	104:12 105:15,24
designations	directly 67:24	115:2 116:3	106:9,9,12,14,19
22:19	78:8	127:16	106:24 107:8,14
despite 136:3	director 30:7,23	dispute 20:18	107:15 108:10,23
detail 124:9	31:1,15 35:15	44:20 46:8 49:25	114:24 131:10
detailing 60:4,11	48:24 63:24 64:16	50:2,3 83:14	133:5 153:4
72:4,12 73:7,8,9	94:14 125:24	100:5	documents 18:14
73:14,16 89:1	126:6,7,9,10,15	disputed 100:4	18:21,23,24 19:1
determination	126:17	distance 101:16	19:1,6,9,16 20:1,3
144:5 154:9	directors 57:6	distinction 36:15	20:7 21:9 38:25
determine 34:16	64:2,23 65:9	143:19	39:6,16 41:1,6,7
deterrent 58:18	75:17 79:21 84:8	distinctions	45:15 50:24 86:19
develop 100:5	84:16,20 97:5	102:12 103:22	87:4,6,18 105:21
developed 60:3,10	118:22,23,24	distracted 68:18	doesn't 35:3
144:20,22	119:11 120:8,14	137:7	148:10
development 96:9	directs 111:20	distribution 58:12	doing 72:23 76:8
devon 8:19	disagree 46:6	58:22 64:24	79:4,6,7,14 86:13
dialog 82:7,9	disagreement	district 1:2 36:5	98:14
didn't 34:12,12	83:19,19	divide 16:13	doj 40:8
34:15 38:4	disappears	division 6:1 89:7	dollars 64:23
died 33:14,18,20	152:15	divisions 37:5	65:10
33:21,25 34:17	disapproval 64:18	docken 8:20	don't 15:6 20:7,16
37:20	disclosure 134:16	docket 24:14	22:11,13,25 23:5
difference 83:20	discontinuance	26:22,24 38:25	30:18,21,22 31:18
107:24 138:6	37:16	doctor 42:1 49:13	32:8 33:5,7,17,19
149:4	discuss 17:20 23:8	69:20 99:25	34:3,6,9,25 35:22
different 33:22	86:12 92:20 95:21	106:13	36:9,19,21 37:4
100:25 105:4	96:12,20 107:7	doctors 49:15	37:10,14,18 38:21
125:21 126:3	151:2	60:4,12,21 88:25	41:25 141:3 142:1
127:14 128:1	discussed 61:15	89:4,9 97:18,20	142:19 143:7,19
142:22 143:13	67:21 95:23,25	97:22 98:16	144:1,15 145:15
146:11	96:1,10,19 114:15	document 26:22	146:5 147:17
difficult 57:17	155:16	39:18 42:17 43:10	148:4 150:7,11,11
70:9 79:3 98:17	discusses 107:12	43:13,15 44:6,10	151:1 152:4,11,15
difficulties 79:13	discussing 45:5	45:10 48:4 51:9	152:22 153:19,19
difficulty 114:10	105:4 151:5	51:16 52:3,14	154:10,20
digest 16:6	discussion 96:15	53:12 54:25 55:1	dorr 4:9
direct 27:18 29:25	96:16 102:9	55:2,4,18 56:3,9	dosages 99:22
30:2,3,4 67:10	130:15	66:7 68:16 80:1	dose 78:17 99:12
89:10 110:23	discussions 15:21	84:10,21 85:2,4	99:17,24
117:2 129:8,8,22	101:18,20	86:21 88:4,11	

[dosed - emphasize]

Page 10

dosed 128:2	142:5,24 143:10	40:4,9,10,13,14	educate 70:7
dot 109:4,6,10	144:9,13,18 145:4	40:18,24 41:12,16	edward 11:17
double 149:14	145:9,19	41:19,22 42:10,12	effect 62:16 69:24
dougherty 8:21	drain 1:22 15:3	42:19,23 43:17,25	73:5,5 89:17
douglass 12:1	79:6 93:2 139:12	44:16,19,22,25	effective 71:11
download 51:8,20	draw 27:20 61:1	45:12,14 46:3,16	effectiveness 98:5
dozens 61:20	driver 79:22	48:4,12 50:23	eight 50:24 77:6
dr 3:4 29:13,17,20	drug 33:22 89:8	51:16,18,21 53:14	86:20 87:9
30:4,6 36:24 38:3	127:11,13,15,17	53:17,18 54:1,6	eileen 82:18
38:24 39:17 40:4	128:13,21 129:4,7	54:18 55:8,13,16	either 17:2,11,13
40:19 41:12,16	drugs 72:13,25	55:24 56:4,15,25	23:6 27:17 104:20
42:13,19 43:25	127:22	57:2 59:6,8,9,11	elaborate 89:7
44:15,20 45:3,20	dubel 8:22 19:4	61:14 62:5,17,18	elaine 9:19
46:2,19 48:5	dubel's 19:4	62:19 63:6,10,13	element 65:7
51:22 53:19 54:4	dying 34:11	63:20 66:11 68:3	elements 64:9
54:9 55:10,17	dylan 8:8	68:5,8 70:10,15	89:9
56:5,25 57:3,6,14	d'angelo 8:12	83:7,9 84:23 85:3	eli 13:24
58:4,6 59:15	d'apice 8:13	85:7 86:4,22 87:1	elisa 10:8
61:23 62:2,6,15	e	87:12,16,20,23	elizabeth 12:23
62:20 63:6,13,21	e 1:21,21 3:1,1	88:1,2,8,11,15	email 15:14 51:3,4
64:14 65:9 66:14	6:20 7:21 11:13	91:21,22 92:11,17	51:5,7 80:4 85:9
67:6 68:11 70:5	11:17 12:24 15:1	93:6,8,9,22,23	85:14,18,23 86:14
70:16,16 71:10	15:1 29:18 156:1	99:1,4 100:20,25	86:15,15 87:3,7
72:16,24 74:4	e2 96:24	101:3,6,7,11,12	100:17 101:5,19
75:7,18 79:16	e2e 63:24 64:6,9	104:9,11,15,18,19	101:21,22,24
81:12 83:10 84:12	67:20 94:16,17,21	104:24 105:2,6,11	102:2,5,24 103:6
84:18 85:8 86:1,7	94:22,24 96:9,16	105:19,23 106:2,5	103:17 104:3,5,11
86:9 87:21 88:16	96:22,24	106:7,20,23 108:6	106:7,16 107:1,5
89:19 91:1,21	earlier 43:10	108:9,16,25	107:7,11 108:14
92:8,19 93:3,10	67:21 101:1	109:13,21,23,25	134:10 147:20
93:11,22,24 95:13	early 151:6 152:9	112:6,7,15 114:6	148:19 150:10,14
100:12 101:8	easier 58:9 62:12	114:13 138:5	emailed 79:20
102:2 103:18	easy 45:9	145:13,15 146:17	86:10 100:23
104:13 106:8,15	eberhardt 8:23	146:22 147:5,10	101:5
106:16,25 107:1	eckstein 8:24	147:11,12,21	emailing 51:15
108:1 109:2 110:1	ecro 1:25	148:3,19,21 150:1	emails 18:21,22
112:15,18,24	edan 10:25	150:4,15,18	20:18 76:6 106:18
118:1 119:9	edminds 3:20	151:11,20 152:3,6	150:12
120:13 122:11,22	18:9,10,24 20:15	152:11,19 154:3,7	emily 9:22
123:24 130:5	21:11,20 22:23	154:13,21,24	emphasis 97:20
134:15,21 137:25	23:4,17,20 29:25	155:5,9	emphasize 149:21
139:13,14,18	30:3,5,6 38:15,19	edmunds' 148:12	149:21
140:3,21,24 141:9	38:22,23 39:11		
	30.22,23 37.11		
	Veritext Leg	ral Solutions	

emphasizing	estate's 19:15	exclude 24:12	explain 132:10	
149:22	estoppel 62:16	excluding 137:5	explaining 79:14	
employed 111:25	et 15:3	excuse 21:23	express 64:18	
employees 67:25	ethan 10:12	68:17 126:10	expressly 53:23	
90:4	evaluation 137:19	135:1	extend 77:7	
ended 16:18 26:9	137:22	executed 114:18	extended 79:23	
76:8	evan 10:11	executive 114:2	extensively 110:5	
ends 47:9	everybody 15:25	executives 36:6	extent 19:23	
enforcement 49:1	evidence 19:25	58:2 59:2,20	133:10,17	
engage 37:24	24:12 25:3 27:5	67:11,12 81:14	extremely 133:5	
62:14	105:8,18 108:4,5	82:12 96:5 125:22	f	
engaged 32:2 38:8	108:7 109:1 119:2	126:4	_	
69:17 82:8 85:11	150:25	exercise 86:20	f 1:21 13:7 156:1	
124:3	evidentiary 18:1	exhale 16:14	f.x. 10:13	
engagement 95:18	24:6,9 25:17	exhibit 19:22 21:9	face 59:18 90:24	
enormous 133:6	26:23	21:16 22:12 23:4	fact 19:10 48:13	
entered 19:21	evolve 63:24	40:12,12 100:22	49:6,14,17 53:20	
51:25 52:9 53:11	64:16	104:17,22,24	56:8 69:21 80:4,7	
105:18 109:1	exact 125:11	105:7,18 109:1	136:3 141:13	
114:18,21 119:23	exactly 15:10,18	148:1,1,10,11	142:6 143:6	
123:1 125:2,20,21	15:18 17:6 25:10	149:7 155:6,8	factored 17:17	
126:2,3,16	56:24 114:10	exhibits 19:22,25	facts 16:7 46:14	
entering 37:15	135:16 143:24,25	21:14,15,24 22:8	53:20 56:8 62:7	
entertain 92:12	examination 30:4	22:9,12,18,24	119:2 153:3	
entire 37:4 71:21	62:15 112:22	23:7 27:10,13	factual 53:9 54:20	
148:23,25	114:6 117:24	28:8,14 29:2	56:10,13	
entirety 94:21	130:3 140:1,19	105:17 148:12	failed 69:25	
entities 33:8,12	154:15	149:17 151:5	fails 151:18	
36:7 84:24 135:11	examine 26:5	exist 32:4	faint 30:20	
141:16 142:14	55:10 112:17	exists 88:21	fair 67:23 99:11 107:11 113:23	
144:11,19,24	145:4 151:15	111:22		
entitled 88:2	examined 139:13	expanding 59:25	124:13 128:7 142:9,13,21,22	
entity 31:8 120:10	example 21:3	expect 140:5	142:9,13,21,22	
120:15 140:8	22:20 34:21 36:5	142:24 149:22	·	
144:2	65:15 127:22	151:5	154:13,20 false 56:23,25	
equal 78:17 80:11	excellence 63:24	expected 22:11	57:9,18,23,24	
80:14	64:16	137:19,22	59:3,16,17,17,18	
eric 13:14	exception 22:7	expedite 51:16	60:15	
eskandari 8:25	excess 135:19	55:13	familiar 100:2	
established 143:4	exchange 135:22	experience 138:12	113:9 128:3	
estate 19:13,14	153:1	expert 19:12	133:21	
estate's 61:21	exchanges 147:21	109:18	familiarize 44:9	
			127:17	
			121.11	
Veritext Legal Solutions				

[families - general] Page 12

form:11: a.g. 15.17	€ 1• 1 <i>E E</i> 11	P.4 0 - 5	f
families 15:17	finalize 155:11	fitzsimmons 9:5	frame 83:18
family 5:10 7:2	finance 78:7	five 92:15,17	framed 20:4
15:9 51:25 52:11	financial 78:7,9	floor 5:11	frank 6:8 112:24
57:5 64:22,24	136:13,19	focus 16:20 55:6	franklin 6:13
65:10 110:1,1,17	find 16:24 40:2,11	95:15 114:3	frankly 15:17
118:8,15,21,23,24	112:6	130:20 143:18	20:4 29:3
125:6,9 129:10,14	finding 56:10	focused 60:4,11	fraud 132:25
131:1,17 133:18	findings 19:10	114:14	133:17
135:19,23 136:5	95:1	focusing 21:25	frazier 9:7
137:14 140:6,25	fine 20:16 21:21	84:24	frederick 12:24
family's 111:11	26:6 44:11 53:3,3	fogelman 9:6	free 55:9 61:25
137:19,23	53:17 57:14 80:17	folks 16:12	frequent 16:2
far 21:6,7 23:15	83:9 85:6 86:23	follow 108:21	76:20 77:8
26:17,18 29:2	87:14,18 92:18	148:11,14	friday 17:3,21
130:13	108:24 115:24	followed 43:22	friedman 9:8
farash 9:1	130:1 134:13	following 46:22	friend 68:17
farrell 9:2	138:20,23 145:17	46:23,23 71:20	front 101:9
father 110:14	146:13 151:23	85:9 144:6	frustrating 28:13
father's 111:5	154:21	follows 61:2	fully 133:7,15
fda 107:15,22	finish 117:21	101:21	function 41:5
federal 35:11	151:24	force 89:3,5 95:15	fund 136:22
70:24 126:4	finished 26:16	forecast 67:15,16	144:10
feel 61:25 142:6,9	152:7	forego 153:1	further 112:15
feels 16:9	finzi 9:4	foregoing 156:3	138:1 140:12
felt 152:1	fire 5:3 25:15,23	foreign 84:24,25	145:2
femino 9:3	26:24	form 98:25	future 62:17
field 71:13 90:4	firm 111:25 112:2	formal 15:6	118:9 132:23
fifth 4:4,18 6:3	139:24	former 27:23	g
fighting 22:22	first 18:8 24:10	forming 92:7	g 8:10,15 15:1
figure 117:11	41:2,2 44:12	forms 79:23	gage 8:3
134:4	50:18 52:4 53:8	formulation 58:19	gain 93:16
file 42:24 43:1,7	54:7 57:3,14	144:20	galle 9:9
43:10	59:12 63:5 67:10	forum 146:2,3	gange 9:10
filed 17:11,14	70:18 75:1 89:10	forward 18:16	garrett 136:10
18:6 24:11,14	90:7,8,9,12,15	23:18 30:20 70:17	gary 9:21
25:25 26:20,22,24	101:22 106:14,20	77:6 138:10	gasdia 76:2,10,12
128:16	106:21 108:14	forwarded 39:7	86:11
files 41:11	111:1 123:22	found 95:12,15	gather 18:7
filled 22:18	130:12 140:22,24	101:5 121:16	geldreich 9:11
final 146:25	141:11 142:8	foundation 84:22	general 3:15 6:1
150:19	143:14 152:19	four 36:7 62:24	6:15 37:7 57:6,10
finality 130:16	fitch 6:9	77:6 106:18	78:14 94:10 96:20
		151:19	98:17 118:10
			70.17 110.10

[generally - hear] Page 13

generally 55:11	147:11 152:19,20	gotto 9:21	half 17:1 69:1
64:15 65:9 75:22	155:10	government 35:25	149:5,6
78:16 97:2	god 29:16 62:3	126:4	hamermesh's
general's 6:2	goes 44:13	governmental	19:12,18
generation 111:1	going 15:18,25	144:11	hand 25:14,16
generic 127:24	17:20 18:7 21:3	governs 29:2	29:11 138:19
generics 79:25	22:19,20 23:16,18	grandchildren	handling 147:17
geography 132:20	28:6 29:21 45:6	110:22,25 111:4,5	happen 15:18
gerard 13:23	46:9 50:18 51:5	111:6	84:6
germany 32:19	51:15 53:5,19	grant 23:13	happened 84:5
getting 16:2 42:1	54:5 55:17 56:5,7	grasp 65:1	123:22 154:15
70:9 88:3 102:25	56:9,19,20,21	gregory 5:14	happening 17:7
131:13 133:21,23	63:13,14,22 70:17	grim 9:22	happy 38:20
133:25 135:11	70:24 71:3 78:25	grounds 9:23	119:7
gibson 9:12	86:17 88:8 89:19	group 24:11 148:7	hard 16:24 74:19
giddens 9:13,14	92:3 93:24 100:13	growth 79:22	138:13
gilbert 9:15	100:14 109:17	83:23	harder 28:18
gill 9:11	114:14 115:4,5	guaranteed 120:5	hart 14:10
give 16:5 28:22	117:4,10 118:14	guard 9:24	haven't 21:19,20
34:10 38:22 40:19	119:13 127:7	guess 22:4 31:8	hayden 8:6
52:1 70:12 113:25	138:10 139:18	59:12 61:4 86:24	hcps 69:10
133:15 142:16	144:10 146:18,18	90:7 94:3,3 100:2	he'll 119:6
given 16:11 22:7	146:20,23 147:1	108:11 109:8	head 118:20
36:11	151:2 154:1,16,24	114:1 115:17	heading 70:19,22
gives 23:16	gold 4:21 27:3,3,7	134:10 144:4,6	headings 75:19
giving 17:2 28:7	27:24 28:3,10,24	147:13 148:18	headphones 130:9
glanced 110:6	golden 112:11	149:25	headquarters
gleit 9:16	goldman 9:17	guidance 16:8	66:19 67:1
global 126:20,23	111:23 112:8,10	17:5 23:17	headsets 138:6,11
126:24 130:16,16	138:16,19,20,24	guilty 35:11 36:7	health 35:6,17,20
130:19	138:24 139:19,20	44:4 45:21 46:14	35:22,25 153:8
go 20:22 29:25	139:22,24,24	46:21 47:20 48:15	healthcare 69:5,8
30:3 38:13,21	140:2,12	48:21 114:8	70:2,24 71:13
44:14 47:3 51:4	goldstein 9:18	122:18,20 123:1	72:4,12
54:7 56:6 59:9	gold's 154:25	125:22 126:3	hear 16:14 49:6
61:16 62:17 68:24	golin 9:19	gulf 5:2 25:14,22	70:9,13 79:3,5
70:18 74:5 87:18	goller 9:20	26:11,23	88:11 93:18
93:25 95:24	good 15:2,13 30:6	h	103:16 105:23
100:13 106:6	68:17 90:18 93:20	h 8:24 14:6 96:3	106:13 107:20
113:11 114:11	93:20 118:1 130:5	haberkorn 9:25	118:2,3,3,4,5
116:24 117:23	139:11 140:3,4	hage 5:9	123:8 124:7 130:7
121:2 122:7	gostin 4:14 24:21	hale 4:9	130:8,8,10 136:12
134:13 145:4,5	24:21	1.7	

heard 18:2 21:7	hill 10:3	honoring 119:23	i
21:19,20 30:19	hirshman 10:4	honor's 16:8 17:6	iacs 31:16 32:2,18
64:17 67:6 79:8	hmm 139:7	27:4,12	119:20,24 141:5
88:17 100:4	hoc 151:4	hope 23:16 51:14	141:16,19,24
111:12 130:15	holding 64:24	118:3,4 119:6	iac's 31:13
135:12,15,15	65:11	130:8	idea 134:1
137:16 138:7	holds 149:19	hoped 58:15,16	identified 102:24
149:23,23	home 134:20	58:17,17	103:17 122:1,24
hearing 2:1,1 15:4	hon 1:22	hopefully 151:8,8	135:10
15:7,15 16:4	honesty 89:11	155:12	identify 86:14
17:10,15 18:1,16	honor 15:11 16:10	hostile 117:4	identifying 21:17
25:4,20 36:23	16:10,15 17:4,22	hours 128:3	iii 9:23
61:15 62:14 75:6	18:9,15 19:3,7,12	housekeeping	ilene 154:25
150:25 153:23	19:21 20:3,5,16	23:25	
155:14,15	20:20,23 21:2,2,8	howard 13:13	illegal 123:11,17 124:3
heather 9:7	21:18,21 22:2,23	hrycay 10:5	illness 113:5
heed 121:6,7	23:20,23 24:4,21	hudson 10:6	
heitzenrater 10:1	25:1,5,11,14,21	huebner 3:11 61:9	imagine 124:16
held 69:15	26:3,7,8,17,21	61:13,13 109:11	immediately 85:9
hello 90:18	27:2,3,7,18,21,24	109:15,22,24	
help 29:16 51:16	28:10,24 29:8	117:7 119:1 138:3	implement 31:13
66:13 99:14	38:20 40:14 44:18	138:3 143:12	96:21 97:15 98:6
114:20 131:4	48:8 54:1,2,14	144:3 147:20,24	98:9,12 99:5
143:13 145:21	59:4,10 61:9,11	148:20 150:24	implemented
helped 34:9	61:13 62:18 70:11	151:10	75:25 97:10 98:3
helper 138:9	72:18 75:12 83:5	huebner's 119:8	98:12,23 99:2,7
helpful 52:16 65:5	84:15 86:4,17,22	huebner's 152:4	implementing
83:4 87:11	88:12 92:11 93:5	hugh 11:8	76:3
hero 15:13	93:8 102:20 105:6	human 35:25	implications
herring 10:2	105:15,22 106:2	61:20 69:17	18:14
he's 21:14,17	108:9,22 109:11	hundred 148:5	imply 153:18
higgins 5:21	112:16 116:20,22	hundreds 21:14	importance 81:7
129:23,23 130:2,4	117:13 119:1	135:10,15,18	important 22:13
130:6 134:15,17	129:23 138:1,3,16	149:16	22:14,20 27:13,19
134:18 138:1,5	138:20 139:20	hunter 7:22	28:1,8 79:22
150:6,6	140:13,16 142:17	hurley 10:7	110:18 119:5
high 97:22,24	143:12 145:1,7,10	hyde 2:25 156:3,8	151:14
128:8	145:21 146:8,22	hyder 10:8	impossible 57:17
higher 78:17,18	147:8,24 148:3,21	hyperactivity	improve 95:19
83:24 84:3	149:2,3 150:2,6	127:16	inadequate 81:22
highlighted 28:17	150:15 151:10	hysingla 73:15	82:1
62:23	152:7,13,17 154:2	,	inappropriate
	154:21		81:18,19,25
	10		
	1	1	1

[include - it's] Page 15

			I
include 28:4	92:22 93:20 95:1	infrequently	introduction
67:14,20 136:5	95:12 96:3,6,12	77:19	55:20 57:15
150:11	96:12,13,23 97:3	initial 19:22	investigator
included 54:21	97:13,20 98:8,9	initiated 50:5	150:20 154:4,5
60:22 134:22	98:18 100:7,11	injection 58:10	involved 110:4
142:7 143:14	101:10,16,17,18	input 74:18 136:2	116:16
153:2	101:24,24,25	136:6	involvement
includes 132:8,22	103:1 106:12	inquiries 111:13	113:13,14
132:25 135:10	107:2 109:13,15	inquiring 27:21	involves 47:23
136:12 138:14	109:16,19,20	inquiry 111:10	irve 9:17 138:24
including 57:6	110:7 111:16,19	instance 141:4	139:24
58:7 61:4 67:11	111:20 112:11,11	insufflation 58:10	isley 4:14 24:21
96:22 138:12	112:13 113:6,18	insurance 4:10	isn't 141:13,19
143:25	117:8,9 120:20,24	5:2,3 23:24 24:12	144:18 146:2
inclusion 128:12	122:9,15 123:14	24:13,22 25:15,16	isolate 74:19
incorporated	124:6,9 126:24	25:22,23	issacharoff 10:10
48:14	127:20 128:24	insurers 24:5,11	issue 17:19 18:11
incorrect 56:11	133:3 134:4,7	24:16 25:25 26:11	22:15 49:7,8
146:10	135:4 136:8 137:4	26:13	121:17 131:9
incorrectly 40:17	137:6 138:12	insurers' 24:8,9	144:5 152:5
increase 59:2,21	139:16 146:16	insurer's 25:18	issues 20:24 24:6
71:11 72:6	151:16 155:1	integrity 89:11	24:9,15 25:17
increased 58:10	individual 89:23	intend 22:13	26:23 121:11
58:20 78:15	90:23 99:22	intended 16:12	122:1,15,23
indelicato 10:9	112:25	57:16 89:14	151:13 153:9
independent 31:9	individualize	119:19 144:7	italian 49:15
78:19 119:20	99:12,16	interest 70:17	italy 32:21 49:5,8
141:5,17	individualizing	74:10	49:14,15,18
indicate 111:21	99:24	interfere 115:15	it' 27:24
indications 60:25	individually	international	it's 18:5,24 20:25
indiscernible	100:16	48:25	21:5 22:5,14 23:5
28:11 31:18 34:14	individuals 36:7	internationally	26:3 28:1,9,17,17
36:20 37:19 38:2	58:8 61:25 99:21	31:10 33:22	29:18 30:20,24
39:21 40:17 42:7	indulgence 104:9	interrogation	37:1 38:14 39:7
42:9,21 43:2,14	infer 98:17	109:22	39:18 40:6 42:2,5
43:22,23 56:14	info 109:18	interrupt 121:23	42:9,14,25 43:9,9
71:2,23 72:20,21	inform 34:9	154:19	43:10,10,12,13,15
74:21 75:11,12,21	information 34:13	interrupted	43:18,21 142:12
76:5 78:14 79:2	72:3	121:24	145:22 147:13,15
80:8,8 81:4,5,6,6	informed 50:11	introduce 18:18	148:7,22,23,25
81:7,8,22 83:4,5	85:10 98:13	introduced 73:13	149:6,13 152:3
83:21 84:9,11	111:17	129:7	154:13,14 155:6
85:1 91:17 92:3			

[iv - know] Page 16

	T	T	
iv 70:19	jill 7:9	109:17 112:4,18	22:5 29:7,12
ives 136:10	jo 105:17	115:7,9,13,15,22	147:18
i'd 23:25	job 90:18	117:4 120:24	kaminsky 10:12
i'll 17:5,7 22:5	john 8:21,22 9:24	126:9,25 129:1	kaplan 4:16 27:4
41:23,25 146:3	11:1	131:12 132:14,17	karen 10:14
154:21	joined 24:17	134:5,12,15 135:1	kathe 57:7 66:25
i'm 20:9,9,13,22	26:11	135:4 138:7	82:22
20:25 21:7,25	joint 21:9,16	141:23 142:10,12	katherine 9:9
22:20,21 23:6	100:22 104:24	145:5,5,7 152:13	11:24 15:14
25:24 27:14,21	105:7	152:14 153:25	keep 66:6
28:20,20,21 30:6	jon 11:2	154:2,19	kelly 10:13 13:19
33:16 36:18,25	jonathan 11:13	joseph's 44:22	kenan 12:25
38:3,20 40:16,17	13:25 57:7 66:25	111:25 112:2	kennedy 10:14
43:7,14 142:2	82:20	joseph's 19:11	kenneth 8:24
143:1,25 145:12	jones 10:11	joshua 8:4	kentucky 124:19
146:24 147:6,12	jordan 12:10 14:2	jr 5:7 7:21 14:7	kesselman 10:15
152:8 154:18	josep 72:22	judge 1:23 15:3	kevin 8:16 11:3
155:6	joseph 5:9,14 7:25	16:3 22:11 28:14	kickbacks 49:15
i've 15:6 18:2	8:15 12:6,21	79:6 93:2 109:3,6	kind 16:1 27:19
21:7,12 23:15	13:10,17,21 20:20	139:12	47:17 136:25
34:6 35:3	21:8,13,21 22:2	judgeforyoursel	153:3 154:14
j	38:11,17 39:5,15	110:2	155:10
	39:23 40:1,6 41:1	judgments 37:15	kindly 16:4
j 4:7,21 5:21 9:17	41:7,14 42:5,17	jurisdictions 49:2	klein 10:16
10:23 12:9 13:2	42:23 44:14,18	justice 5:16 36:13	kleinberg 4:16
13:22,24	45:13 46:3,12	36:16,16,17,18,20	27:3
james 3:13 6:13 12:20	48:2 50:21 51:3,5	37:3,5 46:5 48:14	kleinman 10:17
	51:9,15,18 53:12	52:1,10 53:10	knew 34:11 58:24
january 30:12,13	53:15,22 55:3	54:21,24 80:3	59:12,13 60:23,24
77:2,4 79:20 85:9	56:12 59:4 63:4,9	jx 40:13 41:20	60:24 62:2,4
jared 9:13	63:12,17 66:5	43:4 51:1 52:17	know 15:23 18:4
jasmine 7:16	68:1,7 70:8 72:14	52:18,21,23	18:14,19,21,23
jason 12:12	83:6 84:22 85:1,3	105:17,18 108:21	20:7,7,16 21:4
jay 7:15	85:6 86:17 87:5,9	109:1	22:17,25 23:5
jeffrey 9:16 10:24	87:25 91:10 98:25	j x2094 41:16	28:5,14,20 32:8
12:9	100:19,21,23	j x2096 50:18	33:5,17,19,20,23
jenna 10:6	101:2,4 102:18,20	k	33:24 34:3,4,12
jennifer 7:23	102:22 103:1,6,9		35:22 36:19,21
11:21	103:13,15,16	k 7:6 10:2,19	37:4,10,20 41:25
jeremey 12:15	104:11,14,16,22	29:18	49:11,12,17 50:3
jeremy 10:3,17	105:15,22,23	kami 12:3	50:11,12,13,16
jerome 13:15	106:18,21 108:3	kaminetzky 3:12	55:24 57:20,21,25
jesse 8:18	108:13,18,19,22	15:11,12 16:23	61:6,19 62:3,7,9
		17:4,22 20:22	
	Veriteyt Le	1	1

[know - looked] Page 17

62:23 64:20 71:8	lackey 10:19	legal 38:1 120:9	143:19
71:16 72:1,3,11	land 44:10	120:15 131:9,9	lisovicz 10:25
73:17,19 74:23	landau 106:16	132:14 142:21	list 15:7,16 21:9
75:19 78:20 83:20	107:1	156:20	21:16 25:6,9,9
84:5 85:5,25 86:7	language 55:2	legitimate 58:25	28:7,22 82:24
87:2 89:13,16	80:5 132:8 133:4	59:13	92:2 133:21,25
92:11 94:25		legitimately 154:7	134:8,13,14,22,25
100:21 101:8	large 22:6,9 90:16 larger 70:6	length 17:19	135:9 136:12,18
100:21 101:8		114:13,16	146:25 150:11,14
102.24 104.20	laryngitis 70:11 launch 128:24	· ·	listed 22:7 42:24
111:8,15 116:8,10	129:4	lengthy 139:2 lennard 10:21	65:4 74:17
119:16 120:5	launched 127:11	leslie 9:20	listen 136:9
121:5,6,9,15,18	127:14 128:13	letters 25:9	listened 130:12
	laura 9:3 11:7		
121:25 123:13		let's 31:24 34:2	listening 103:2
124:7,7 127:8	laurel 10:19 lauren 14:8	35:24,24	104:21
128:22 133:2,3,20		level 36:19 67:24	listing 134:1
135:12,14,17,17	law 6:8 16:7 19:11	68:2 90:7,8,9,13	lists 19:22
136:18 137:10,13	49:1	90:15 91:15 99:22	litigation 62:17
141:3,15 142:19	lawfulness 89:12	leventhal 10:22	little 17:1 30:20
143:20,24,24	lawrence 9:6	levine 10:23	44:13 45:2 62:1
144:25 147:17	10:18	lexington 3:8 5:4	live 150:22
148:4 151:1,24	lawsuits 128:25	5:11	living 110:20
152:16,23 154:7	129:5	liability 128:25	114:3
154:10,20	lawyer 109:8	129:5 133:11	llc 5:9 6:8
knowing 70:23	129:7 131:10	lianna 13:5 25:3	llp 3:6 4:1,9 5:1
117:8	lawyers 131:11,12	liens 116:14	load 96:13
knowledge 34:18	131:13 134:24	liesenmer 10:24	locate 35:3,9 40:8
49:4,19 50:2	135:2 151:4	lieu 147:2,3	45:23 46:10 49:6
98:19 116:7 136:7	layman's 123:3	light 46:19 115:20	77:4
137:18,21	leading 117:5	lights 137:7	located 32:18 35:4
known 30:24 34:4	learned 80:24	likelihood 23:13	67:3
34:6 60:23 63:23	leave 15:22 17:1	limine 24:12,16	long 49:6 104:4
116:17 123:4	92:24 150:17	24:17 25:25 26:4	117:10 138:21
knows 61:24	led 123:11,17	26:12,21	151:25
143:16 149:3	ledanski 2:25	limit 23:14	longmire 11:1
kotler 10:18	156:3,8	limitation 132:11	longstanding 89:6
l	lee 11:18	132:19	look 34:7,12 45:1
1 8:11 9:8 13:10	lees 10:20	limited 19:19	45:3 51:22 67:8
29:18	lefcourt 82:18	113:20 131:20	70:18 80:18 85:2
l.p. 1:7 15:3	left 31:5 32:9	132:7 133:14	110:6 138:15
139:13	121:15 122:3	limits 123:2	149:25
lack 75:21,22	127:12 134:23	line 61:3 86:18	looked 34:8,13,13
1ack / J.21,22	135:2 139:17	89:10 107:8	34:20,22 35:3
	Veritext Leg	gal Solutions	

[looked - mention] Page 18

110:5	management	marshall 3:11	110:13 111:17
looking 21:3	83:23 84:2 85:11	61:13 138:3	117:1 121:6,23
39:17 43:3 50:22	90:17 98:8,11,13	martin 11:4 14:5	123:13 126:11
56:1 66:6,18	101:18,19 102:11	maryland 3:15,16	142:18,18 151:23
67:14 70:22 85:15	103:21 121:4	6:15,16 15:17	154:11
85:24 94:1 99:14	122:2 123:16	18:10 21:10 29:4	meaning 142:8
101:10 105:21,24	124:2	29:24 30:7 150:20	meaningful 27:14
106:12,14	managers 89:25	153:12,18,20	means 68:2
looks 101:18	90:2,5,7,8,9,13,15	155:12	113:25 130:19
107:16	managing 95:8	master 146:15	meant 111:4
lost 58:2 59:2,20	mandatary	masumoto 11:5	mechanism 128:2
136:25	116:14	mathew 9:2	media 34:13
lot 71:15,16	manipulate 57:17	matt 154:25	medical 99:25
124:16 142:21	manufacturer	matter 1:5 18:11	113:15 115:2
147:13	31:16	23:25 84:7 140:23	medically 60:25
louis 7:24	manufactures	142:21	70:23
lower 41:10 67:24	31:21	matters 27:21	medication 132:3
68:2	mara 10:22	108:4	medicine 113:2,7
lowne 11:2	marc 10:15 13:7	matthew 4:21 9:5	meet 63:2
lunch 117:12,14	13:17	27:3	meeting 77:22
138:4,18,22 139:5	march 30:14	maura 7:6	81:2 84:14,16,19
lynam 136:10	marine 5:3 25:15	maxcy 11:6	84:20 90:16 91:20
m	25:23 26:24	maximize 113:20	meetings 74:15
m 10:11 11:8,10	mario 8:12	maximum 93:16	78:1 80:23 81:16
11:16,18 13:6,18	marion 12:4	137:16	82:5,6 90:20
maclay 11:3	mark 8:5,17 10:9	mcclammy 3:13	megan 12:13
mad 151:12	11:22	mccloud 11:7	meises 11:11
magali 9:14	marked 104:22	mcdonald 11:8	melanie 8:11
maintain 71:12	market 58:25	mcgaha 11:9	melissa 9:12
maintained 109:3	59:3,14,18,21,23	mckinsey 94:4,13	member 15:9
111:10	79:24 107:13	95:5,9,10,17,22	80:22 81:1 82:11
maintains 110:2	marketing 37:25	95:23 96:5,22	110:18 118:23,24
110:11 112:2,8,13	38:10,12,13 49:9	97:2,6,11 98:4,21	120:8,13
major 102:13	49:23 50:6,10,12	99:7	members 51:25
103:22 107:24	60:3,11 67:12,16	mckinsey's 94:15	52:11 57:4,5
majority 32:2,11	67:16 71:18 74:11	mcnulty 11:10	64:22 79:20 81:9
58:7,17	74:11 75:2,15,18	md 3:18 6:18	82:8 110:20 118:8
making 77:23	75:25 76:13 77:23	mean 17:24 28:1	133:18 140:6
78:2 79:1 136:13	78:2 80:25 94:6	35:22 37:16 38:4	memory 65:6
136:19 154:8	128:17	52:7 55:9 64:1	86:16,20 109:16
man 120:20	marking 94:19	69:3 71:16 79:4	127:23 128:6
managed 95:11	markman 4:14	85:4 86:3,18	mention 28:2
manageu 93.11		87:17 90:1 105:3	

mentioned 42:23	misstating 133:10	mortimer 12:17	111:11 112:24
150:13 151:20	136:23	57:7 82:14	127:13,14,18,22
merits 23:12	mistake 41:9	motion 24:11,14	130:5 134:21
message 107:17	mister 20:23	24:16,17,17 25:25	140:21
mic 135:6,7	misuse 33:14 35:7	26:4,11,21	named 53:23 57:8
michael 7:14 9:18	36:2,14 37:8,21	moultrie 7:19	58:1,24 59:1,12
11:19 13:1	57:18 132:23	move 21:5 51:6	59:13,19 60:23
michele 10:4	mitchell 7:15 10:7	86:1 87:17,19	62:9 70:22 81:3
11:11	mitnick 11:13	89:18 91:4,6	90:23
microphone 70:8	mm 139:7	92:19 105:8,12	names 134:3,23
72:22 84:13	mnc 30:24	108:3,6,9	135:10
126:25 134:5	mnp 30:23,25	moved 18:16	nann 11:16
microphones	31:1,4,8,9 32:9	55:24 63:11	napp 48:24 49:4,4
93:16	molecular 127:18	moving 58:8	49:5
midnight 39:6	molecule 127:21	60:20 108:4,17	narrow 20:5
mike 105:1	moment 99:18	muha 4:7 23:23	39:11 72:21
miller 11:12	104:8 129:1	23:23 24:4 25:1	nathaniel 11:12
milligram 92:1	144:15	25:10,13 26:8,17	nation 141:11
million 87:5	momentarily	26:20 27:2	142:9
124:19 125:9	51:11	multipage 55:4	nations 140:22
millions 87:5	moments 27:5	multiple 41:1	nationwide 60:5
mind 61:23 99:19	133:8	61:20 125:20	60:12
106:2 143:19	monaghan 7:6	126:2	nature 16:11
mine 42:5	17:8 146:24 147:5	mundipharma	navigated 55:18
mineola 156:23	147:7 148:5,15,20	49:5,15,18,21,23	66:15
minute 101:15	149:2,10,13	mundipharma's	navigational 47:2
130:10	150:21 152:17,22	49:9	navigators 4:10
minutes 28:7 39:9	153:17,21,23	mundipharmas	24:22
64:11,13 92:16,17	monday 16:5,15	49:10	ncsgoo1 105:21
117:21 130:23	16:21 17:18	municipal 141:1	necessarily 19:17
misconstrued	monetarily 140:8	municipalities	necessary 34:15
126:24	money 71:15,16	140:22 141:10	83:6 151:17,22
misdiagnosed	119:18 125:14,17	142:8	need 16:12,19
100:8	135:22 137:15	murray 11:14	17:20 22:14 26:4
mislead 81:4 96:2	143:1,5	mute 29:12	27:10,12 28:8
misleading 38:11	monitor 120:18	muting 93:12	40:19 42:19 55:12
38:14 48:3 81:3	121:3,5,6	n	56:6 61:22 65:20
102:18 103:3	months 134:14	n 3:1 15:1 156:1	65:21 85:5 87:18
missed 38:5	morning 15:2,13	nadim 11:15	142:13 148:9
123:11,13	30:6 38:25 39:14	name 33:22 36:9	150:21 151:10
missing 21:7	39:18,21,25 41:2	49:4 73:23 81:4	152:12 154:14
142:2	41:3 149:19 151:9	89:7 90:24 91:2	needing 26:13
		109:3,7 110:13	
		107.3,/ 110.13	

[needs - okay] Page 20

1 140 7	1 24.25	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	26 11 22 27 17
needs 149:7	numbers 34:25	objections 16:11	36:11,23 37:15
neglected 89:24	35:3 52:20 66:8	17:12 18:2 19:23	38:22 40:21 41:4
neiger 11:17	84:1 108:21	19:24 24:5,7,8	41:9,23,24,25
neil 10:13	numeral 55:20	obligation 119:23	42:10,23 43:7,11
nest 102:1	nurse 60:4,12,21	obligations 120:9	43:14,18,20,20,21
net 109:4,6,10	nw 4:11	120:15,18 121:3	44:17 45:1,8,15
141:19	ny 1:14 3:9 4:19	observations	45:15,17 46:18
neutralize 89:16	5:5,12,19 7:4	94:24 95:7,10	47:9,22 48:10
never 34:20	156:23	observe 27:8	49:5,8,18 50:17
nevertheless 59:1	0	observer 69:6	50:20 51:7,14,19
59:20	o 1:21 15:1 156:1	obviate 26:4	51:20,22 52:6,13
new 1:2 3:9 4:19	o'neil 116:23	obviously 61:16	52:18 53:17 55:8
5:5,12,19 7:4	o'neill 116:22,25	139:4	55:13,17 56:1,5
91:10 139:8 142:2	117:6,7,13,16,18	occasion 68:22	57:1,3,12 58:14
nicholas 12:2		occasionally 34:8	58:20,24 60:10
nicholson 11:18	117:20,25 118:1 119:4 120:21	occurred 76:17	61:12 62:10,13
night 18:13 20:19		89:20 91:9 126:6	63:1,19 65:1,8,13
39:5 151:12	121:1,2 126:13	126:6	65:19,24 66:16,17
nine 119:15	129:2,3,20 130:11	oer 79:24	67:7,8 69:3 70:12
126:21 127:4	132:3 136:21	offered 23:6	70:16 71:3,10
137:15,16	138:5	offering 25:2	72:2,3,8,24 73:24
noat 144:11	oath 93:4 139:15	155:11	74:2,4,22,25
noats 143:6	obaldo 11:20	office 3:15 6:2,15	75:22 76:12 77:9
noise 93:21	object 20:17	66:19 154:25	77:16,25 78:16,22
non 126:20 127:8	21:16 53:22 86:17	offices 66:25	79:11,16 80:1,12
131:25 142:14	108:3,11 117:5	officially 25:6	81:12,17,25 83:14
nope 100:25	objected 17:13	officials 49:2	83:22 84:7,18
note 138:4	19:6 20:2 21:9,15	oh 29:23 63:16	85:8,17 86:8,9
notice 22:14	21:23 147:15	66:6 79:9,19	87:16 88:10,14,19
notify 17:13,15	objecting 21:10	88:19 92:5 93:20	89:18 90:12,20
november 114:8	21:14,17 56:12	100:10 101:24	91:4 92:10,15,25
114:22	117:1 126:21	112:12 145:12	93:2,6,13 94:19
number 19:1	127:4 150:8	146:1	95:2,9,17,21,24
22:10 24:15 26:22	objection 20:11	okay 17:5 18:7	96:11,14,18,21
26:22 34:10 37:12	20:13 21:19,20	20:9 21:6 23:22	97:1,9,22,25
37:17,17 40:12,13	25:18 26:2 38:11	24:3,19,25 25:8	98:20 99:16,24
50:21,25 52:21	48:2 53:12 55:3	25:24 26:19,25	100:5,9,12 101:2
56:2 60:17 66:13	84:22 87:25 88:5	27:6,24 29:6,9,10	101:4,6,14,16,17
94:5 100:21	91:10,13 98:25	29:14,18,23 30:15	101:4,0,14,10,17
105:17,24 106:10	102:18 103:3,5,11	30:19,23 31:8,12	104:3,3,6,16
135:15 148:1,10	103:11 105:13	31:15 32:9,12	105:9,16,19 106:6
· ·	106:18 108:19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
148:16,16 149:7	119:2 141:23	33:11,13,20,24	106:15 107:7,11
	142:10 143:13	34:11,20,25 35:11	107:17,25 108:8
	Veriteyt Lea	gal Solutions	

[okay - paragraph]

Page 21

108:18,20,24	opioid 34:16 35:1	oriented 71:3	p
111:17,24 112:1	58:11,22 59:3,21	origin 119:10	p 3:1,1 9:25 10:5
112:12,17,21	59:23 72:13,25	original 144:20	14:4 15:1
113:11,17,23	77:3,11,16,20	originally 144:19	p.c. 4:16
114:1,6,12,16,18	78:10,11,12 100:3	144:22	p.m. 107:1
115:4,13 116:6,8	113:1,4,12,13	otr 107:8,8,15	pa 4:5
116:11,19,21	114:4 115:2 116:3	outside 75:16	package 39:18
117:6 118:2,14	127:8 129:12,15	overall 54:6 56:9	packet 38:25
119:18,24 121:1,7	129:17 131:25	overarching 70:6	page 42:2,24,25
121:24 124:14	141:7	overconsumption	43:12,13,18,21,25
126:14 129:22,25	opioids 31:21,22	35:21	44:10,12,14 45:1
130:7,10 132:17	32:4 33:25 34:20	overdoses 35:1	45:4,6,6,13 46:13
133:17 134:6	35:7,21 36:2,14	overkill 29:1	46:22 47:3,5,7,8
135:4,8 138:2	37:9,25 38:10	overlapping	50:18 52:4,13,16
139:8,17,21	47:23 48:1,20,21	16:11	52:19,20,21,22
140:14,17 141:9	49:9,11,12,12,13	overprescribing	53:1,1,1,3,4,13,22
142:3 143:8	49:23 50:6,10,14	37:8	55:18,22 56:2,13
144:16 145:3,8,17	50:15 58:8,9,25	overrule 91:12	62:21,21 63:5
146:7,7 147:9,17	59:14 60:24 69:21	overseas 152:18	65:14 66:2,2,5,13
147:25 149:15	70:3,7 72:6 75:25	oversee 120:10,15	66:14,16,17 70:19
150:3,16 151:3,23	78:13,17 97:18,23	overuse 35:7 36:2	71:4,24 76:24
153:6,11,21	98:17 100:6	36:14 37:8,21	79:16 83:2 86:2,5
154:23 155:5,13	132:23	owned 119:24	86:6 87:21,23
oklahoma 125:3	opponent 148:24	120:1,4	93:25 101:18
125:15	opportunity	owns 120:3	102:1 106:14,22
old 86:20 156:21	138:21	oxycodone 79:23	108:15 133:5
ones 31:19 97:15	opposed 21:12	oxycontin 33:15	pages 39:6,16
120:3,3	149:18 151:8	33:18,21 35:12	46:13,22,23,23
oneself 142:22	opposite 69:24	37:21 57:16 58:9	87:5,6 102:2
open 40:3,23	opt 127:4	73:2,13 75:15	pagination 66:7
41:15,23 42:11	optimistic 81:24	79:24 83:17 85:12	paid 49:15 119:19
43:5 45:9 51:20	82:2 151:22	86:13 92:1 94:20	124:19 125:18
76:8 101:9	oral 16:24 17:16	95:3,6,19 107:9	pain 69:19,20
opened 39:2 41:24	17:18 18:6 22:5	107:12 144:20	pamela 13:16
42:21	23:8 27:17 28:4,7	oxycontin's 81:7	papers 93:11
opening 41:11	28:9 149:21	ozment 6:8,13	paragraph 57:4
101:16	order 19:21 30:2	112:18,20,23,24	57:12,14 58:1,24
operation 26:12	oregon 152:25 153:15	115:7,8,12,14,17 115:25 116:19	60:1 61:3 62:21
opinion 59:24 81:6 83:20,21	organized 92:13	o'neil 11:19	63:18 64:20,21,21
133:15 144:15	102:9	o'neill 6:6 152:23	65:2,13 66:3,8,12
opinions 19:14,19	orient 71:1	152:23 153:6,8,12	67:8 71:4,5,9,25
opinions 17.14,19	OHEIR /1.1	152.25 155.0,8,12	74:4 76:22,23
		133.13	79:16 80:18 83:3

02.7.7.10.11.10			mbrosioione 00:12	
83:7,7,10,11,12	patience 143:11	period 34:1 35:5	physicians 89:12	
85:8,13 86:3,4	patients 69:19	65:8 68:15,25	89:13 100:8	
87:7,22,23 88:16	113:21 114:3	122:5,17,22,24	pick 87:6	
91:5,6,24 92:7	115:2 116:4	124:11 137:15,20	pickering 4:9	
93:25 94:2 99:13	patrick 11:6,19	137:23 139:2,6	pile 39:8	
107:17,20	paul 3:17 5:3 6:17	periods 77:4,5,17	pittsburgh 4:5	
paris 12:13	12:11,25 13:6	person 90:3	place 3:17 61:17	
park 6:10	25:15,23 26:24	139:18 140:8	150:22	
part 19:10 28:9	pause 72:18	person's 109:18	placed 92:2	
28:22 38:1 39:12	104:10	personal 137:3,4	places 32:18	
45:21 46:4 70:6	pay 115:1 118:15	personally 62:8,9	132:12	
95:17 118:14	137:14	74:14 80:20	plains 1:14	
119:18 125:18	paying 135:19	119:13 142:24	plan 17:12,14,14	
126:21 133:12	136:3	perspective 83:16	24:6,8 25:18	
135:22 137:9,10	payment 119:19	142:20,20	51:15 100:17	
146:6 148:6	136:22 137:11	peter 8:13 101:22	118:7 126:22	
participant 69:6	payments 119:15	102:6	131:2,8 133:19,22	
75:17	137:12 140:9	pharma 1:7 15:3	134:9 135:11,20	
participate 17:16	pdf 42:2 43:1	30:7 46:5 63:25	136:14,19,22	
17:25	52:21 53:2 66:13	93:3 98:2,3 120:9	137:14 140:10	
participated	66:16,17,18 70:20	120:14 122:5	141:2,10,14,14,17	
17:10	peace 126:20,23	123:5,12 124:19	141:21 142:13,13	
particular 18:17	127:2 128:11	124:21 125:17,20	143:4 144:2	
44:7 56:16	130:16,22	126:2,7 127:11	planning 17:18	
particularly	peancock 11:21	129:17 139:13	117:14	
47:25	pennsylvania	pharma's 122:19	plans 15:5	
parties 15:15 16:2	4:11	123:16	play 119:22	
16:6,13,19 17:10	people 16:15	pharmaceutical	plea 38:11,12,15	
17:11,12,13 18:17	17:20,25 22:19	31:16,20 32:3	38:17,20,21 44:3	
19:23 20:17 22:7	33:13,24 34:11,17	114:2	45:22 46:15	
23:6,17 27:9	37:20 62:4 100:5	pharmaceuticals	114:14,15,19,21	
131:21 133:21,23	117:11 135:14	128:23	122:19,20 123:1	
133:25 134:2,8,22	136:6 138:10	pharmacists	124:17	
134:22 135:9,10	148:23,24	58:11,21	plead 38:3	
136:2,13,18 150:9	percent 83:24	phenomena 100:2	pleaded 35:11	
partners 57:6,11	148:5	phil 90:23 91:2	36:7 44:4 45:21	
parts 37:3 93:25	perfect 17:4 85:21	philip 7:13	46:21 47:20 48:15	
party 62:14	perfectly 44:11	phone 24:19	48:21 114:8	
115:10	performance	76:10,12 96:19	pleas 122:18	
passed 64:12	79:23 89:2 95:19	phrase 45:23	125:22 126:3	
passionate 151:21	120:5	phrased 65:5	please 24:1 29:11	
password 40:17	performed 137:18	physician 114:2	40:2,18 44:15	
41:5,8			61:1 72:22 104:8	
Veritext Legal Solutions				

[please - provide] Page 23

104:13 107:19	practice 89:12	presentations	produced 58:25
111:21 115:24	113:2,15 124:20	16:7 19:3,5	72:6 86:19
122:21 125:25	practices 47:25	presented 64:2,9	product 34:17
127:1 132:14	50:14,14 95:18	64:9,15	94:11,15,23 127:8
135:5	practicing 113:7	presidency 30:12	128:24 129:5
plenty 23:7	practitioners 60:5	president 30:10	productive 28:12
plevin 11:22	60:12,22	34:16 86:10	products 131:25
plimpton 7:1	preamble 52:4,6	press 12:1	professor 19:12
plus 79:25	preceding 105:6	pretrial 16:8	19:18
pm 102:6 155:18	precise 52:2 95:16	pretty 154:7	profitability
point 22:1,4,5,22	98:10	previous 105:11	107:23
22:24 23:10 26:16	precisely 22:23	121:24	profits 57:15,19
28:23 29:4,4 35:4	precluded 53:24	previously 57:5	57:20 64:24 78:18
37:19 54:8 55:11	prefer 142:25	148:6	program 60:3,14
81:23 86:18 91:18	preferable 27:22	prey 12:2	64:16,18 67:20
92:4 93:19 99:20	preis 11:25	printed 105:3	89:7 94:16,17,21
114:1 119:3	prejudice 61:21	prior 63:17 124:2	94:22 95:8,11
127:10 134:1	prejudiced 117:9	130:13 135:17	96:9,16,20,23
139:2 144:4 145:9	prejudices 61:18	private 126:18	programming
152:4,22 153:23	preliminarily	pro 135:22	60:11
pointless 86:19	93:11	probably 75:11	programs 70:24
points 55:7 62:15	preliminary	96:24 116:7 155:7	96:21
policy 153:10	18:11	probe 49:14,18	progress 75:21,21
polk 3:6 15:12	prepared 121:4	55:10 114:16	75:22
popofsky 11:23	prescribe 72:13	probing 128:6	promote 70:3
porter 11:24	97:18	problem 35:21	99:21
portion 16:4 18:1	prescribed 78:18	41:4 44:2 50:1	promoting 89:9
71:18 94:22 114:9	prescribers 58:11	problems 47:2	properly 20:2
portions 92:13	58:21 60:22 92:1	procedural 117:8	proposed 19:10
position 120:8,13	97:22,24	procedures 30:1	83:23 84:2 141:2
142:22 154:11	prescribing 60:24	proceed 18:7	141:17
possibility 90:16	73:7 77:3,11,16	45:19 115:24	proposition 72:7
possible 27:15	77:20 78:10,11,12	139:22	91:16,18
57:13 100:7 138:8	prescription 72:5	proceeding 64:10	propositions 74:9
138:10 153:24	72:6 89:1	64:11	pros 23:11
post 27:20	prescriptions	proceedings 1:12	prosecutor 36:9
postdated 113:14	70:23 92:1	25:5 138:13	36:16
potential 26:1	present 7:8 18:25	155:17 156:4	prosecutors 49:13
128:8 151:19	119:4	proceeds 144:9	protect 61:18
pouring 149:16	presentation 21:4	process 19:22	protection 6:1
ppl 105:9	28:4,17 64:5	29:20 142:7	provide 115:16
pplp 101:3	77:24 78:2	154:15	134:19 155:1

[provided - recall] Page 24

	1		
provided 74:17	144:19	92:7 94:7,8 96:13	raising 138:19
77:15 97:11	purdue's 47:23	103:11,18,24,25	ran 99:20
134:18	48:19 57:15,19	117:8 119:6 120:6	rarely 84:5 90:21
provider 69:8	58:2 59:2,14,21	120:11 121:24	raymond 5:10
providers 69:5	67:1 70:3 71:11	122:21 124:22	110:1,14,17,25
70:2 71:13 72:4	71:18 72:13 74:10	125:25 128:19	111:4
72:12	74:13 78:18 79:20	129:8 131:3,6	rdd 1:3
provides 35:1	80:20,25 83:14,16	134:6,7 136:25	reach 154:19
134:21	83:16 86:10 94:5	139:18 140:5,24	reached 24:4
provinces 141:10	94:19 95:10	142:6 144:5,13,18	read 18:2 22:11
142:8 143:15,16	122:25 124:1	152:14	22:20 38:15,20
143:18 144:1,4	128:21	questioning	44:7,8 47:13,17
public 35:6,17,20	purdue's 37:13	103:12 143:19	48:4 52:3,22 53:6
35:22 126:18	140:10	153:1	53:19 54:5 60:7
138:12	purpose 19:19	questions 47:16	62:6 63:1,13,17
publicly 111:10	20:1 21:1 23:1,5	61:18 87:11 108:2	63:17 64:21 65:1
pull 50:17	70:1,6	112:16 114:7,14	68:18 71:6,9 72:1
pullman 138:24	purposes 18:15	115:5 127:7	72:2,11 74:5,6
139:25	21:2 57:18 70:4	129:20,24 138:1	76:25 79:18 83:7
pumpkin 152:16	89:17	138:22 140:12,15	83:10,11,12 88:18
punishment 89:15	pursuant 143:21	143:15 145:2,7	100:21 102:8
89:15	152:25	quick 138:4	106:2 107:17,19
purdue 1:7 16:22	put 23:1 27:11,18	quid 135:22	133:4,5,7 149:15
30:7,10 31:5,20	85:7 100:15 108:1	quinn 12:3	reading 44:5
31:21 35:11,14,15	pw 106:10	quirk 12:4	103:19
36:6,12 37:16,24	pwg004493361	quite 15:16 66:7	ready 47:15,17
38:7 44:4,21	105:25	75:9 81:3 101:16	72:1 86:7 155:8
45:21 46:5,14,21	q	110:22,22 119:4	realized 133:6
47:20 48:15,20	quality 89:11	quo 135:22	really 17:25 21:14
50:5,9 57:6 58:2	quarropas 1:13	quotation 80:2	25:8 81:2 83:1
59:2,20 60:3,10	question 16:2	quoted 55:2 80:3	87:11 89:15 93:17
63:25 65:9 66:19	17:6 33:16 35:10	quotes 54:25	98:9 103:10 109:9
67:12 68:12 71:15	38:1,2,6,7,14 44:6	r	110:5,7 132:21
75:25 82:12 93:3	46:18 48:7,16,19	r 1:21 3:1 9:16	144:18 151:13,23
94:14 96:21 98:2	50:7 53:7,8 54:3,9	11:20,23 15:1	152:5
98:3 107:12 114:8	56:15 61:5 64:14	29:19 156:1	reason 46:6,8
115:1,10 120:9,14	65:1,16,22 68:2,3	rachael 12:7	49:25 57:22,24
122:5,18,19 123:5	70:25 71:5,6,21	rachel 11:20	60:18 76:16 85:17
123:12,16 124:2	72:16,17,21 75:8	rai 1:25	85:19,20,24 87:2
124:19,21 125:2	75:11,13 76:8,23	raise 29:11	102:16 151:18
125:17,20 126:2,7	85:5 86:23,25	raised 24:6,15	recall 26:5,9
127:11 129:17	87:13,14 88:1,3,6	25:17 26:23	30:18,21,22 31:18
139:12 141:16,20	88:7,17 89:21		32:17 33:7 37:14

[recall - rephrase] Page 25

37:15,22 49:17 recollection 34:9 refers 51:16 132:8,22,25, 13:19 57:10 58:23 60:9 34:18 49:24 76:9 107:14 110:17 133:4,9,13 60:10,17 64:19 97:21 114:16 reflect 64:11 released 118	
	8.8
60:10,17 64:19 97:21 114:16 reflect 64:11 released 118	8.8
74:3 76:1,2,14,15 115:19 116:5,11 144:6 131:2,8 132:	:4
76:16 77:13,14 116:12 125:8 reflects 103:6 133:12,19,27	2,23
82:17,19 83:1,22 recommendation reformulated 134:22 135:	9,11
85:9,14,15,21,23 98:3,21 57:16 107:12 135:14,23 13	36:2
89:7 90:8,14,22 recommendations reformulation releases 130	:21
90:23,24 91:2,15 31:12 95:17,21 107:9 131:21,24 13	33:25
91:18,19 92:9 97:6,7,9,11 98:22 regard 140:23 134:8 136:4	,7
94:4,9 96:2,17,19 98:23 99:7 regarding 18:13 relevance 14	12:12
96:23 97:14,15,16 recommended 27:4 53:24 75:14 relevant 45:	10
97:17 98:15,15,24 96:22 97:1 111:10 114:25 relied 20:6	
99:5,8,9,9,11,15 record 16:20 116:2,12 130:11 relief 69:20	
99:16 104:2 18:20 23:18 44:23 regardless 132:8 remain 151:	21
112:13 114:25 62:1 93:3 106:3 region 88:19,23 remaining 2	5:6
115:3,23 116:1 107:19 115:22 88:24 89:4,13 151:12	
121:20 122:15 139:12 150:22 91:5,7,13 remember 3	4:25
123:25 124:4,11 154:6,8 156:4 regional 91:15 36:9 37:18,1	8
124:12 125:11,16 recorded 107:22 regular 74:11,17 68:22 73:23	,25
125:19 127:10,13 recovery 113:1,21 75:1,2,14,18,19 75:3,4 83:18	8 84:1
135:16 151:20 redirect 103:15 75:23 76:20 77:8 86:15 91:3 9	96:25
155:3 reduce 89:8 regularly 58:3 104:4 124:9	
recalling 84:15 reed 4:1,3 23:24 regulatory 49:1 125:17 127:	18,24
recapture 59:2,20 refer 18:22 55:4 49:22 136:15 151:	15
receive 64:5 75:18 55:11 56:6,7,20 rehabilitation remembered	
77:9,11 57:3 74:4 91:24 116:3 34:10 124:8	
received 19:5 99:13 107:8 reiterate 62:13 remembers	85:4
38:24 40:22 77:2 136:17 relate 48:19 87:7	
91:11 100:16 reference 38:17 related 19:11 remind 45:9	76:5
124:1 56:12 62:8 21:24 24:12,13 114:20 127:	16
receiving 77:13 referenced 84:10 35:12 48:21 50:5 reminded 12	27:13
134:8 135:23 92:6 50:12,13 58:3,18 remove 25:6	
144:2 references 54:25 78:8,10,11 94:19 removed 89:	:1,2,4
recess 92:12 93:1 referred 21:11 95:6 107:15 removing 89	9:14
139:10 25:10 88:25 128:12,18 131:1,7 reopening 20	0:4
recognize 52:4 118:20 131:17,24 132:2 repeat 37:13	38:2
121:10 134:2 referring 18:21 132:23 145:24 41:17 68:11	,19
recollect 35:4 21:22 52:6 53:4 relation 19:15,15 repeating 72	2:17
64:10 67:22 69:12 67:9 76:6,7 84:10 release 79:23 79:10,14	
127:19 128:10 91:10 107:25 126:20 128:2 rephrase 119	9:6
134:23 108:4 110:24 130:11 131:6,9,14 131:4 136:2	5
137:4 131:16,21,24 143:10	

[rephrased - rule] Page 26

rephrased 144:6	resolving 26:21	revisit 114:11	110:14,15,18
rephrases 143:13	26:23	ricarte 12:5	111:5 113:15
report 19:12 58:3	resources 113:20	rice 12:6	115:6 116:21
90:5 96:7,8,25	114:3	richard 3:4 12:16	120:7 122:20
97:11	respect 19:4 26:8	12:18 13:3,4	123:24 129:10
reported 89:13	37:25 38:9 47:23	15:18,20 18:8	130:23 131:25
reporter 67:5	47:25 49:8 50:5,9	29:18 30:4 57:6,8	135:20 137:15
78:25	50:14,15 62:16	82:24 112:22	139:11,19 140:14
reports 77:5,9,10	73:1 94:5,14	117:24 130:3	142:1 143:6,23
78:7 121:4,7,10	132:6,11,19 143:2	140:1,19	145:1,3,5,8 146:9
121:12,16 124:1	respond 65:20,21	ride 68:24 69:1,3	146:13,17 147:4
124:12,15	71:20 88:17	76:21	148:1,9,18 149:15
represent 112:24	response 75:9	right 15:2 16:17	151:15 152:8,21
130:6 140:21	83:8	17:24 22:18,24	153:6,11,22,25
representation	responsibility	23:22 26:6,15,25	154:10 155:9,13
24:23	129:14	29:7,11 30:16,24	155:13
representative	responsible	31:21 32:5,19,21	ringer 12:7
68:22 69:1,4,5,9	129:11,17	32:23 33:8 35:13	risk 28:25 61:16
69:13 70:2	responsive 48:16	36:8,23 37:6,13	61:21
representatives	54:14,16 57:13	37:25 39:25 40:1	ritalin 127:22,25
68:6,20,25 71:12	75:8 80:13	41:13 42:15 43:6	road 156:21
89:24 90:4	rest 60:16 61:8	43:24 44:12 47:15	robert 1:22 9:4
representing	65:22 100:14	48:6 49:3,9,13,16	robertson 12:8
139:25	150:17	49:25 50:13 52:11	robinson 6:6
request 15:4 16:5	restate 38:6 50:7	55:20 56:24,25	116:22,22,25
61:14 75:1,2	94:8,23 96:13	59:19 62:6 67:18	117:6,13,16,18,20
126:22 146:8	120:11 122:21	67:23 69:14,22	117:25 118:1
requested 59:1,20	125:25 134:7	71:15,19,24 72:10	120:21 121:1
74:11 75:4,14	restitution 116:15	72:10 73:24 74:20	126:13 129:3,20
134:17	result 24:16 33:14	75:6 76:19 77:1	152:23
requesting 130:21	33:25 34:17 60:2	77:18 78:5,9,23	rode 69:3,9
requests 60:2	69:21 96:8 124:10	79:12 80:24 81:9	role 34:15 90:17
require 74:23	results 81:22 82:1	82:4 83:2,9,12,25	102:11 103:20
115:1 118:14	89:17	84:2,4,8 86:1	119:22
required 137:14	resume 155:15	87:12 90:10 91:21	roman 55:20
requires 147:14	review 51:23	93:6,15 94:20	room 1:13
reserved 151:15	149:24	95:3,6 96:4,8 97:6	rosen 12:9
resign 31:3	reviewed 149:11	97:12 98:4,7,14	rosenbaum 12:10
resolve 24:7,9	reviewing 27:18	98:24 99:11	rothstein 12:11
124:20 151:12	28:19	100:14 101:19	roxana 7:11
resolves 24:15	revised 20:13	103:8,10 104:1,3	rubinstein 12:12
25:17	107:8	106:8,10,11	rule 19:17 21:24
		107:15 109:16	53:24 86:18

[ruled - sell] Page 27

ruled 19:12 20:3	82:18,20,22,25	141:6	75:20
20:12 21:2	83:10 84:12,18	sales 58:2,3 59:2	scheduling 19:21
ruling 17:2 39:12	85:8 86:2,7,9	59:21 67:11,15,15	schinfeld 12:22
88:13	87:21 88:16 89:19	67:16 68:6,20,24	schlecker 12:23
rulings 20:10	91:1,21 92:8,19	69:1,4,5,6,9,11,13	schmidt 12:24
21:12,14 39:13	93:3,10,11,22,24	69:21,25 70:1	schwartzberg
run 49:1 61:21	95:13 100:13	71:12,12,21 73:16	12:25
rundlet 12:13	101:8 102:2	75:22,24 76:3,4	scope 131:6
running 135:13	103:18 104:13	76:13 78:15 79:22	score 33:8,12
russell 5:7 12:14	106:8,15,25 108:1	80:21,25 81:10,15	scott 3:11 9:15
25:19,21,22 26:3	109:2,8 110:1,1	83:17,17 86:10,13	screen 24:20
26:7 76:2,10,12	110:14,17 112:15	89:1,2,3,5,17,24	29:10 92:23,24
ryan 12:15 13:9	112:18,22,24	89:25 90:1,5,16	scroll 52:13 102:1
14:1	115:9 117:24	90:20 91:8,14	scrutinized 58:3
S	118:1,15,21	94:5 95:2,6,8,10	scrutiny 58:10,21
s 3:1 7:9 10:9,16	119:10,13 120:13	95:15,18 97:8	sears 16:23
11:5 12:11 14:8	122:11,22 123:24	119:22	seattle 6:4
15:1 29:18	125:6,9,20 126:19	salesman 89:10	sec 101:4
sackler 3:4 5:10	127:7 129:10,10	salwen 12:20	second 25:13
7:2 12:16,17,18	129:14 130:3,5	sample 80:8	38:22 41:17 42:4
12:19 15:8,17,19	134:15,21 137:25	samuel 10:10	42:8 43:11 57:4
15:20 17:7 18:8	139:4,13,14,18	sara 6:20 8:1	58:20 59:19 61:3
21:4 27:9 29:10	140:1,3,6,19,21	11:15	61:9,14 68:17
29:13,17,20 30:4	140:24,25 141:9	satisfies 85:4	137:8
30:6 31:9 36:24	142:5,24 143:10	saval 12:21	section 44:8 70:18
38:3,24 39:17	144:13,18 145:4,9	save 92:13	sections 148:24,25
40:4,19 41:12,16	145:19 146:19,24	saw 134:1,1,10	see 20:4 29:10
42:13,20 44:1,15	150:19 153:8	saying 28:8,21,21	41:21 51:12 52:7
44:20 45:3,20	154:25	65:6,16 76:14	53:1 56:2 77:14
46:2,19 48:5	sackler's 102:23	81:10 83:5 113:24	85:8,13 88:18
51:22 53:20 54:4	110:25 111:5	114:11	94:2 102:2,3,14
54:9 55:10,17	sacklers 18:25	says 43:4,4 44:7	103:24 106:25
56:5,25 57:3,4,7,7	53:23 57:8 58:1	53:13,23 67:24	107:3 111:2
57:7,8,8,14 58:4,6	58:24 59:1,12,13	74:17 91:8,11	115:19 129:6
59:15 61:23 62:2	59:19 60:23 61:17	107:20	142:22 151:2
62:6,15,20 63:6	61:19,22 62:2,8,9	scale 90:16	seeing 28:14
63:13,21 64:14,24	70:22 81:3 153:16	scan 46:25 47:9	52:18 98:16
65:9,10 66:14	sackler's 144:9	scanned 47:18	151:20
67:6 68:11 70:5	148:6	scanning 47:14	seek 116:14
70:16,16 71:10	saint 3:17 6:17	schedule 42:3,12	seen 133:25 134:8
72:16,24 74:4	sale 35:12 37:25	42:14,19 45:4,16	134:12,14
75:7,18 79:17	38:9,12 70:3	45:18,20,23 46:4	sell 32:3 78:13
81:4,12 82:14,16	94:20 119:20	46:13 47:1 52:14	
, - , , , ,			

[selling - sounded] Page 28

	T	I	I
selling 47:25	110:9,10,21	118:21,21,23,24	sold 136:22 137:3
48:20,21	148:17 154:7,8	sides 27:10	sole 46:7
sells 31:22	seth 12:22	sign 16:3 145:9,18	solely 24:12
semantics 38:19	sets 39:8 41:3	145:19 146:14	solutions 156:20
send 17:23 71:12	setting 81:14	signature 102:3	somebody 91:3
85:17 86:14	86:25 110:4	signed 149:11	129:6
102:16 107:5	settle 49:18 52:10	significant 37:12	someone's 23:9
150:13	settled 23:13	121:11,17 122:1	someone's 28:16
sending 70:1	settlement 23:11	122:14,23 140:25	son 50:1 82:25
sense 16:20 17:22	40:9 42:18 46:4	silbert 13:4	110:24
28:16 114:5	51:24 52:25 53:11	similar 115:4	sonya 2:25 156:3
117:10,20 131:5	55:19 119:14	141:9	156:8
138:18 152:2	124:18,25 125:1,3	simmonds 13:5	soon 145:13
sent 15:14 40:22	125:10,15,18	simmons 25:3,4	146:15
51:10 80:4 87:3	127:5 140:9 143:2	simple 96:14	sorokin 13:10
88:4 104:12,25	143:3,5 144:10	simply 69:9 99:8	sorry 20:22 29:13
135:4	settlements	simpson 5:1	33:16 36:25 38:3
sentence 57:4,14	125:21 126:2,12	singer 13:6	40:16,17 43:5,14
58:1,6 61:1,2	126:16,17	single 51:9 149:4	44:2 45:7 47:2
62:20 63:2,3,5,5,7	shannon 8:19	153:4	48:6 50:24 51:2
63:8,9,12,14,17	11:10	sir 43:17 52:24	52:18,25 54:1
63:22 67:10,15,24	share 59:3,21	60:8 65:3 66:12	57:13 58:16 63:16
102:10 103:18,19	146:2,5	73:9 75:13 88:13	66:6,7 68:11,18
103:23	sharp 65:7	88:16 93:22 99:25	72:18 73:11,21,23
sentences 62:23	shell 29:22	102:1,5 104:15	75:12 77:9 79:4
62:24	shepherd 13:1	112:20 118:5	84:18 87:23 88:17
separate 39:8	shift 97:19	sit 34:4 54:20	91:1 92:5 95:24
59:5	shira 14:3	114:24 116:8	102:19 105:23
separately 51:6	shore 13:2,3	situation 94:24	106:11 108:6
september 149:5	short 92:12,20	95:2,12,18	109:14 110:24
149:6	106:4,5	six 50:21	111:3 112:10
series 74:19	shortly 51:13	sixth 50:22	120:21 121:23
serious 69:19	125:6	skapof 13:7	122:10 123:5,8,15
serve 131:21	should've 38:24	skip 64:21 70:17	129:3 134:6
served 30:15,23	show 22:19 86:15	76:22 92:13 93:24	136:25 145:12
31:15 57:5	showed 99:18	100:13	147:12 154:18
server 101:15	showing 50:24	skipped 89:20	sort 18:22 20:5
service 48:24	51:2 56:2	skorostensky 13:8	45:24 117:11,11
131:18 133:11,14	shown 124:12	slaugh 13:9	138:9
services 36:1	shows 42:9	slip 153:24	sound 93:12,16
set 16:5 39:8,9	side 7:2 18:25	slowly 56:6	113:23,23 128:3
40:7 41:2 82:12	109:12,12,17	smith 4:1,3 23:24	sounded 135:15
87:13 88:2,6	114:25 118:15,15		

[sounds - surprise] Page 29

aarrada 41.12	stanting 15.10	atimulata 46.10	ambaat 06.25
sounds 41:13	starting 15:19 starts 43:22	stipulate 46:12	subset 96:25
152:9		147:1	98:19,21
source 20:18	state 3:15,16 4:17	stipulated 27:9	substantial 37:17
south 33:2	6:2,16 18:10	stipulating 26:13	37:19 71:18,22
southern 1:2	29:24 30:7 47:19	154:6	substantive 24:8
space 79:25	78:9 95:15 115:22	stipulation 24:10	success 98:15
speak 18:5 58:5	116:23 117:2	24:15 25:2,13,16	sudden 86:12
69:8,10,13 132:18	118:2 122:13	26:21,23 29:1,5	sue 129:6
150:21	124:18 125:3	46:19,24 143:17	suffering 70:11
speaking 22:4	128:21 134:12	143:22 152:24	sufficiently 65:6
137:6	138:24,25 139:25	153:2 155:9	suggest 16:10
speaks 39:15	141:1	stipulations 24:5	81:18 136:7
53:12	stated 56:8 58:13	24:7 26:12,20	suggested 20:6
special 19:5	137:16 153:19	61:17	81:17 83:24 84:3
specialty 4:10	statement 48:13	stodola 13:14	suggesting 86:12
24:22	53:10 56:16,17,18	stop 60:5 89:9	suggestion 27:12
specific 31:16	56:21 57:22 60:16	97:18 114:4	149:17,18,19
54:5 55:6,12	62:25 65:4 72:19	stopped 73:8,9,13	suite 5:18 6:3
61:25 62:4 97:15	74:6 80:7,11,15	strategies 67:16	156:22
specifically 30:21	128:19 134:16	74:12 75:24 76:3	suits 118:9
53:13 54:7,25	statements 17:12	strategy 63:15,23	supervisor 89:10
67:9 95:7 98:24	17:14 18:3 54:10	64:3,11	supervisors 90:12
specifics 55:3,15	54:13,14 56:10,13	streamline 16:7	90:14
56:7 124:13	56:20 59:5 74:19	street 1:13 5:18	support 17:12,14
specify 31:23 32:6	78:8	6:17	supposed 28:15
spend 27:17 28:6	states 1:1,11 5:16	strike 24:13 35:18	41:10
spent 71:15	33:14,24 35:2,25	77:10 100:12	sure 18:24 20:13
spoke 69:9 115:18	36:4,11 37:6,6,12	107:25 108:3	20:19,25 21:25
spoken 86:11	37:17 38:10 52:1	struggled 79:12	22:21 23:6 25:24
145:12	53:16 54:21 115:1	studied 134:3	28:3,6 30:19 39:4
springer 13:11	116:2,13,14 117:1	study 94:25	45:3 46:19 52:8
square 118:11	125:21 126:3,21	stuff 109:19	54:19 59:6 68:3
st 5:3 25:15,23	127:4 128:17	subcategory 73:4	79:1,10 93:11
26:24	129:12,15,18,24	subdivisions 37:5	96:15 104:17,19
stack 87:18	130:6 131:19	subject 23:8 26:10	104:21 109:9
stacy 8:14	132:12 144:23	30:1 85:11 102:20	116:24 117:7
stand 33:19 89:19	state's 19:16	103:6 107:7	130:1,10,20 131:5
103:17 147:3	steege 13:12	152:24	135:5 137:2,7
stanford 66:20	steel 13:13	submit 27:19	139:2 147:25
start 16:4 90:3	stephanie 8:23	submitted 53:10	148:5,22 155:6
94:12 152:9,9	stephen 136:10	70:24 148:7 153:5	surface 114:5
started 94:25	steven 11:23	subsequently	surprise 121:21
		97:10 98:12	121:25 122:8
Veritext Legal Solutions			

[surprised - think] Page 30

surprised 122:14	tele 1:12	64:5 82:2 92:20	144:21 146:10,20
122:16	telephonically	98:2,22 114:9	147:7,24 149:2
suspect 71:17	3:11,12,13,20 4:7	115:23 127:3	150:10 151:23
swear 29:14	4:14,21 5:14,21	136:1,10 137:9	152:5 153:6
t	6:6,13,20 7:6,8	138:17 139:5	154:16,21
	television 109:19	145:9,25 146:6	theodore 14:7
t 5:7 12:14 156:1	tell 22:18,19 28:8	147:3 148:13	theresa 12:19
156:1	29:14 44:6 45:18	testing 86:20	82:16 146:24
table 110:6	51:23 69:23,23	text 102:3 103:7	148:6
tablets 79:24	83:22 98:5 102:10	texted 68:17	there's 15:16
tacitly 18:22	112:8 131:14	texts 103:9	17:19 18:10 22:15
tad 116:22 118:1	136:15	thacher 5:1	28:25 40:12 42:16
take 16:25 40:4	ten 39:9 87:9	thank 22:2 23:20	145:15 146:3,9
40:19 44:9,22	119:15 137:16	23:21 24:24,25	149:20 152:11
51:22 59:6 70:18	tense 119:4	26:7,25 27:2,7,24	there've 15:20
80:18 97:3 112:18	tenure 127:19	28:24 33:13 40:21	21:13
113:19 121:6,7	term 113:18 121:9	43:25 47:14 48:23	they're 17:11,20
133:6 150:15	121:11	50:17 51:18 58:6	20:1 22:20 28:18
taken 84:14,15	terms 81:22 82:1	59:10 60:1 62:18	142:6
123:6 142:5	117:14 119:19	65:25 66:1,17	thin 23:16
takes 101:15	141:4	67:8 70:21 71:10	thing 63:1,22 65:6
talk 38:4 63:8	terrible 151:18	71:24 73:1 77:1	65:20,21 75:1
69:7 96:11 134:10	terribly 61:23	79:11,19 80:17	118:19 123:23
139:5	testified 19:5 50:1	81:12 82:4 86:4	148:19 154:24
talked 38:8 61:2	69:2 115:21	88:19 91:21 93:8	things 28:13,22
76:21 114:13	130:10 133:8	93:15 101:6	76:8 78:17 81:5
talking 20:21 21:8	135:16	106:15 108:25	96:10 152:3
39:9 46:9 63:4	testifies 23:2	100.13 108.23	think 15:20,24
85:23 91:3,4	154:5	114:23 115:17	16:14 17:5,20
98:20 105:9	testify 60:9 65:5	114.23 113.17	18:11,11,18 19:1
114:19,22 122:19	80:1 94:21 97:13	ĺ ,	, , ,
142:14 143:20,25		120:7 128:4	19:7 20:2,6,16,18
tap 51:19	110:7 111:9,15	129:21 130:2	20:25 21:4,6
tapley 13:15	118:17 136:12	137:25 138:4	22:11,13,25 29:3
target 83:17,23	137:2 150:22	140:14,18 145:1	34:6,9,15 36:17
84:2,3	151:1 154:4,14	145:10 150:4,5	38:1,15,16,19
targets 80:21,24	testifying 15:8,9	155:16	39:15 40:5,18
81:10,15,17,19,19	15:10 135:13	thanks 71:8	44:9,13 45:3
82:12 85:11	testimony 15:21	that's 17:4 20:13	46:12,20 47:14,24
task 69:17,18	16:1 18:8 19:4	20:15,15 21:21	48:8,19 52:7 53:3
taylor 41:5 51:10	20:12 21:23,24	23:3,21 26:6,17	53:5,17 54:3,7
td 109:18	22:24 23:9 24:1	29:21 30:1 38:1	55:6,11,14,22
teens 22:10	24:13 26:9,15	38:19 39:9 41:11	61:19 62:3 63:8
	27:11 30:2 62:16	142:9,17 144:2,13	63:10,21 64:4
Veritant Legal Solutions			

[think - truth] Page 31

	T	I	
67:5,5 73:12	three 30:17,21	34:10 39:7 40:22	trends 58:8
74:16 75:13,15	36:6 41:3 46:22	54:20 88:21	triage 113:8,9,9
76:6,21 78:20,22	46:23 62:24 90:21	110:20 114:24	113:19
80:14 83:6,19,23	125:22 126:4	130:13 136:1	trial 18:4 22:6,9
84:9 85:4 86:10	150:18 151:19	138:6 146:19	27:20 28:14,19
87:16 88:5,12	152:18	147:1 155:4,15	125:6 130:12
92:12,15 95:13	thurmond 13:16	today's 24:1	135:13,14
98:2,20 99:2	thursday 16:18	151:25	trials 128:18
100:4,13,15,16	17:2	told 22:12 94:13	tribal 141:1
101:20 102:2	time 16:6,14,19	111:14,21	tribes 141:11
103:14,16,16	17:1,19 21:1,5	tolerance 100:3,5	144:11
104:25 105:5,20	27:11,17 31:5,17	tolerant 100:10	tried 18:17
108:1 109:17	31:23 32:6 34:1	tomorrow 16:1	troop 13:18
111:12 114:9	35:4,5,9,15,17,19	17:16 18:5 150:17	trouble 36:23
115:4,20 116:7,16	36:1,11 37:9 38:8	150:19 151:8,24	49:1 52:18
117:1,10 118:13	40:4,19,20 44:9	152:3,7 155:11,15	true 15:13 23:3
124:1 125:9	49:7 54:4 65:8	tonight 150:21	48:23 54:16 56:23
135:12 136:15	66:21,22 67:1,2,2	151:8 154:12,20	56:25 57:8,18,24
138:11 139:1,17	68:7,9,12,15,21	tonnesen 6:20	58:4,5,12,13,14
142:1,18 144:3,5	68:25 70:17 77:12	tools 71:11	59:3,14,22,24
145:15 146:1,18	77:12,21 83:15,18	top 42:12 43:12	60:6,16,18,20,21
146:23 148:12,15	84:15 88:22,24	43:13 93:12	65:8 71:17 73:1
149:7,17 150:18	89:22 91:7,17,23	106:23	74:10,18,21 77:1
150:23 152:7,11	92:3,4,5,6,14	topic 16:12 88:6,9	78:16,16 79:19,19
152:18,19 153:9	104:4 114:8,18	total 98:21 118:17	80:7,11,15,20
153:19,20 154:13	119:3 122:5,17,22	totally 55:4 87:6	81:13,14 86:9
154:14 155:14,14	122:25 123:22	tracked 58:2	100:12 119:2,3,5
thinking 16:18	133:7 138:8 139:9	trade 124:20	119:18 124:17
84:21 138:18	143:14,14 149:4	transcribed 2:25	125:2 141:19
155:3	151:25	transcript 136:17	144:19,21 149:19
thinks 22:13	timeframe 35:10	149:8,12 155:2	156:4
28:15 142:12	timely 21:16	156:4	trust 93:21 120:1
third 7:3 61:3	times 20:2,24	transcripts 16:6	143:3
148:16	67:10 81:12,13,14	transfer 65:16	trustee 5:17
thomas 6:6 7:19	110:6	transferred 64:23	129:24 130:6
7:20	timesaving 27:8	65:10	150:7
thomasson 104:12	timothy 11:4	transmission	trusts 64:25 65:10
104:20	title 42:2 53:4	40:22,23	119:24 120:4,5
thought 21:22	titled 43:22	treatment 115:2	137:5,10 140:6
27:8 82:1 109:16	titration 99:21	116:2	141:7
130:18 145:13	tobak 13:17	treats 127:15	truth 19:8,18
thousands 22:8	today 15:8,10	tremendous 138:6	29:15,15,15
60:22 87:3	17:7 31:25 34:5		

[try - wanted] Page 32

4 20 11 41 7 0	140 14 150 5	144 17 145 1	11615
try 39:11 41:7,8	142:14 150:7	144:17 145:1	victim 116:15
45:25 47:2 74:8	ucc 101:3 105:9	underwood's	victims 116:14
74:22 96:14 134:7	ultimately 96:21	144:12	video 1:12
trying 27:14	un 21:9	underwriters 5:2	view 56:11 144:9
55:13 57:13 87:17	unanswerable	25:15,22	views 20:24
112:6 114:3	61:19	unfair 55:4 87:6	vincent 7:12
115:15,16 130:9	underlying 19:16	124:20	virginia 36:5
131:5 138:13	23:12	unfamiliar 45:2	visible 120:25
143:1 153:17	understand 19:2	unforeseen 152:6	visit 69:4 71:13
tsai 13:19	26:18 27:12,14	unfortunate	visited 70:3
tsier 13:20	28:10 39:3 43:6	151:11	vocational 116:2
tuesday 16:22	44:3 47:4 48:5,6,8	united 1:1,11 5:16	116:3
turn 15:11,23	63:11 75:9 79:9	33:14,24 35:1,24	voice 70:12 72:23
17:7 29:6 41:25	80:10 82:6 88:5	35:24 36:4,11	voluntarily
42:2 55:17 62:20	88:12 93:4,5	37:6 38:10 51:25	143:21
64:20 65:13 66:2	102:19 107:23	53:15 54:21 115:1	vonnegut 13:24
71:4,24 76:23	113:13,24 115:12	116:2,13,13	vote 64:10,12
79:16 83:2 87:21	118:6,16,17	129:12,15,18,24	vp 76:3
92:23 93:24	120:22 121:14	130:6 131:19	w
turned 71:9	126:19,22 131:3	132:12 144:23	w 9:23 12:15
turner 13:21	132:10,11 133:7	unlawful 124:20	wa 6:4
turning 45:6	137:8 139:14	unnecessary	wagner 13:25
turns 152:15	143:2 153:14	70:23	14:1
twelve 77:7	understanding	unsung 15:13	wait 109:13 154:8
twice 136:16	19:20 45:20 46:20	update 15:9	waiting 44:18
two 23:19 24:5	47:12 48:11 112:2	use 33:21 34:17	want 15:23 17:16
25:25 26:12 27:15	112:3 114:10	39:6 70:7 83:18	18:1,5 19:20
30:17,20 31:18	118:10,11 123:3	113:1,4,12,13	20:22 23:18 27:7
39:9 46:23 58:8	128:11 130:21,25	114:4 115:2 116:3	28:6 44:7,8,9 51:4
59:5 62:24 69:17	131:5,7,14,16	132:23 138:11	53:1,6 61:24 62:4
86:13 90:21	132:5,6,10,19,22	uzzi 13:23	70:25 78:20,23
101:15 102:2	133:8,12,19 141:8	v	93:7 96:2 98:9
110:6 115:5	147:8,16 149:3,13	value 137:19,23	102:22 103:2
118:20 125:21	153:7	141:5,19	112:17 114:11,16
126:3 133:6	understood 15:8	varick 5:18	115:20 132:6,9
148:15 149:5	45:3 59:23 85:22	various 16:11	135:5 145:4 146:5
150:12,12	85:22 88:22	27:9	147:25 148:22
types 87:10 90:3	142:23	vehicle 27:14	149:20
u	underwood 13:22	verify 44:6	wanted 17:25
u.s. 1:23 5:17	140:15,16,18,20	veritext 156:20	20:19 39:3 42:11
36:16,18,20 37:3	140:21 142:2,3,4	versus 62:3	55:14 77:14
37:4 131:20 132:7	142:16,23 143:3,6	vice 86:10	115:18 118:19
141:1,7,20,21	143:9,17,24 144:8		147:12 153:4
, , ,		ral Solutions	

154:7	we'd 16:3 18:11	103:1,3 106:3	X
wants 23:7,10	we'll 150:1,15	132:16 139:7,16	x 1:4,10
wardwell 3:6	152:2 155:9	144:14 145:10,18	
warning 128:8	we're 15:3,19,25	145:20,24 146:2,7	y
washington 4:12	16:2 18:7 20:16	146:12,15,19,25	yeah 38:7 42:19
4:17 6:2 116:23	20:20,25 21:8,25	146:25 148:13	50:8 55:24 87:8
117:3 118:2	29:3,7 39:9	149:23 150:19	88:8 92:17 96:10
152:25 153:13	142:14 143:20	151:7,25 152:15	114:24 115:22
wasn't 145:24	151:2	154:15	122:12 138:23
146:18 153:17	we've 20:2,6	witnesses 3:3 15:7	139:1 142:18
way 15:25 20:5	whatsoever 50:15	15:16 18:17 20:5	147:20 148:15
23:14 42:1 46:2	what's 17:6	22:25 25:6 30:1	154:21
59:17 63:23 71:21	145:16	130:17 138:14	year 60:5 86:13
72:20 74:23 79:21	white 1:14	149:23 150:19,22	86:20 91:9 137:15
88:25 107:16	wholly 18:15	151:6,16,19,19,21	years 16:9 30:17
118:11 131:1,8	william 5:7 9:23	152:18,24	30:21 44:20 60:9
133:22	10:5 12:14 14:4	witness's 20:11	60:13 65:16 73:9
ways 27:15	25:22	wolff 4:16	76:18 87:9 90:22
142:22	willing 40:18	woman 42:7	104:7,7 119:15
we've 79:12 86:11	53:14 113:22	wondering 152:8	137:16
100:10 105:4	wilmer 4:9	word 44:22 58:15	yesterday 15:15
weber 14:2	wilmerhale 24:22	83:18 121:5	38:14,16 50:1
website 34:21	wired 138:5	130:19 143:15	151:4
35:1 109:2,5,7	withdraw 146:3,7	work 43:21 94:5	york 1:2 3:9 4:19
110:2,4,13,21	withdrawing	94:11,15,23 95:5	5:5,12,19 7:4
111:10,18,19,21	24:17	113:8 114:1	139:8
112:2,9	withdrawn	working 113:19	you'll 26:9 28:20
websites 109:6	143:21	151:12	40:11
wednesday 17:1	witness 20:10	world 32:3 33:21	you're 21:3 29:12
week 26:10	23:1 25:5 26:5	132:9,12	31:1 39:17 43:3
weekly 77:2,5	29:6,23 38:20	worldwide 140:25	142:1,19,19
weeks 77:6,6,7	39:7,20 40:2,16	wouldn't 28:5,21	you've 20:23
143:14	40:21,25 41:4,8	35:18,19 42:14	30:15,23
weiner 14:3	41:15,17,21 42:8	write 103:18	Z
weintraub 14:4	42:11,16,21 43:2	written 114:13,15	z 13:11
weis 14:5	43:5,7,11,14,18	114:19	zabel 14:8
weiss 14:6	43:20 44:2 46:7	wrong 42:17	zero 88:19,23,24
welcome 63:1	48:6,8 51:4,7,12	73:24 146:24	89:4,13 91:5,7,14
wells 14:7	51:14,19 54:12,17	147:6 150:24	zoom 25:19
went 76:22 78:12	56:1,18,23 61:10	wrote 88:5 104:1	zylberberg 14:9
137:8 143:1	63:16,19 66:6		
western 36:5	72:17 91:17 92:12		
	92:22,25 93:5,20		